Local dog access rules 2025

WRITTEN FEEDBACK

Ōrākei parks
Volume One

Date published: **Monday, 7 April 2025**

Sub #	Organisation Name
18	Sticks & Bones Limited

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#18

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable): Sticks & Bones Limited

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

Other (please explain below)

Tell us why

I think this reserve should on leash only, it is not a suitable area for dogs to be off leash due to the lack of fencing and busy roads. And in my experience parks of reserves with mixed on / off leash areas just end up being a problem because most dog owners completely ignore the rules and let their dogs off leash anyway.

This reserve would be far safer for all dog owners to enjoy if it was 100% on leash.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Ōtuataua Stonefields

What local board area is this location in?

Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

I don’t know

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To reduce owner-related problems (e.g. owner ignoring rules, dogs not under control, dog faeces not picked up, etc). Please specify:This location is abused by walkers with large packs of dogs and the use of this park should be reviewed.

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

This location is abused by walkers with large packs of dogs and the use of this park should be reviewed.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

I think that dog access to all of the parks across should be reviewed and wider (off leash) access considered, but only if the number of dogs being handled by any person is limited. If there were more off leash areas permitted then you would have less concentrations of dogs off leash and give owners more choice about where they can exercise their dogs. There are lots of suitable places and many of the off leash parks are far from suitable (lack fencing etc)

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#20

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills Farm Recreation Reserve is a shared space and currently works well. All dog owners abide by the rules when the fields are in use. When the fields are not in use they provide a great safe space away from the road and carparks where people and dogs can mix freely. The Ōrākei local board area has one of the highest numbers of registered dogs in Auckland and needs good, safe places to take their dogs. Many sports fields are available for children and adults to use. The sports players are often from other areas where 99% of the people who use Madills Farm to walk and socialise their dogs reside within a 5 km radius. Does the soccer club pay for the sole use of the grounds? Do they pay for the maintenance? Or is it rate payers who pay for it?

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#28

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Manurewa

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Once again please don’t take away off leash rights for dogs

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#37

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Howick

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dogs should be allowed on sport surfaces when not in use.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#60

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Mission bay kohi beach

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to prohibit dogs

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

A section of it. Please specify:No one is respecting current rules about timing for dogs, please enforce

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To reduce owner-related problems (e.g. owner ignoring rules, dogs not under control, dog faeces not picked up, etc). Please specify:

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

It’s horrible walking or sitting on these beaches with dogs charging up or off lead sniffing around. These beaches are for everyone, too many dogs at wrong hours

Location 2

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Kepa bush reserve

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control on a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To respond to increased presence of wildlife (e.g. ground nesting birds). Please specify:This is an important historical and ecological reserve. We need to protect wildlife

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

To respond to increased presence of wildlife (e.g. ground nesting birds). Please specify:

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Beaches are for everyone. Too many dogs at suburban beaches is awful. Owners ignore rules and have seen several not pick up poo, gross for everyone else

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#72

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Most of the time the fields aren't in use meaning they will be empty whilst depriving dogs and their owners a wide open space for socialising their dogs. This leaves one place for part of the year that dogs can be off leash which is the beach, it will be crowded and end up being more dangerous.

Dogs aren't making jokes or causing any issues at either park. Sports groups bring alcohol and dump rubbish but this isn't prohibited?

Parks are for the enjoyment of all not just one group. The rule change will make things harder for dog owners and ultimately dogs suffer from not being able to have anywhere safe to run around and play.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#86

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Albert-Eden

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The sport fields in this location are very rarely used. Trying to take away access to more of the park makes no sense. Keep it the same.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#87

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Waitematā

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Auckland is not very dog friendly. There are not many places provided for dogs off leash. This is a very important meeting place used for dogs off leash. If you remove this park dogs in the area will have no wear to humans they need this socialisation and exercise. You have elderly people who can’t just walk their dogs and need to let them have a run. You could look at removing the karaka berry trees which are poisonous/deadly to dogs as well. They are everywhere. I think you could actually propose something that benefits dogs. I don’t see that within these bylaws. Please make Auckland more dog friendly for good dog owners.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#118

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Waitematā

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#144

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Manurewa

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#165

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Waitematā

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

That doesn't leave much off leash space while the sports fields aren't used all the time...

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#175

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I think the idea of not letting dogs walk on unused playing fields is both unreasonable and overarching to the very ratepayers who pay for the maintenance and upkeep of this ground. We never asked for it to be a sports club. In fact we do not see a private sports club as a good use of public funds. There is no reason that both glover park and maddills farm cannot be reinstated to a fully public park status and the sports club moved onto private land somewhere else. I am all for sharing public spaces but when the dictate comes down to removing dog owners who pay for the park and leaving the large public space to a small number of very privileged with no charge for use then this is a VERY unreasonable proposition.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#197

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

It's so confusing, why should dogs be allowed off leash at this park? Why can't an enclosed off-leash dog area be built instead? Dog owners will be too lazy to observe rules, and it's very difficult for kids to avoid huge dogs that are clearly not under control of their owner. It shouldn't be up to the general public to have to shoulder the constant annoyance and threat from bad dog owners at this park. "Under control off a leash" is a contradiction in terms: show me a dog that will obey its owner when it's got tunnel vision chasing down a small child or a ball belonging to them!

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Rutherford Reserve

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to prohibit dogs

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To reduce owner-related problems (e.g. owner ignoring rules, dogs not under control, dog faeces not picked up, etc). Please specify:threat of dog attacks on small children playing

at playground, cannot play sports on grass that is covered in dog poo, aggressive owners, dogs off leash and not under control, dogs constantly approaching the playground

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

The playground gets inundated with dogs that are off leash, as owners think that the park is just for them to throw balls for their dogs, Scary for kids, infuriating for adults, and anyone else that wants to enjoy the park for play or sports etc.

Location 2

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Kohimarama Beach

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to prohibit dogs

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To reduce owner-related problems (e.g. owner ignoring rules, dogs not under control, dog faeces not picked up, etc). Please specify:aggressive dogs, threat to children, threat to non dog owners trying to enjoy the beach

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

Dog owners are not in control of their dogs and my kids have been approached too many times and are now afraid of dogs. People should be able to enjoy the beach without worrying about dogs.

Location 3

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

-

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

I don’t know

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To reduce owner-related problems (e.g. owner ignoring rules, dogs not under control, dog faeces not picked up, etc). Please specify:-

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

-

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

No

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#209

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#223

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#230

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This was gifted for recreation not specifically for sport/ soccer. Why can’t we continue to share? Where else can dogs go?

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#236

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Devonport-Takapuna

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#240

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Albert-Eden

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#264

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: I don't know

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I don’t understand why you want to change that.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#266

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Do not see why we cannot continue to exercise our dogs here when the field is not in use for sports events etc. This is a regular place we visit and have never seen any issues with dogs here when there are no sports on. Many times families with and without dogs share the space respectfully

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#268

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I am deeply concerned about the proposed ban on dog walking at Madill's Farm. This park is a vital hub for our community, where families, sports teams, and the elderly come together, with dogs playing a key role in this shared space.

Families often bring their dogs while supporting their children at games, and for many elderly dog owners, the park is a cherished place to socialize and stay active. Restricting dog access would undermine the inclusive and vibrant atmosphere that makes Madill's Farm so special.

I urge the council to reconsider and explore alternative solutions that balance the needs of the entire community while preserving the park's unique sense of connection.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

As an active member of my community, I strive to see the benefits of both sides of these proposed changes. I understand the importance of creating a safe environment for sports, which plays an instrumental role in fostering community spirit. However, vastly limiting dog access to both Madill's and Glover Parks risks creating an unwanted divide within the community, undermining the inclusivity and shared enjoyment these spaces provide.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#279

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills Farm is a recreational Park intended for use by all not simply ESAFC and other clubs. The existing rules for Madills Farm provide adequate protection for the Sports facilities in that they require dogs to be on a leash in the vicinity of sports fields when in use and prohibited from the sports fields when in use. Excluding dogs from areas designated sports fields all of the time whether in use or not does not align with the principle that the park is for use by all. Dogs under control off leash e.g. playing with other dogs or with balls etc recreational activity enjoyed by many local residents. There are existing rules and laws regarding dog fouling etc that are in general abided by by most local residents. I do not see what advantage is provided to the general population through this change. It is unclear from the submission what problem is being solved with this change. The submission does not detail who benefits from this rule change.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#282

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The proposed changes to Madills provides no suitable areas for rate and dog registration payers to exercise their dogs off leash.

Madills is one of the only off leash exercise facilities for local dog owners. Not only will it deprive dogs of the chance to socialise with other dogs and chase a ball it will greatly impact the community.

Madills is a special park which has allowed locals who would have otherwise never meet to become friends through their dogs. Most of the dog owners who visit this park are regulars and respectful of all who use the recreation reserve. By removing this facility you will be isolating many members of the community who are retired and/or live alone from the chance to come down to Madills to socialise with other like minded dog lovers. Currently people know that they can just turn up at madills early evening and there will be a cohort of friendly locals to connect with.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Dogs are becoming more and more widely recognised as family. Westfield Newmarket and many local malls ie Eastridge and Orakei Bay Village welcome dogs as do many hotels. In Victoria it is illegal to discriminate against renters on pets. Why is Auckland Council going against progression and punishing responsible dog lovers.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#303

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I don’t see why dogs shouldn’t be allowed on the sports field when not in use what is the logic behind this?

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?N/A this form doesn’t give the user can option to go back**What local board area is this location in?**I don't know**In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?Tell us why**I don’t know**Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?**A section of it. Please specify:Unable to go back in this form do not what to answer these questions**What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?Please explain your issue in more detail:**Other - please specify:**Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence**

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#304

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Common Sense.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#306

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The sports club at madills farm utilize the fields Fay and night at all times of the year despite supposedly being a ‘winter’ sport. Madills is a well known off leash dog spot full of responsible license paying dog owners - things happily co-exist now so why are we changing it. There’s way more parks that people can use who don’t like dogs instead of madills

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
No

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#320

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This is madness, glover park is usually empty when people are walking their dogs and it is one of the few open pieces of grass where the dogs can run and chase a ball, whenever we walk our dog there we're almost always the only people there. Are people just looking to make up rules for the sake of it? Who puts this nonsense together.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

I honestly have no idea why i'm even bothering filling this out. as it's an auckland council proposal, this will go ahead completely unchanged and no-one will even read this feedback.
STOP RUINING AUCKLAND

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#322

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I have no problem with when sport fields are being used. It makes good sense for everyone’s safety for the area to be on-leash only. However, it is a relatively small % of the 7 day week that all pitches are in use. There are no substantial off leash areas in Kohimarama during the summer daytime when the beach is off limits. In the proposed plan it’s a huge area that becomes unavailable to the dog walking community which is a large proportion of users this parks users. In the proposed plan dog walkers will be forced into a smaller area and increase risk of negative dog-on-dog interactions. It will not be possible to throw a ball or frisbee in such a dense user area.

The park is also used for other activities. It would be unfair to ban dog walkers and still hold events where vehicles drive over the sport fields eg around the Bays sponsorship tents.

On reflection or my original feedback, I'd like to highlight two key points with regards to Madills Farm and Glover park.

Firstly, if a major concern is the quality of the sports pitches and dogs digging turf, I presume Council will act to also prevent these parks being Round the Bays sponsorship areas as the trucks that bring in the marquees/ tents and toilets make significantly worse damage to the pitches.

Secondly, with housing density increasing in Auckland and gardens becoming significantly smaller, pools a regular occurrence as our local beach water quality is regularly so poor; where are dogs meant to be exercised? Without regular exercise and space to run, dogs can become stressed and increase the chances of a negative interaction with dogs and humans. It doesn't make sense in these circumstances to limit the areas where dogs can run so they have a smaller area that has a higher density of dogs.

With beaches being off limits 10am-5pm during the summer months, if Glover Park and Madills Farm access was greatly reduced, the nearest large off-leash dog park is Churchill Park which contains free roaming cattle (not suitable for many city dog breeds who have no other livestock exposure).

Dogs are registered with council and should have access to facilities that promote good animal welfare.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

With so many proposed plans reducing dog access to sport pitches, should some of them succeed, I think there's is an increasing need for regular dog access areas throughout the year in all residential suburbs. For example if sport pitches and beaches are prohibited, what extra provisions are being made in local beach communities?

#322

E wātea ana ki te kurī
Dog access



No dogs
- on playground and sports surfaces at all times



Dogs on leash
- near playground and sports surfaces at all times



Dogs under control off leash
- on all other areas at all times



Good dog owners clean up after their dogs

For full dog bylaw information, including fines for non-compliance, visit **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/bylaws** or phone **09 301 0101**

Auckland Council
Te Kaunihera o Tāmaki Makaurau

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#331

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Devonport-Takapuna

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#339

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dog owners are highly responsible in this area and it’s an ideal area for exercise and play

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

It is essential that dog access in parks is expanded not restricted, there are already too few areas

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#340

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dogs under leash are part of the family and don’t pose any threat to children or sports grounds. Appreciate some dog owners not following these rules but please do not punish the majority of us that do.

It’s difficult with kids sports so be out all day leaving animals at home. Why not police those not following the rules instead.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#341

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Monte Cecilia and Glover park are much loved areas to allow dogs to exercise off leash. The number of complaints (only 5 at Monte Cecilia) far outnumber the amount of dog owners and dogs that enjoy the dog parks responsibly. Making these parks on-leash only will also lead to overcrowding neighbouring parks, leading to increased risk of incidents between dogs, which is preventable

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Please keep Auckland a dog friendly place.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#344

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Kohi beach

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#345

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#346

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Glover park and madills are dog walking institutions - I frequent glover park with my dog multiple times every weeek and there is a whole community of walkers who I regularly see at the park. Why are you proposing to impact this community when we are not harming anyone?! It doesn't make sense to ban dogs on the sports field when it's not in use - why waste this space and have it redundant? There are no other large flat grassy areas for dogs to play on in the neighbourhood. These parks are the only ones that allow them great exercise without having to go through long grass (potentially with ticks in them too - eg at Churchill).

If there were loads of antisocial dogs or dog poo around the park I'd understand - but the reality is there isn't! You are proposing to take a way what's already a super limited place for the dog walking community to meet and exercise themselves and their dogs.

I'd like to see the data behind why these changes are being proposed - there is no detail in the proposal and citing general safety reasons is ridiculous when there are no current safety issues.

Furthermore - a lot of the sports that take place at glover are children's sports and athletics - so many parents bring their dog along on a leash to games...they're causing no harm! And with the proposal, this will no longer be allowed. Ridiculous!

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Stop wasting money trying to amend rules that don't need amending and focus on the bigger issues in our community!

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#353

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

because it makes sense.....

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?madills farm**What local board area is this location in?**Ōrākei**In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?Tell us why**Change the current rule to prohibit dogs**Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?**A section of it. Please specify:sports fields**What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?Please explain your issue in more detail:**To reduce owner-related problems (e.g. owner ignoring rules, dogs not under control, dog faeces not picked up, etc). Please specify:dogs running on pitches during games.....not under control.....dog faeces not picked up...**Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence**so the dog owners show some respect to other users of the area

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#356

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills farm is frequented by many dog walkers daily. It is a community meeting place for local dogs and their owners. The field at Madils are hardly used for sport during school hours and to restrict dogs and their owners from using them when they are empty is unfair. The park should continue be shared as it currently is. The football club already dominates the area at the weekend. This is a community space and should be open to as many different groups as possible.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#357

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I think the current rules are fine

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#358

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The sports grounds, especially Glover, is mainly in use on weekends, with dog owners already keeping dogs on lead or away during such times. It is a great place for off lead walking, with dogs and owners well behaved, all enjoying the park. There are so few places to walk off lead. I live on Glover, with our kids playing sports there as well as walking our dog there. That is what the whole community is doing. By changing the rules, you are appeasing a few, but inconveniencing the whole neighbourhood. I can assure you that any damage to the sport fields are not caused by dogs and their owners. You only need to take a look at the fields after a day of soccer in the rain to see the effects. Glover is a safe area for both dogs and people, away from roads and it has been shared responsibly by sport teams, dog walkers, individuals and children for ages. There is no reason to change the rules.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#360

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Out of peak hours the sports fields area is essential for use as a dog exercise area. To exclude dogs from this area 24/7 if they are not in use is limiting utilisation of this community asset. If they are being used for sports no issue at with them being used but there are entire weeks (eg. over xmas / new year) where they are not used for sorting activities and should be available for other community . recreational uses such as dog exercise. This change is not needed and not wanted by the community.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#361

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

As mentioned before this proposition is an overly restrictive and shortsighted measure. It undermines community cohesion, public health, and the well-being of pets. A more inclusive, thoughtful approach that balances the needs of all park users would better serve the interests of the community not just soccer and cricket players. I urge the council to reconsider this amendment and work collaboratively with residents to find solutions that reflect the values and priorities of our area in orakei.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
In Orakei I don't belive these restrictions are a good idea at all.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#365

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The park is used by so many people to walk thier dogs and it is a common area where dogs are free to roam. There is no where else in the area which allows dogs off leash to play with other dogs and be sociable which is extremely important to raise puppies who are well tempered. It has never been a problem until the last five years. This should be criminal to accept these new laws.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Dogs need freedom! And to socialise to learn to be well mannered and tempered.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#369

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

As a member of the community and a rate payer for a number of years, I take my dog to Madills almost every day to meet other dogs and run around the field. We have a strong community of people and dogs who meet every day to socialise. If we are banned from the fields, that doesn't leave very much of the park to use and would mean our community suffers

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#371

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This is completely unacceptable. Glover Park was used by dogs and their Walkers well before it was transitioned from a swamp to a park and sports field. No sports people use the park Monday to Friday from the mornings until after school finishes. I've lived in the area for over 30 years and I've never seen a single dog dig a hole in Glover Park. Where are we supposed to allow our dogs to walk off leash and have a sense of freedom to run, sniff and play once or twice a day? Where is the proof that dogs are causing ANY damage in Glover Park? I walk my dog there up to 3 times a day and never see any dogs causing any damage to the grounds. You need proof to support changing the laws/regulations and as an avid user of the park to walk my dog aswell as watch my son train and play football in Glover Park, I have not seen any proof whatsoever that you could reasonably use to allow any existing rules to be changed. Dogs are NOT causing ANY damage to Glover Park. As I've said, I'm down there every day, up to 3 times a day. The sports area is completely under utilised and should be made available to ALL users, not just sports users. Democracy and Majority rule are at stake here and you must not allow this situation to be hikacked by a small minority of people who have nothing better to do than ruin the use of Glover Park for a lot of Users. Take a look at the mess the Weekend Cricketers leave Glover Park in at the end of a Saturday or Sunday. Particularly Sundays. The rubbish that is left behind is surely more of concern than dogs running on a sports field that was initially used by dog walkers well before it became a JOINT sports field and recreational park for EVERYONE to be able to use. I pay my rates to be able to use this park for recreational uses and that includes walking my dog on it whether it be on leash or off leash. Stop allowing a minority of people to hijack this issue.. I am totally against the proposal to change the rules and regulations governing dogs walking off leash on the sports field when there is clearly zero evidence of any dogs causing any damage. People need to show proof. An abundance of proof that dogs are causing any damage whatsoever on the sports field areas.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

You have a requirement to listen to the majority of users. Not just a minority that use the park as a sports field for sporting activities. We all pay our rates and therefore should all get access to our

parks whether it be walking dogs or playing football. It would be completely undemocratic to stop dog walkers walking their dogs on the sports fields at Glover Park.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#372

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills farm has always been a shared park for sports players and dogs and that is how it should stay. It's one of the only local flat dog parks in the area which is perfect for the elderly and people with disabilities/injuries to let their dogs off leash to play , chase a ball and socialize with other dogs. Dogs deserve a safe space to run around off lead and interact with other dogs and people and that's exactly what Madills farm is a safe space. It would be very cruel to restrict dogs to a lead at a park many have been going to for years for their daily game of fetch or just to catch up with their other dog pals. A lot of elderly use Madills farm to walk their dogs and that is because it's a flat park where they can safely walk and if you make this park an on lead only park a lot of them will have nowhere to walk their dogs off lead which isn't fair to them or their canine companions. The park should keep the rules it already has and you should not be making dogs to stay on lead at all times at Madills farm.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Dogs deserve a place to run around off lead and taking that away isn't fair for them or their owners.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#373

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills farm and Glover park are great parks for your dog to able to run around off lead Chase their balls and meet other dogs. Both parks are flat and give easy access for the elderly, people with disabilities or people with injuries to be able to walk around safely with their dog off lead. For a lot of elderly they can walk to the parks from their house or drive and safely let their dogs run around and have the socialisation that dogs need. Madills farm and Glover park have both been used by dogs for years so that they can run around and let out that extra bit of energy. A lot of dogs have grown up at these parks being able to be off lead and to take that away from them would be heartbreaking. Both parks are shared safe spaces and they should be kept that way so the dogs are not being restricted. If this goes through a lot of dogs will end up un-socialised and reactive.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#375

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Albert-Eden

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?Milford**What local board area is this location in?**Devonport-Takapuna**In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?Tell us why**Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash**Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?**Entire location**What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?Please explain your issue in more detail:**To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:Greater access**Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence**More people need greater access time as population grows

Location 2

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Takapuna

What local board area is this location in?

Devonport-Takapuna

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to a time and season rule / Change the current time and season rule (please specify)

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:Expand time off leash

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

Population growth

Location 3

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Walker Park

What local board area is this location in?

Albert-Eden

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

More area for dogs to play and chase balls

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#376

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Waitematā

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills farm is a brilliant place to walk dogs. Don’t let a tiny minority of owners ruin it for the entire community. When the sports surfaces are not in use what a waste to not let dogs on them.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#379

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

dogs should be allowed on the field when it is not in use. It is aflat safe exercise area for all dogs

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
dogs deserve places to run free and smell too

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#385

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Living in the area for 10+ years the owners of dogs in this area are generally very responsible. The actions a few should not force a change to the current rulings

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#386

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills farm is made up mostly of fields, the proposed changes wouldn't allow much space for walking the dogs anymore. Currently they're not allowed on fields when in use and have to be on a lead in the vicinity if fields are in use. This is a good compromise - if the fields aren't in use, it should be available for dog owners and dogs to use. This way it's a park for the whole community. Especially given that dogs aren't allowed on Kohi beach during the day, there need to be accessible alternatives, also keeping in mind that there is an elderly population in the bays who have dogs for comfort and needed easy access to parks to walk and exercise them. That's what's our community is all about, giving everyone equal opportunities. Have Madills Farm available for kids to play sports and for dogs to play and have fun when the fields aren't in use.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Restricting parks further for dog users only means limited options to walk dogs, having to travel by car to get to a dog park and more dogs on more limited space. There are plenty of dog free parks and areas already and people can choose to frequent these of they don't feel comfortable near dogs. No reason to add more restrictions.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#387

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills farm offers a safe space for pets to play ball. When sports are on, everyone keeps their pets to the footpath or uses the far end green that isn't used for sports. This change is only marginalising pet owners. What is the rational for this? Because to me it seems overkill for what potentially might be 1 or 2 isolated incidents.

Families with pets have less and less places they can safely take their pets, and by changing these bylaws will only worsen things. There are only enough dog only parks that are safe for the populations. The council happily enforce dog registrations and increase costs, but then take away resources at the same time. This is a ridiculous situation to consider "lease at all times" and off green spaces.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Madills farm

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

A section of it. Please specify:No. Leave the rules as they are.

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

Other - please specify:Please provide more bins around Madills. Ridiculous shortage.

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

If the issue is dog poo bags that’s causing this change to rules- then provide more bins!

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Leave the rules as they are! People pay enough for dog registration and there are not enough safe parks or green spaces for their dogs!!

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#388

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The space for dogs at Madills is limited. The proposal states this is for safety of the sports users but the off leash access is only allowed when the field is not in use so this case is redundant. During summer there is no spaces local for dogs to run off leash as the beaches are limited also. Use the rates from dog registration to place a warden around occasionally during a sports game to enforce the on leash requirements if necessary but I don't believe most owners would not follow the rules currently.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Summer access for beaches makes absolute sense (and likely helps save dogs from being taken on hot sand on hot days) but the off leash on water line to on leash on the beach is complicated and confusing....perhaps just allowing off leash under control (which off leash should always be) on the beach anyway?

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#390

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

It doesn’t seem fair that if no one is using the sports ground then why can’t dogs be allowed to run around on it but humans can?

This doesn’t make sense, dogs are already not allowed them when the grounds are in use but if no one is using this big open ground, why would you not permit dogs to use them as long as they are under control?

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

The proposed changes seem unbalanced. I don’t complain about cyclist using these paths at parks. We all have share and just get on with it but be respectful and responsible.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#391

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I don’t know

Tell us why

Off leash is important for socialization and exercise. Quite often the fields are not in use and so there is no impact to those playing sport.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#392

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Would be hard to keep dogs off the

He sports fields as this is the majority of the space. Does not leave much off leash space.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#393

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I Object to this proposed rule:"Dogs prohibited at all times on sports surfaces"

Mid week in particular but also at certain other times when.nobody is playing sport it is a great community activity to walk and run our dogs . I have never seen dog poop left there. After all these are big expanses of land which should be available for all members of the public to use , not just sports teams. People over use the word unsafe . The majority of dog owners are responsible and the majority of dogs in these parks are not dangerous. If needed bring in harsher penalties for rogue, roaming dogs and owners who fail to pick up dog poop. Let's not become some over regulated society where it isn't possible to get out and chat to our neighbors in the fresh air.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#397

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dogs need to have a good run around (under control though) this is great socialising for both dog and human

These sports feilds are more at risk with people/kids on bikes scooters and electric scooters on the playing fields during practise and training. Did do way less damage

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Over the burecratic right that eastern bags football think they have over residents in the area - don’t play football but the rubbish they leav behind is far worse than a dog

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#398

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I have both a dog and children playing sports. I have never experienced in all the years of football any dog faeces on the playing surface. The damage done by the players is much more than done by any dogs. When the fields are not in use there is plenty of space and this is the best place for dogs to be social, and in fact is deserted of other people. This therefore helps the health of our dog community and minimises interaction in less suitable environments. Not only all the above, but policing this policy would really undermine the public support for the council.setting poor rules for the sake of rules - there is already a shortage of space for dogs, and there is no point in adding this further restriction. I would expect these comments apply also to other changes, however am focusing on my local community.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

All of them

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:If the dog is not under control then the inspector's have sufficient power to apply penalties and/or offender's punished in the event something bad happens. Creating more rules that are largely unenforced undermines trust for all parties.

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

This is an overall comment, however applies specifically in Orakei given the large preponderance of dog owners that behave responsibly

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

There should be more areas with off leash where dogs are held under control

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#400

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Absolutely insane potential change. The reason we visit madills and love this area so much is because we can take our 4 young children and 2 dogs off leash to this park and all play together without restriction. Prohibiting dogs off-leash on the sports field (at all times) will be a massive loss to our lovely area and community. It is not the dogs that ruin these grass areas.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#403

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Where exactly do you propose that local dogs go? Are you ready for the beaches to be invaded by dogs. There are no other local parks?? This is crazy!!

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Yes these changes seem to be confining dogs to private property. How is the council proposing to deal with the animal welfare issues which will arise as a result?

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#404

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Waitematā

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?Monte cecilia**What local board area is this location in?**Puketāpapa**In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?Tell us why**Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash**Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?**Entire location**What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?Please explain your issue in more detail:**To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:**Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence**

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#405

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madill's farm is extensively used by dog owners for off leash exercise of their dogs. The numbers of dogs and their owners utilizing the park is, in all likelihood greater, than the number of people engaging in organized sport in the park.

Eastern Bays FC is the primary user of the soccer field and is, in effect, attempting to privatize public land for their own exclusive use. This should not be allowed.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#406

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

As a dog owner and a family that regularly uses the sports fields, the rules make complete sense and will enable the park to better used for the whole of the community. I walk my dog on leash in the area and there are plenty of options for dog walking.

I have sustained injuries on the park caused by dogs digging holes in the sand based pitch. We all need to the able to use the fields and the proposed amendments make a lot of sense to ensure this can happen.

I would encourage the council to consider a fenced dog off leash space with the park to enable any dog owners to have some unleash space.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

The number of people using the Madills and glover parks for sport significantly exceeds the dog users. We need to value our green spaces and allow the continued use for physical exercise.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#408

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This Park is a recreational facility for everyone and not just a minority group who play sports and use the park occasionally. To prohibit dogs and their owners from using this space when there is no sport being played is totally unreasonable. It is my understanding Madills Farm was gifted to the community as a recreational facility for the majority to enjoy NOT as a sports field for the minority to use. I am a 70 year old woman and this is a flat and safe environment for me to walk my dog. Dogs need to be able to run off leash and this is the only area nearby that enables me to provide that for him.

I strongly disagree with these changes as they are completely at odds with the intent of Madills Farm which was gifted to the area as a recreational field for EVERYONE to use. I am a 70 year old woman with a small cavoodle and Madills is the only place I feel safe walking my dog. It is flat and has good visibility so I can walk easily with my dog and not feel unsafe walking in small over grown bushy areas. Also it is the only place nearby that I can walk to that is not the beach. Dogs need to be able to run off leash to keep healthy

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#412

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

I have encountered playing sports on glover park and run through dog mess, all because some owners are to lazy to pick up after there dog.

If this comes into effect how will you monitor and enforce the changes?

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#414

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Currently these parks are available for open access to all users when field's are not in use. Prohibiting access to dogs in some areas all the time seems heavy handed. Fields are marked differently in summer (touch) vs winter football and during football season the marked field area changes during the day so dogs on leash can be on areas that then later become prohibited.

Prohibiting dogs when fields are not in use also seems the start of a slippery slope to other users not being able to use the parks when they are not in use. Will they become fenced off areas so no dogs or other people be allowed on fields?

I do not support change in dog access to Madill’s and Glover Park.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#415

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#417

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I advocate for being allowed dogs under control and on leash in more of aucklands regional parks and within more areas of aucklands regional parks. Walking and hiking in Aucklands regional parks is a perfect activity for having canine companionship. There is plenty of space for everyone and when dogs are under control on leash there is little room for that to be problematic to anyone. Currently the options for getting fresh air and exercise for dog owners in Auckland are already incredibly limited. I do not support limiting this further. Again, the emphasis should be on policing and preventing nad dog ownership, not making it impossible le for good dogs and owners to enjoy wholesome, healthy activities in our own neighborhoods.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

There should be a better mix of dog off leash walking beaches and people/children/non dog lover beaches at all times of day and year. For example from mission bay to st heliers there are a number of beaches all with the same dog access rules which preclude responsible dog owners from being able to walk and socialize their dogs except at certain hours which do not align with everyone's schedules. If one or two of those beaches were dog off lead beaches at all times and year round, people could have the choice of which beach bests suits their vibe and needs. The same for all aucklands coastline and beaches so that all residents have all options nearby at all times of day and all days of the year

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#419

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#422

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This is ridiculous, it’s is shared space and dogs have the right to be there.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Dogs are great and have the right to these spaces

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#424

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dogs prohibited at all times at Madills, even when not being used for sports it's the basically not allowing dogs in the park at all. It's been used for exercise dogs for a long time for locals. Soccer season only runs from April - September. During summer dogs are prohibited at the beach. Limiting at Madills makes even harder for good places to exercise our dogs.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#426

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Restricted access to the only park in the area. The proposed area to walk the dog is tiny and does not provide adequate exercise

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
No

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#428

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#430

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills and the local beaches can be pretty gross with dog poo at times. I know this isnt everyone, but emphasis has to be on the owners to clear up after them. Dogs named from enclosed play areas is logical, as is backing them from alerts surfaces in play.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
The purposes changes are progressive towards balance

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#437

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Sadly there are too many dog owners whose irresponsibility means that their dogs leave excrement on the playing fields and also dig up holes too which are lethal for kids playing sports. I 100% support banning dogs from all sports fields all year round - the change can’t come soon enough and there are plenty of other places where dogs can be walked.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#441

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

All you are doing is taking away some of the only safe off leash areas for dogs in order to pander to a small minority of people. It is a waste of time and money doing this when for the last god knows how many years there’s been no problems.

Sort your stuff out Auckland council

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#442

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Very well used space for dog owners. Why should dogs be prohibited at all times.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#444

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills is a great Orion for dogs especially in the summer when they can’t be on the beach.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#447

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#450

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The majority of the park would be classed as sportsfields with the expanation of football pitches in recent years

The area not sports fields is closer to the road and is less safe for dogs

The time the sports fields are used is a small proportion of the total time.

If the sports field are unavailable then there should be a large fenced off area in the park that could serve as a dog only area . This would be used more than the sports fields.

Rates assessments are based on areas and it is the locals that use the park for dog walking.

Not all sports teams are in the rates catchment area

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Unclear if “allowable water areas” includes the sand right next to the waterline. Dogs run in and out of the water while walking along the beach and not sure if this is allowed after 5pm in summer or if they would still need to be on a lead

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#455

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

In the summer time there is restricted access at the beach and this is really not going to leave any place for dogs to go off lead and play fetch, they can only really walk around the streets. It seems a really unfair change for the dog owners just trying to do right by their animals. While dogs may do a bit of damage to the fields, it is much less damage that is done during round the bays and there is always damage done by group picnickers and people letting off fireworks during Guy Fawkes, Diwali and NewYear. Our area is increasingly being subdivided, we are paying really high rates and all the dog owners pay dog registration to the council. Dog owners should be able to take our dogs to the local park and let them play. Fines can be handed out to people that don’t pick up after their dogs or let their dogs dig holes.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
No

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#459

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Because to walk through the park you need to walk across the sports fields.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#460

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Whau

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

Tell us why

There should be a fenced off area for dogs to run off leash.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Do not punish the many to control the few. Ensure council animal management and officers are resourced to manage the few. Dog owners have rights and pay licence fees for our dogs that money should be used to manage those who break the rules. Also make dog owners more responsible with more requirements on them to be qualified owners. Other countries manage to do this. I just came back from Europe and dogs are in Cafes, pubs and on trains without muzzles. We have a training and compliance issue of a few uneducated owners. Take back control and focus on the real problem. What you are doing is similar to giving compliant car owners parking tickets for the indiscretions of the car they parked next to. How is this fair or logical?

Additionally cats do more damage to our native birds than dogs. Where are cats being managed to be restricted too?

I support our parks and animal management teams but this is a one sided and loaded consultation based on complaints. No one ever calls council for anything but complaints. So the data and research is flawed.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#461

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Hello,

I am a resident of Mission bay, moved here seven years ago to experience life by the beach with my family. I have one small dog and we have benefitted immensely from Madills farm.

I respect the restrictions put on high traffic areas of the beaches, and wildlife preservation areas . No problem at all.

Having Madills farm available for the use of Dog owners at all times really provides a single flexible space where dogs are welcome for training, exercise and socialization at all times. My reason in not supporting the Maddils farm proposed changes , and keeping it's dog friendly status is as follows :

Safety of grass areas for dogs:

In the summer months not everyone can restrict time to exercise and train their dogs to outside of 10am- 5pm. The park provides a cool floor (rather than tarmac or hot sand) for a dog to run around in . Due to high housing demand most houses don't have the benefit of private garden space, some barely have balconies. It's actually safer and more practical to guide dogs away from beaches and towards grassy areas.

Large Off leash option for training:

There are very limited large spaces open to dogs. If one of the points of this policy is to guide owners to train dogs well, part of that training is to test commands and oriented in different environments. To test dogs obience in public and at distance safely you need large open off leash areas. Doing this in smaller areas can be too near roads and dangerous , or leashed areas wont allow for proper training. Madills park is big enough to have safe distance from roads for offleash training with PLENTY of distance from other dogs also.

Helps dogs owners adhere to restrictions elsewhere:

I didn't ever originally understand that beaches had time restrictions for dogs. I was actually very shocked when someone pointed it out to me, because of NZs outdoor reputation, so you can imagine that I thought it unfair and stressful to find any alternatives to exercise my dog safely in the daytime. I dare say that i may have broke the

beach rules a few times. However , when a resident told me about Madills farm I had an alternative. If im not sure what the restrictions are at a specific time , then i know i can safely go to medills farm. I honestly believe by taking away the restriction to maddills you will have more people pushing the boundaries on restricted areas and create problems elsewhere.

Thank you for taking the time to read this . Good luck with your policy changes. I think more clarity (signage) and education from breeders will be better ways of keeping harmony between dog owners and the public.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Have large openly available spaces for dog offslease training or you will push the problem elsewhere

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#463

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dogs need space to run to get proper exercise. The fields are the best area for that.. when not in use. There is no space for their exercise in the tiny bits you are allocating. The beach is already a no-go area for them in summer, off leash... where can they run????? it is madness.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?Kohimarama Beach**What local board area is this location in?**Ōrākei**In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?Tell us why**Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash**Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?**Entire location**What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?Please explain your issue in more detail:**Other - please specify:Allow for dogs to be off leash, under control, to run and exercise properly.**Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence**Dogs should be allowed off leash at some time in summer, on the beach.. it can be early and then later, after 6pm? (as it used to be) so that kids are not on beach? The beach is often empty during early mornings ... esp in the week, with people at work an

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#468

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dogs need to be on leash at sports grounds at all

Times as even when events not on, local

Kids should have access to park without fear

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Waterfront beaches of St Heliers, Mission Bay and Kohi

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To reduce owner-related problems (e.g. owner ignoring rules, dogs not under control, dog faeces not picked up, etc). Please specify:Dogs not being controlled, faeces not being picked up,

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

No

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#470

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This is a great place where dog owners can connect, dogs are apart of peoples families and that includes trips to the park and to watch adults and children's sports games. Will end up dogs being left in cars. There are no notable events here that have caused concern to the wider public and this has been accessible to families and their dogs for years

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Stop red taping the world put efforts into people who aren't responsible dog owners and leave the majority to continue to enjoy our local surroundings

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#473

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I do believe in creating a safe environment for sports fields as this is important in bringing our communities together.

I sadly believe that limiting dogs, dog owners and dog walkers from enjoying Madills Farm and Glover Park will create more unwanted problems and divide.

Dog walkers, dog owners are just as important in shaping our community.

Unfortunately these changes to limiting dogs at Madills Farm, Glover Park, other parks and beaches will impact everyone across Auckland.

The number of “off-leash” areas is already limited, and these new restrictions will only create more problems. Not only will this lead to more behavioural issues with dogs, but it will also result in dogs being stuck at home all day because owners may not be able to afford dog walkers (which is/can be too expensive for some) or prefer not to walk their dogs due to the restricted locations where they can safely be off-leash.

This will sadly result in more roaming dogs, abandonment, and more animals being sent to pounds, SPCA, and other organizations that are already struggling.

Madills Farm is a great space for all ages and is especially accessible for people with injuries or those who are elderly. It also fosters a sense of belonging for both dog owners and non-dog owners alike.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

The times dogs are allowed off lead/on lead in summer and winter is reasonable as it gives both humans and dogs a chance to enjoy the local beaches in a safe manner.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#478

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#480

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

Other (please explain below)

Tell us why

I support but it is ridiculous how small the dog-free area is of madills farm park. When sports fields are not in official use the dogs have off leash access to about 98% of madills farm. Kids kicking balls etc are frequently harassed by off leash dogs. Their area should be restricted

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Kohi beach

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to a time and season rule / Change the current time and season rule (please specify)

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

A section of it. Please specify:I think half the beach should be dog free throughout summer.

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To reduce owner-related problems (e.g. owner ignoring rules, dogs not under control, dog faeces not picked up, etc). Please specify:That whole beach is essentially off limits to eg small children before ten or after 5. I don’t understand why dog owners get to make others uncomfortable for the whole length of the beach.

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

A/A

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Keep in mind demographically dog owners tend to have the time and energy to lobby aggressively. Less so eg parents with young children. The dog lobby has been given a lot of access rights in the past decade or so. Enough is enough.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#483

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

There is no reason to do this. It removes community access to a public area for what Benefits?

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#484

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#489

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Myself and my three kids all play regular football and touch rugby on these pitches and unfortunately they are regularly not able to be used, or pose a serious danger to us due to the large holes all over the pitches. These holes are difficult to see until you're right on top of them. Several injuries occur each season to various teams due to these holes. Dogs are regularly of leash and digging holes, their owners often can't police them as the parks so big.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

We're very lucky to have so many parks and beach areas. Unfortunately the beaches often have dog excrement on the sand and the parks have holes in them. I think if dog owners needed to keep them on leash this would improve. Thanks

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#490

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

A precedent has been set and dogs have been walking at this parks off leash for years. There are more than enough on lead parks available. The rules clearly state dogs on leash during sports which is absolutely adhered to. I know this because not only am I a dog owner and walker off leash but also play for the local team. And in all my years playing I've never dealt with an off lead dog when sports were being played.

This is not a good solution for madills farm. Do not change what is not broken.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
No

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#495

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

I think these are appropriate for the safety of those using the sports fields and playground, as well as for those using the carpark. As dogs should be on lead around cars to reduce the risk of accidents

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#497

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

The fields absolutely reek of dog urine and poop when the kids are playing soccer. There is always poop left on the grounds, sharp chewed sticks strewn all over the fields, as well as holes dug for the kids to trip in.

Hardly anyone has proper control of their dogs off leash. I've seen kids chased, dogs fighting and dogs crapping in the middle of the pitch while a game was playing.

None of the owners I saw took any responsibility so it's time for the dogs and their owners to go elsewhere.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Maybe more fenced areas for off leash dogs, as I don't think a lot of city dog owners today understand what "under control" actually means.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#499

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#505

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This is restricting dog access to good areas they can get the exercise. If you are prohibiting this area, what area are you opening up for dog exercise and socialisation?

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

I would like Council to consider allowing dogs on beaches during peak time like summer only if the weather is raining and unlikely to be used by families wanting to enjoy the beach.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#512

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Howick

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?Any parks**What local board area is this location in?**Howick**In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?Tell us why**Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash**Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?**A section of it. Please specify:More areas that are fully fenced (and not tiny like the one at Lloyd elsemore). The more fully fenced off lead areas provided, the safer dogs are and other park users who do not like dogs**What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?Please explain your issue in more detail:**To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:If more fenced off leash areas were provided, less people would break the current laws. Also provides a safe space for dogs who do have poor recall.**Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence**As already explained, there are very few fully fenced off lead areas for dogs in Auckland in general. If more fully fenced, decent sized areas are provided, it becomes safer for all park users. However fenced areas need to be triple the size of the stupid

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#520

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

These parks are the only green spaces they can enjoy social interaction and run safely in the nearby area . The sports fields are also torn up by. Users and council not dogs . Dogs need space to run and exercise this is a cruel and anti dog policy if you want the sports fields free of anyone then put a fence around them like they do in the UK . This area has hundreds of dogs we need somewhere to excercise them not leash them all the time . Is council so anti dog they are going to restrict everywhere soon ? In the UK this does not happen .

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Kohi and st Helier’s beach

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

A section of it. Please specify:End parts so not to bother people

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:Give them an area at all times and sign it better

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

Dogs need space and owners need clear areas that are not complex to follow

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Council is gradually stopping areas for dogs and want them leashed all the time xx target bad owners not all dogs .

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#523

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dogs should be allowed on all publics areas under control at all times unless there is a non kauri die back conservation need

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Dogs should be allowed on all publics areas under control at all times unless there is a non kauri die back conservation need

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#527

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills Farm is an excellent community shared zone demonstrating how the public cooperate and enjoy all areas respecting recreational sports when soccer cricket etc is taking place. Dog owners are diligent with picking up faces and ensuring children are safe around their dogs. We have been dog walking there for several years and enjoy the social dog atmosphere there. Very positive.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#535

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Have there been incidents that warrent these changes?

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#538

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The Madills farm park was originally given to the city with the proviso that it be a dog park as well as other. There is no where, where dogs are able to run free in the area. It is used by hundreds of dogs a day none of whom cause trouble with eachother or other people. It is a shared facility not for the exclusive use of sports. In my experience dog owners in the park are v respectful of those playing sports and keep their dogs away from pitches when actually in use. They should have the right to go on them when they are not in use. Share the park.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?Kohimarama**What local board area is this location in?**Ōrākei**In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?Tell us why**Change the current rule to allow dogs under control on a leash**Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?**Entire location**What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?Please explain your issue in more detail:**To reduce owner-related problems (e.g. owner ignoring rules, dogs not under control, dog faeces not picked up, etc). Please specify:**Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence**

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#539

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Removal of dogs allowed under control when sports parks aren’t not in use - removes the community from using the grassed facility for dog walks at safe and reasonable times. Removal of this will restrict dog owners to hot footpaths and roads for their walks - as beaches are also restricted during the summer months. Additionally beaches are a limited option as multiple dogs have died from eating substances on the beach. Many community members use madils early in the morning during quiet hours to walk their dog. Removal of this will remove the sense of community.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#541

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Howick

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Cats are responsible for far more bird killings than dogs! Why do you still allow cats to be unlicensed, un-neutered and to roam freely???

There are NOT enough off-leash dog exercise areas in Auckland. Many more in ALL areas need to be provided URGENTLY.

LARGE areas, not small areas!

Dogs SHOULD be allowed on-leash in all parks and beaches and many more parks and beaches should become off-leash

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Cats are responsible for far more bird killings than dogs! Why do you still allow cats to be unlicensed, un-neutered and to roam freely???

There are NOT enough off-leash dog exercise areas in Auckland. Many more in ALL areas need to be provided URGENTLY.

LARGE areas, not small areas!

Dogs SHOULD be allowed on-leash in all parks and beaches and many more parks and beaches should become off-leash

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#542

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Most dog owners at Madills are extremely responsible. I think when the sports fields aren’t in use the dogs should be allowed a run. There is very rarely any dog poo on the fields and it is tricky to keep the dogs completely off the field especially if you are throwing the ball. We really love exercising our pups at a Madills.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#548

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Sports users should not have the monopoly of use of the park. This proposal to stop dogs being allowed on sports surfaces (which now make up the majority of the park) is not fair or equitable. You state that the changes are not meant to punitive but that is exactly what this is .. you are punishing the majority of respectful dogs and their owners because occasionally a roaming dog soils the pitch. If Health and Safety is the issue then the sports users should inspect the field before play and remove anything that may be a risk to health. It's important to note that this could be broken glass, bottle tops, fireworks or any kind of deitrus left behind by a disrespectful park owner - it isn't always left by a dog. Make it fair to ALL and do not deny access to dogs who have so few areas in which they are welcome already.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Mount Wellington War Memorial Park

What local board area is this location in?

Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:To allow dogs under control off a leash unless sports are being played on the fields

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

There is a real lack of off leash parks in this area and this park would be Ideal.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

While restrictions can sometimes be necessary it's important that it is not only the non-dog owners who are listened to. Dog owners pay rates and they deserve reasonable consideration. The sports users at Madill's Farm repeatedly attempt to change the dog rules and deny access keeping a large majority of the park to themselves, I feel this is selfish. Council needs to allow everyone to use the park for the purposes that suit them.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#549

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

When the sports fields are not in use, dogs need to have an off leash area to run. There are no other local parks that would allow off leash running which is important for dogs. Agree they should not be allowed in playgrounds at all and need to be on leash in car parks.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

If madills farm is no longer an off leash park for dogs, then please develop a local park where dogs can be off leash.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#551

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed restriction of dog access to sporting fields during off-hours. This proposal, while perhaps well-intentioned, would have significant negative impacts on both our community's dog owners and the broader public good.

Our sporting fields represent vital shared spaces that serve multiple community needs. During official sporting events and training sessions, these fields are rightfully dedicated to their primary purpose. However, during off-hours, they provide safe, open spaces for responsible dog owners to exercise their pets – a use that has coexisted harmoniously with sporting activities for years.

The current dual-use arrangement offers several important benefits:

Firstly, accessible dog exercise areas are crucial for public health and safety. Well-exercised dogs are better behaved, reducing the risk of behavioral issues in residential areas. Restricting access to these fields would force more dog owners to exercise their pets on sidewalks and in more densely populated areas, potentially increasing conflicts with pedestrians and traffic.

Secondly, regular dog walking activity provides natural surveillance of these facilities during off-hours, deterring vandalism and antisocial behavior. The presence of responsible dog owners helps maintain these spaces as safe, family-friendly environments even when sports aren't being played.

Thirdly, this proposal would disproportionately impact elderly and mobility-impaired residents who rely on these accessible, flat surfaces to safely exercise their companion animals. Alternative dog exercise areas often lack the same level of accessibility and safety features.

I understand potential concerns about field maintenance, but evidence from other municipalities shows that responsible dog ownership and proper field maintenance are not mutually exclusive. Simple measures such as:

- Maintaining existing requirements for cleaning up after pets

- Clear signage about responsible use

- Designated off-limit areas during field maintenance

- Community education programs

These measures have proven effective in other communities without requiring blanket restrictions.

Rather than implementing restrictions that would negatively impact a significant portion of our community, I urge the council to consider enhancing current management practices and working with local dog owners to address any specific concerns. This could include organizing community clean-up days and promoting responsible pet ownership through education initiatives.

The sporting fields are a valuable community asset that can successfully serve multiple purposes through thoughtful management. I strongly encourage the council to maintain the current arrangement that has served our community well for many years.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Keep the current arrangement

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#552

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#560

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Waitematā

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Please have dog people on your group.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#562

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Puketāpapa

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
There should be more off leash areas for dogs around Auckland.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#567

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Watching off leash dogs around the playing fields you often see them pee on gear left nearby which is totally unacceptable. I fly support this change.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Appreciate that the councils allow us some off leash time on the city beaches in the summer. Very beneficial for helping keep dogs exercised & settled for the day

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#569

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Every day hundred of dogs walk off leash in this area. It is a lovely social place with dog owners all being friendly and allowing dogs to socialise

Particularly in the morning and after five

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#570

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Considering the number of children who play on the sports field at Madills Farm, we need to ensure their safety. My daughter was recently bitten by a dog while riding her bike at Madills and it’s clear from speaking with other parents in the area that this was not an isolated incident and dog attacks are becoming more frequent.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#572

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Increase the penalties and actually follow through

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#581

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

WHAT A WASTE OF A BEAUTIFUL PARK - Kohimarama local resident of 21 years.

I am opposed to ANY changes at Madills Farm.

The football club has fought to have this DOG PARK for decades. Yet as I look at this dog park in this very moment, where are the footballers????? Not one team or person. Aside from a Saturday morning, this is the norm. However, there are ALWAYS MULTIPLE DOGS. They use it far more than the football club. I would also know this as I use to be a member of the football club!

What a WASTE of a beautiful park, to NOT ALLOW dogs to use it. How depressing, to take away the freedom for dogs to socialise and find enjoyment at our lovely park. The local community is disgusted with your proposed rules.

What a WASTE.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#583

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

If you make the sports field a no-access area for dogs, you take away the entire grass area for dogs to run! They would only have on-lead access on the path as the path surrounds the sports field. There are so few areas to take dogs off-lead to run around in this area, it would be a huge loss to the community and families. I do think there needs to be stricter rules around picking up dog poo and dogs not under control off-lead. Madills is one of the very few places around that we can take our child to ride his bike, us parents to exercise and have our dog run with us off lead.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#587

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

As someone who uses Madill's for both dog walking and for sports I believe the current ability for people to be able to exercise their dogs off lead with the sports grounds aren't in use or closed works well.

Most dog owners are responsible and pick up after their dogs. As it is off-lead their is the potential that the occasional dog waste gets left beind unintentionally, however my experience is that there are unfortunately people at any park who don't pick up after their dogs. They won't change their behaviours just because their dog isn't allowed to go on the sport field. In the same way that these people are likely to still allow their dogs to walk offlead even if you were to implement the change. You would ultimately end up only impacting people who do follow the rules and act responsibly and thereby the changes seem completely redundant.

I also don't believe that the dogs walking on the sports field is having a significant impact to the quality of the turf. As a parent who spends many a winter weekend at Madills on the football sidelines, the state the fields can be left in after some rainy weather by those walking on it/playing on it would supersede any damage dogs would possibly do. I can say I don't think I've ever seen a dog digging up the field and if a group of dogs running around they don't churn up the turn like football boots do!

There are already a number of dog on-lead areas within the orakei local board area where people don't follow the rules and allow their dogs off-lead. Please don't take away one of the areas where they can off-lead and within council rules, because even if this change gets implemented I suspect it will be but a tokenistic move and won't change the behaviours of those who already don't act responsibly.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
n/a

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#602

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This park is a dog friendly park and always has been!! The Football club can have blocked off areas to train and play, great. Do not prohibit dogs from the freedom to run around and socialise. This is a local and LOVED area for dog owners, it is a disgrace that such aggressive changes are being proposed.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#604

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Because it is difficult to define where exactly the sport areas are, such as the football pitches.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#610

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I don’t support banning dogs from sports areas. I support keeping the original rules that: Dogs allowed under control off a leash on sports surfaces (marked grass sports fields) when not in use or not closed (Schedules 1(2) and 2).

Dogs prohibited on sports surfaces when in use or closed (Schedules 1(2) and 2) and must be under control on a leash in the vicinity when in use (Schedule 1(2)).

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

On leash is generally fine. Peole will always take their dogs off leash and as properties get smaller and gardens get smaller, people need places to exercise their dogs off leash. Please do not ban all off leash dog activities. I do not support restricting dog access in places they were allowed. I might support on leash changes.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#611

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

It works well with the current policy rules. Please do not change them

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
All current rules work well. Please do not change them

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#613

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I do not own a dog but live in the area. I do not support the proposed rules for the following reasons:

[1] The area closest to offstreet carparking will not be available for dog walking. This will cause dog owners to park their cars on streets closer to the areas where dogs are allowed. (eg Baddeley/Sprott for Madills, or Waitara for Glover). This causes congestion and is also less safe, and leaves an existing carpark asset underutilised.

[2] I have lived in a house overlooking Madills Farm for 15 years and love seeing the green space in use at all times of day, in all seasons and every day of the year. When sports are not on, which is actually the majority of the time, the main users are dogwalkers and their companions. It brings a lot of joy to so many people to be able to use this precious green open space. My understanding is that Madills Farm in particular was set aside as green space for recreation. Not specifically sport. Therefore I believe it is not in the spirit of the intention of this park to exclude one of the main forms of recreation from the vast majority of the park.

[3] These are busy parks but the current rules allow users to spread out so that the parks never feel crowded. Under the new rules dog walkers are effectively restricted to the perimeter walkways, these walkways will become congested. That is not the feeling I want in my local park - dodging people and dogs whenever I want to go for a walk. The perimeter walkways are better utilised by elderly and small children on bikes, leaving the dog walkers to use the inner green space.

[4] I do not understand the logic of excluding dogs from the fields when sports are not on. My children have played football and cricket at both parks for decades and we have never once encountered a problem on the fields caused by dogs.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#615

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I would love an off leash area pls

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#619

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Papakura

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

I do not support the prohibition of dogs on sports fields. Responsible dog owners do not allow their dogs to wreck the playing surface nor leave dog poo lying around. These are wonderful safe areas for a family to take their beloved pet. For us who have an autistic son this rule restricts where we can go and play as the dog is a support for him. It means having to travel further which in itself is a stress for our son.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#621

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The proposed changes do not achieve the stated purpose of “better protecting the health and safety of sports users and dogs, and sports surfaces from damage”. In fact, as a local, and daily user of those parks (both for sports, and dog walking) I would say that the stated reasoning is not even an issue. I live on Glover Park and am there every day, and I can tell you that I have never heard anyone complaining about either ‘health and safety’ or any beyond-normal wear-and-tear ‘damage to the sports surface’. I am also regularly at Madills, and know many others that are there regularly, and again there does not appear to be a real issue that needs addressing. I fear these proposed changes are simply pandering to a vocal minority of regular, habitual complainers (and/or people with irrational fear of dogs).

At Glover there is a community of local dog walkers that are there daily, and without exception every single one appears to be responsible for both picking up after their animal, keeping the animal under control (generally small dogs in the neighbourhood, maybe 90%) and ensuring that there is not damage being done to fields. It makes no sense to withdraw rights from the local people of the park (the actual users) to appease some minority of complainers. The existing rules of not allowing dogs off leash when sports fields are in-use seem entirely sensible, and do not need altering.

Separately, are you aware that if you prohibit dogs at all times, the impact will be that parents will not be able to attend their children's sports? i.e. taking dogs (on leash) to children’s sports is a two-birds-with-one-stone activity for parents of young children. Taking that ability away means that either 1) The animal is not exercised as much (you know most places don’t have good backyards now, so you can’t have things both ways), or 2) The parent can’t attend the sport, as you will force the time to be spent elsewhere attending to the dogs needs (most likely outcome). The impact is in fact to make Auckland a less liveable city.

Additionally, as a local whose entire family uses these parks daily for both sports and dog walking, do you not think it is absurd that a rule is being proposed that would say the local older children can’t take their dog to the park and throw a ball around with them? Madness.

I fear this rule change is going to create a massive social disaster in our community, and the only logical solution will be less families with dogs as it will simply be ‘too hard’ to have pets when you can’t walk them on the grass directly across the road.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

XX

What local board area is this location in?

I don't know

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

I don’t know

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

Other - please specify:X

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

No

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#631

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#637

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

We have lived in the Glover Park area since 2008 and love it. We have, for all that time, had a dog and walked them on the park. It is the only off-leash dog park for a considerable distance. To change the rules so that the sports field are off limits and walking in the vicinity the dog must be on leash is crazy. The sports fields are used at weekends and, occasionally the odd evening in summer. At those times, it is entirely appropriate to keep dogs on a leash. The rest of the time the park goes unused save for the dog owners. It is a space of social gathering and, again, the only place in the area to walk off leash. I cannot remember the last time I've seen dog mess left behind and not picked up. That is more than can be said for the sports people (cricketers in particular) who leave rubbish everywhere. I note that the intention is to allow the other areas of the park to be used off leash. That is not workable for two reasons: 1. its very steep (our dog can't walk up the slope anymore) and 2. You stopped maintaining that space a few years ago meaning it is wild weeds and long grass.

In short, please keep the sports field accessible to off leash dog walkers at times when sports are not being played. To do otherwise would be unfair and prejudicial to the majority of the users of Glover Park (I walk our dog a 6am). Thank you.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Clarifying the rules is sensible but care should be taken not to prejudice the majority of the park users.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#639

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Stop banning access. Walking dogs is a great family and healthy activity in this location.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Stop banning access. Walking dogs is a great family and healthy activity in all locations.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#641

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Albert-Eden

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

it is a great area for dogs to have a run around.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Meola reef should be totally offleash at all times apart from the fenced dog park area.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#643

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

1) The sports surfaces dominate the geography of this park and these are the only flat areas where dog owners can throw a ball for their dogs. The dog population that goes to this park is HUGE and it is not realistic to keep dogs off the grounds (unless they are in use in which case a lot less dogs are there at those times).

2) This park was gifted to the community for recreation. I do not think that the football club or cricket club should dominate large areas of the park for their exclusive use.

3) The dog owners in this vicinity do not have another large flat green area locally to play with their dogs.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#649

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Almost all of Madills farm park is marked with football pitches during winter. These are used only on Saturdays 8am-3pm. This would mean that the park is unusable for families with dogs at all other times. I walk my dog most days to madills and almost always the only people there are people walking their dogs. There are already restrictions for dogs on pitches when in use, this further rule makes the whole park prohibited for 6 months for football, and over cricket season also despite that the games take place for maximum 12 hours per week. There are no other public facilities for dogs for the intensely populated Kohimarama and at Heliers areas. The fields are not frequently used by people without dogs.inside of the problem looking to be solved

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#654

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

This is all anti dogs. Dogs needs public spaces, by restricting dogs you are discouraging people from taking them out, exercising and socialising which will lead to negative effects on dogs wellbeing and socialising and thus cause behavioural issues. It’s also cruel. ********** this person coming up with these proposed changes.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#657

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#658

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

A lot of the year the ‘pitches’ are not in use or basically just grass much as any other grass. Madills farm and Glover would be impossible to delineate between a field (in or off season) and grass. I’ve walked my dog there for years and never seen a dog digging or damaging the fields. Humans yes during games obviously but also other times with people playing or using bikes and scooters etc.Far more damaging than dogs. The rules work fine and most of us with dogs respect the fields and avoid areas when clearly more fragile and ensure our dogs just run. I’m not sure any real claim to damage by dogs as opposed to humans can be justified so the reason for the change to the status quo seems unfounded to me.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

No. I respect dogs and humans alike and see the needs for rules. Shame can’t ban humans though.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#660

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

The majority of dog owners do not have their dogs under control when off leash. This makes these spaces and places unusable by humans. My young grandson has been knocked off his feet several times by dogs that are off leash and out of control.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

St Heliers Beach

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to prohibit dogs

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To respond to increased presence of wildlife (e.g. ground nesting birds). Please specify:There is often protected wildlife at St Heliers including oyster catchers and herons, particularly at the eastern end. Most dog owners allow their dogs to chase birds. This is illegal under the wildlife act. The only solution is to ban dogs from our prec

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

I have dozens of photos of dog owners flouting the dog access rules and also photos of dogs chasing wildlife while their owners watch. Under control is an alien concept for most dog owners.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

What is the point of having dog access rules when council fail to enforce them. Hand out instant fines in the same way that you enforce parking rules and we would suddenly have almost universal compliance.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#662

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The large flat space which comprises the sports fields at Glover Park is the best place to exercise a large dog. The sports fields at Madills Farm could be off limits for dogs but how to enforce that? Fencing?

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

New Zealand is already the most unfriendly dog place I have ever lived, compared to Europe and the USA. Dogs are life companions and while they need to be under control at times, they have very little access to public places. In other countries, I could take my dog everywhere, to the library, on public transport and into shopping malls. NZ is really lagging behind.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#671

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

We need a park where dogs can be exercised off leash during the day as the beaches are prohibited during the summer after 10am. The dogs do not damage the playing fields. More damage is actually caused by the children and adults actually playing on the fields

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#678

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I walk my dog all the time off leash at Madills Farm and Glover Park. This is a community facility that is owned by Auckland council, dog owners pay high rates. The beaches are closed in summer. We need places to let our dogs run and play off leash. These parks are more highly utilized by dog walkers than the sports clubs. I would by happy with a compromise with perhaps one field off limits to dogs but the rest open to dogs when games aren't on. Closing off all the fields is a big disservice to a large part of the community.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Keep dog off leash areas at Madills and Glover Park

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#683

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Utilising Madills Dog Park is the primary off leash exercise our family does with our dog. It is the safest green space in the area for off leash dog walking and has a large number of friendly community members engaging with one another in this space. I’m not entirely sure of the rationale of these changes - the pitches have terrible up keep generally, too many kids playing too frequently, in poor ground conditions. It’s not the dogs and dog walkers doing the damage. Appreciate the need for on leash waking in the vicinity during sporting events but prohibiting access for dogs in the fields when not in use is unreasonable, would under utilise the space and is not in the spirit of community.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#685

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

when sport is not being played there is no reason given as to why dogs cant go on grounds. The dogs aren't digging or ripping fields up. Different if they are closed due to weather.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#688

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This is one of the best places to walk ones dog and there is a huge community that comes to Madills for this. Very few parks in this area allow for off leash like Madills and it is an essential area for those with dogs to interact and enable dogs to interact with other dogs.

By proposing this legislation you decrease the ability for dogs to have a place to learn how to socialize correctly and in turn have a greater risk of dogs not learning the correct behaviors.

By taking away the ability to walk dogs on the sports fields you essentially take away the ability to walk dogs at Madills as majority of the park is now sports fields. If you proceed with this proposal you should also reduce the number of sports fields and set outside a sizeable area for off leash dog activity.

If you

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Your current method of prohibition of dogs in areas rather than incouraging training of dogs and the ability of dogs leaning to interact with people and dogs is concerning and will lead to more problems done the line

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#689

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

The proposed changes to the local areas are more balanced than those for the regional parks, which is at least something.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#691

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I've explained fully my thoughts and wishes for Madills Farm already.

PLEASE DO NOT CHANGE THE LAWS TO MAKE DOG WALKING MORE RESTRICTIVE, HERE OR AT GLOVER PARK.🙏.

Please refer to my previous comments on these parks.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

ST HELIERS BEACH

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

Again - I have commented fully previously in the survey.

But basically...we have never had a problem on St Heliers or Kohimarama beaches with our dog. EVERYONE with a dog LOVES the beach, they are very responsible, and it is so sad to see inspectors making

Location 2

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

MADDILLS FARM

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

I HAVE COMMENTED FULLY ON THIS PARK EARLIER IN THE SURVEY..

There is no point i repeating everything again. Please refer to my earlier comments in this survey.

Location 3

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

MADILLS FARM AND LOCAL BEACHES

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

I have commented fully previously in this survey. Please refer to them.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

No

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#696

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I use Madills Farm every day to exercise my dog and have done for over 3 years. It's very popular at all times of day with local dog owners who I have always found to be respectful of the environment. I carry several dog poop bags to tend to the needs of my dog and also to pick up any dog waste i see that has been left by a stray dog, or a distracted owner. Everybody I communicate with there has the same attitude to collecting any waste that has been missed. A law change to ban dogs from sports fields will only affect respectful owners. The stray dogs will still defecate there, as will the dogs of disrespectful owners who won't care whether there is a law prohibiting them, or not. The park is for the use of all ratepayers and while I wholly agree with dogs being prevented from entering a field of play while an activity is ongoing, I do not see why sports fields shouldn't be used by dog owners when they are free. If it is a matter of players encountering dog waste on the pitches, surely these should be inspected before use? There could be broken glass, sharp objects or any other public litter that could be harmful to players.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Just that local facilities should be kept, as much as possible, for use by the whole community

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#698

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This is a well-loved park by dogs and their owners. From my personal experience, I have no issue sharing this park with other dog owners as they are all responsible in looking after their dogs litters. The dogs in this park are all well behaved as they have been socialised in this park most of their lives.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Auckland council should put more action towards their policy with dog ownership. Why would responsible dog owners suffer the effect of irresponsible dog owners? Responsible dog owners should be able to enjoy the freedom of living their lives with their beloved family in this country. A number of academic research support the positive effect of dog and nature in the wellbeing and mental state of people. Why should we hinder this by limiting the owners time to spend outside with their dogs?

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#702

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

There should not be a reduction in parks where dogs are able to have access to / be off leash). I pay my rates, as well as PAYE, so I don’t see why people playing sport should have more “rights” to public spaces as I should with my dog.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

There should not be a reduction in parks where dogs are able to have access to / be off leash). I pay my rates, as well as PAYE, so I don’t see why people playing sport should have more “rights” to public spaces as I should with my dog.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#703

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Franklin

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This area has alot of families with dogs and crazy need access to areas to exercise there dogs of the lead. If people are scared of dogs they should use all the other parks where dogs are not allowed. Better patoling of areas for dangerous unmuzzled dogs would be more appropriate and fune people who do not pick up after their dogs

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Monte Cecilia

What local board area is this location in?

Franklin

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to prohibit dogs

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

You are punishing the majority in favour of the few. Patrol the areas better to keep everybody safe.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#704

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

There are no definitive areas marked as 'sports fields' at Maddills. unless you are going to put a fence around the cricket/football field? how the heck do you define such an area. Whoever came up with this idea obviously doesn't like dogs.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#706

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

generally there should be more parks and areas dedicated to dog free where dogs are prohibited at all times. This would make it easier to explain. enforce, and would best protect humans and wildlife.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#708

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Albert-Eden

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#714

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Glover and Madills farm should be available for everyone to use not just children playing sports. People with dogs should not be banned from areas that are only used for a small portion of the time for sports activities.. There are so many families with dogs in the area who use the glover park for recreation. It is the closest safe area for me to walk my dog off leash without fear of her running on a busy road, or getting ticks from Churchill park.

During the week when the athletics field is set up, there is no one using the field from morning until late afternoon other than dog walkers. Why leave the field completely empty when it can be enjoyed for many hours by dog walkers - rather than making it exclusive for non dog walkers, and sports players for only a couple of hours a day? During the day very few people are there without a dog. To be told we are unable to use this empty field that is perfect for getting exercise for my dog and myself makes no sense. What harm are they doing? I very rarely see dog poo not picked up. I do see leftover food and containers from children playing sports and leaving rubbish. Perhaps we should ban children from the fields also?

These parks have been gifted to Auckland for everyone to use. Including those with pets that are part of the family. There should not be one particular group banned, because of the opinion of a small vocal minority. This will just create a divide within the community for no logical reason. I could understand if the field was used for sports for the majority of the day - but this is not the case with Glover Park or Madills farm, You could be banning a group of people (and dogs) that use the fields for more hours of the day than when it is use for sports.

Current rules, banning dogs when the field is in use is sufficient. What damage is a dog doing to painted lines on a field?

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#717

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

My children and others have been rushed at by dogs on multiple occasions in both madills and glover. Both are now terrified of dogs and now won’t go to the parks.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Frequently dogs off leash during on leash hours at st Heliers beach. Seen numerous times people being knocked off their feet by off leash dogs.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#719

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dogs need to be banned from the playing fields so that the horrible injuries we are seeing from the holes they dig can be eliminated

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Dogs need to be banned from the playing fields so that the horrible injuries we are seeing from the holes they dig can be eliminated

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#726

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

I have seen so may injuries over the years on the pitchs at Madills Farm from player who step into holes that dogs have dug. Injuries ranging from ACL tears to twisted and broken ankles.

I think the proposed is very reasonable and should hopefully benefit both players on the pitch and local residents as it keeps a reasonable area for off lease dogs.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#729

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The space proposed is not sufficient. To confined for large dogs. They need large areas.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Clear signs on beaches and parks. Signage is very confusing.

Please do not cut down the area allowed for madills and glover, for our four legged friends. Infill housing has cut down dogs lawns! Enough enough council stop taking!

Why not fully fence two of the soccer fields. Leave te rest for the rate paying public to use and enjoy.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#733

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

There have been many injuries to sports players caused by holes dug by dogs on the pitches. This change will make a significant positive difference to the hundreds of children, men and women using the pitches every week.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#737

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

There is no clear demarcation of what is or isn't a sports field at Glover Park.

The use of the park changes regularly, as do the fields in use. That being the case, it is not possible for dog walkers to know which areas of the park are available for off leash access.

This ambiguity makes it very unfair and onerous for dog walkers.

In addition, fir the majority of the time, the fields are not used as sports fields so it is unfair to ban dig walkers from using a public park to walk dogs off leash.

This is clearly prioritising the rights of the sports people over all other park goers

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

As a resident living next to Glover Park, I can confirm that there is a much bigger burden placed on the park by sports people than by dog walkers. We are constantly unable to access our driveway by sports people blocking it, and by causing traffic congestion around the area. In addition, there is copious rubbish left by sports teams.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#751

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I take my dog to Glover Park every weekday. Quite often we are the only ones there or it's us and other dog owners with their dogs. People are responsible and respectful. I have never see a dog cause harm to a human or damage to sports fields. Our kids play club Soccer there on the weekends in Winter so we see both sides of it. Glover Park is for the whole community and the majority of the time it is not being used for sporting events. it's a wonderful safe space to walk my dog off leash. I can easily keep an eye on her as it is such a wide open space. The dog owners in our neighbourhood who I see almost everyday are responsible dog walkers. The breeds you see in this area are not aggressive ones. Please keep Glover an off leash (under control) park. We really appreciate it. Thank you.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#755

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Waitematā

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dogs need space to run, having used the park for 50 years I don't see an issue as it stands

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Please concentrate on real issues not ones that don't exist

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#760

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Both parks provide a wide open space for dogs. Never have I seen any dog poop not picked up on these fields. Often used by myself and other responsible dog owners.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

As long as dog owners are responsible I dont see nay reason why dogs should not be allowed in local parks.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#769

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Pathetic. Only area at glover park is now on the hill side or on the cliff side where dogs can run off and fall down the cliff. All dogs enjoyed being off leash and having a run and play with other dogs. You are restricting that, making them kept chained up on leashes and taking away their enjoyment. NOT once have I encounted an unruly dog and these two parks, it is a nice social place to meet other like minded dog owners and let your own dog play nicely with others. You are taking away that and creating a disgusting anti social environment. If you are going to remove flat playing fields for dogs so humans can use it 10% of the time then reinstate another playing field dedicated to off leash dogs. People take their dogs to parks because they are flat open spaces where the dogs are easily controlled, and there are safe walking paths for people. By shoving us into the unmowed grass, we will now be too dirty to walk through long hilly grass, it is not enjoyable and the dogs will run straight into the flat lawn which they prefer. Grow up and have some logical thinking. I've never seen such an anti dog council as this one, dogs are already barred from every public place and shop in this ********** country and now you're banning them from nature...get a grip.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Absolute joke. Have some common sense and allow dogs who most consider family members the freedom to run off leash. I've never seen one harm anyone or destroy the ground. Stop controlling it's disgusting and allow people to use common sense

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#772

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

When not in use it should be fine for dogs to be allowed on sport surfaces. It has never been a problem up till now

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#778

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Albert-Eden

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Sensible, and fair to all

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#786

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dogs are digging up the football and cricket pitches leading to injuries to children and adult players. The owners stand by and watch the dogs do it. Moreover owners often do not remove dog poo from the pitches and players have to do it themselves, which is incredibly unhygienic. There is more than enough room outside of the pitches for dogs to play.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#787

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I support the idea of the changes (I have both dogs and children who play touch on the fields) but there needs to be more area available for dogs to be off-leash. Its also a change for the 100's of dogs who currently use this area to walk/play and the dogs will not know there is a change. A fenced area for dogs would be a good idea, I do not believe that people have enough control over their dogs and will still run onto the fields when not in use. I guess in summary I only support more restrictions to existing access if suitable alternatives and dog park areas are supplied.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?Crossfields Reserve**What local board area is this location in?**Ōrākei**In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?Tell us why**Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash**Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?**A section of it. Please specify:The outside of the paths around the reserve, or a fenced dog area in the unused sections of the reserve**What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?Please explain your issue in more detail:**To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:Currently many dogs are seen here in the middle of the sports fields, or getting out of car with ball thrower and ive seen dogs poop and owner doesnt see or pick it up. There is a lot of land around the edges that could be dog friendly without interfering**Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence**Currently many dogs are seen here in the middle of the sports fields, or getting out of car with ball thrower and ive seen dogs poop and owner doesnt see or pick it up. There is a lot of land around the edges that could be dog friendly without interfering

Location 2

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Mount Taylor Reserve

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:The reserve is not well used by children or for any sports. DOgs should be allowed under control and specific warnings about livestock similar to other farm parks.

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

The reserve is not well used by children or for any sports. Dogs should be allowed under control and specific warnings about livestock similar to other farm parks. It needs a sign to confirm the dog rules

Location 3

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Sierra Reserve

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

I don’t know

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:Its unsuitable as a dog park

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

Sierra reserve allows off-leash dog access but locals don't use it because; 1.)if you throw a ball there it goes down the steep hill and onto the road. 2.)There is no bin for dog droppings but one close by that would be better here unless the park is exte

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#790

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I have lived in Auckland my whole life, and all i have witnessed is unnecessary change. this park/part of the park SHOULD remain for dogs/families. There are limited spots, and parks already across Auckland for people to SAFELY and FREELY walk their dogs, without worry or threat. This park has open space, pathways and is familiar to local families and neighbor's. What we have plenty of? sports teams. they have schools, stadiums, backyards, other public parks located at their dispense thought-out each Auckland suburb. people playing football don't have requirement's, restrictions or boundaries on where they can play - however dog owners do. I think you are placing too much importance on an already well supported group of people and are ripping away the minimal space already available to another group in the community. Please don't keep making New Zealand an impossible and insufferable place to live. we can all agree its already bad enough.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#806

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The proposed changes are too restrictive and provide almost no space where large dogs can safely play away from the road. I recognise the need for improvements and am really frustrated that a few irresponsible dog owners are allowing their dogs to dig holes or leave a mess on sports fields but this proposal punishes the responsible dog owners as well as the irresponsible ones. I would prefer to see half of the sports fields as no-dogs and half as off leash when fields are not in use.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

I support the current beach access (season and time) rules and hope that these do not also change.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#808

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The community gathers on Madills. I haven't seen mis behaved dogs/owners.

Its a real gathering place because of the dogs.

They chat n socialize their dogs here it's part of good dog training. They place to train their off lesh.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Please keep Madills ava for dogs .

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#824

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dogs should be allowed on the sports fields when they are not in use. It is ridiculous (and frankly, impossible to enforce) to prevent dogs from having off leash time on a wide expanse of grass that they have been enjoying for as long as I have lived here. I both walk my dogs at Madill's Farm and have kids who play soccer on the fields. The two activities are not mutually exclusive, and it is unacceptable for the football club to think they can commandeer the park when it is not in use for community games.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?Madill's Farm**What local board area is this location in?**Ōrākei**In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?Tell us why**Change the current rule to allow dogs under control on a leash**Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?**A section of it. Please specify:Playground**What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?Please explain your issue in more detail:**Other - please specify:I would like to allow leashed dogs in the playground area.**Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence**I would like to allow leashed dogs in the playground so I can walk my kids and dogs to the playground and keep an eye on both at the same time.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#827

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Waitematā

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

In my 40 years of owning dogs i have never come across problematic owners and dogs i fact Madills is a happy well balanced area for dogs and humans but what i have noticed is a younger woke generation that take a dislike to dogs and are clearly teaching their children the same behaviour . It saddens me that these types of people spend time not embracing a love for animals but an immediate up in arms approach to sharing a space and teaching their children that the huge percentage of dogs are just happy wee ones themselves. I'm not talking about South Auckland I'm talking about good people in good areas like Madills and Glover and well aware of the campaign against dogs . Very sad society we are becoming.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Like i said i'm always at Kohi or Takapuna beach and always a happy shared space. Most people adhere to dog rules only a minority don't.

Prefer our tax payer money spent on something that needs addressing

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#828

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

We do not have enough off leash areas for our dogs as it is, so to take away this valuable dog walking playing socializing area is not acceptable. It is meant to be a shared facility and that I understand was what the owner wanted when they gifted their land. There is no reason that has been outlined as to why dogs cannot be allowed on there when sport is not in play on the field.. This is totally unacceptable unacceptable.

The number of dogs (and people owning dogs) has increased substantially in Auckland. The number of people living in the city now (since this was last reviewed ?) and the increase in dog ownership, particularly post covid has gone crazy. Instead of limiting the number of places dogs can go, we should be including the number of areas that dogs are allowed to use.

Auckland Councll should be following Australia's lead and have local neighbourhood fully fenced in off leash areas as well as sports parks and recreation areas for dog to play in. Rather than penalise good dog owners, penalties for bad dog owners should be increased and a responsible dog licence required for all dogs.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#836

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

You are reducing the park size when not in use by sports so that dogs are too close to the road(safety) and there is no need to do this.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Status quo in Maddills/Glover please.
Change Crossfield reserve to off leash at all times on the outside of the path around the park

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#842

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

My family and I live next to Glover Park and walk our, registered and de-sexed, dog daily there.

My two children, aged 11 and 9 play a lot at the park too. During winter we watch our daughter play football on a Saturday with our dog, on a lead, on the sideline. This summer our son has practiced his cricket in the nets and Maisy has been with us on the lead.

We feel safe allowing our children to walk our dog down to Glover without us always being there, encouraging independence.

We are 100% responsible and pick up any dog poo. In fact, I cannot think of a time I have seen poo on the grass.

When cricket matches are happening during the summer, it is common sense that we would not take our dog down there off leash.

I personally know of a few older ladies who time their dog walks at Glover so they can socialise with each other alongside walking their dogs. It seems very wrong to deny them this opportunity.

We thoroughly enjoy walking Maisy in our local area. What alternative is being proposed for those walkers of Glover?

I cannot understand the thinking behind this change. I feel very sad that our local park might make this change and I do not support it at all.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#854

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This park was gifted to Auckland Council as a multi-purpose space, and should continue to be. The space is generally shared well with a wide mix of users, including dogs, families and sports teams. It seems that the wishes of a few (specifically the soccer club) are being put ahead of the wishes of the majority. We all pay rates and should be able to use the space as it is currently, and in alignment with the original wishes of the gift to the city.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#855

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Glover Park works wonderfully well as a space shared by all.

I am a mum of 2 and (more recently) a dog owner. In our 16 years living in the area, we have loved having access to Glover Park for it's playground, flat sports ground, and more recently, a big open space to let our dog run freely when sports aren't being played on the field.

Most dog owners in our area are respectful of other park users and it is very rare to see any dog poo left unpicked.

Can't there simply be a sign asking dog owners to keep their dogs off the main area if it is being used by a sports club or à school? A blanket ban is unecessary. Most people are careful anyway, even without a sign.

I personally feel the proposal is a step too far.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#873

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I don’t know

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#874

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The justification for prohibiting dogs from sports fields at all times is inconsistent with how these spaces are used in practice. A substantial portion of time, these fields are not being used for sporting purposes and remain empty. Under the current rules, these fields serve as vital open spaces for dog owners to exercise and play with their dogs while still respecting other park users when the fields are in use. Removing access to these areas at all times seems unnecessarily restrictive.

Madills Farm and Glover Park are cherished community spaces that foster social connections among dog owners. Restricting off-leash access would hinder these interactions, detracting from the inclusive and welcoming atmosphere the parks currently provide.

The current rules already provide a balanced approach by allowing dogs on sports surfaces only when they are not in use or closed. These rules ensure the safety and enjoyment of sports users while accommodating the needs of dog owners. Better enforcement of the existing rules, rather than an outright prohibition, would be a more effective solution.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

The council needs to reconsider this proposal and prioritize solutions that reflect the diverse needs of all park users not just the few. A balanced approach can safeguard sports surfaces while ensuring sufficient off-leash space for dogs and their owners.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#875

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

I have two young boys who both play football and ride bikes at Madills Farm. The younger one is extremely scared of dogs. While we love to share spaces with others in our community we have had some really traumatic experiences particularly when watching my eldest train mid-week and there are dogs off leash on the sports grounds. In my experience some dog owners and some dogs are great and well behaved / follow the rules. and others do not. I think clearer rules would benefit all.

My husband and I also play football, although not for eastern suburbs club, the fields at Madills Farm are some of the worst in Auckland and are difficult to play on, there are often holes dug by dogs. It's dangerous for players and adds cost to the club and /or council to repair.

I strongly support changes to remove dogs from the sports fields.

I would also recommend that dogs should be on a leash on the walking path as well. There are many children riding bikes and scooters it puts both dog walkers and the children at risk of injury.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Mission Bay Beach / Selwyn Domain

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to prohibit dogs

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

A section of it. Please specify:near the playground

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To reduce owner-related problems (e.g. owner ignoring rules, dogs not under control, dog faeces not picked up, etc). Please specify:to reduce potential for negative interactions between children and dogs.

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

Dogs should not be allowed on the playground / near the playground as there are lots of children in this space and it increases the risk of an accident.

Location 2

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Mission Bay Beach / Selwyn Domain

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to a time and season rule / Change the current time and season rule (please specify)

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To reduce owner-related problems (e.g. owner ignoring rules, dogs not under control, dog faeces not picked up, etc). Please specify:owners ignoring rules

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

The on leash time should start from 9am. This beach is popular with families, ours included, by 9am there are often many people setting up for the day and dogs should not be allowed.

To reduce owner-related problems (e.g. owner ignoring rules, dogs not under control, dog faeces not picked up, etc). Please specify:

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Thanks for doing a great job of managing these really important community spaces.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#878

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Unfortunately there are not many off leash areas we are currently able to enjoy. The issue is picking up dog poos which most responsible dog owners do including myself. Madills is one of the few decent off lead areas which we can throw balls and give our dog a good run around - our dog is totally non aggressive and comes when called (due to our training which in part was at Madills). By taking away our local park from off lead exercise it simply doesn't give us any alternatives (the beach while swimming during summer until 10am). We pay our dog registration and taxes which I thought might have included a short run around our accessible park, you will find most dog owners in our area are fairly decent and responsible dog loving people, the dogs also get to socialise which is important for general behavior too. When sports teams are using the fields we don't let our dog run through there.

Please keep Madills an off lead dog exercise area. thanks

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#879

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Unused sports surfaces at both Madills and Glover Park are massive and prohibiting dogs is: 1. unnecessary as dogs represent no threat, 2. would be a tremendous waste of space currently enjoyed by many neighbors, 3. would dramatically reduce neighborhood social engagement by reducing the opportunities for dogs and owners to enjoy these spaces together, and 4. would lead to discord and potential fighting as neighbors try to police other neighbors behaviour.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Dogs under control represent a significant social good for the community. They promote harmony and community spirit among all of us. Pitting dog owners against sporting people is a terrible idea and should be dropped. These facilities are public and should be available for all to use.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#880

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

There is nowhere else listed in Orakei that wouldn't fit this criteria . Manila is almost all sports field or playground so you're effectively banning dogs. The Orakei basin is often not suitable for older people as it's too rough and slippery. There has to be places to let dogs play with each other. In Australia they have fenced areas for dogs to play whenever. These are in almost all parks so easy to access and use.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?Fenton Circus Orakei**What local board area is this location in?**Ōrākei**In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?Tell us why**Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash**Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?**Entire location**What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?Please explain your issue in more detail:**To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:Numerous owners and their families briefly use the circus to play without incident and there is nowhere else within walking distance for this. This is widely supported by the community!**Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence**See above.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#881

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This is area is well used and supported by dog walkers and recreation uses. It helps to build the community and provide as safe use of the parks

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#885

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Waitematā

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

change is not necessary and does not fit with the aims stated around dog policy

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#889

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

There are limited green, open and flat spaces for us to walk dogs in the Orakei ward. Madills farm is an important location to walk dogs off leash in the sport fields when not in use as it is flat and easily visible. This amenity also provides a sense of community to dog walkers in the area. I have not seen any reasoning behind the proposed restricted access, and therefore do not understand why it is proposed. Thank you.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#890

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

There are very few off leash areas for dogs to go as it is. There is a beautiful dog community at Madills Farm and if you remove access all access There is no where to run a dog. Dogs need exercise and socialisation. By removing areas that they can run freely in you will create more problem dogs. It's not on. As a council you should be making more dog friendly areas not less, there are so few as it is. It's a disgusting proposal. Dogs do not cause a problem down at Madills or Glover Park It's people who are nothing but precious that I'd the problem. As dog ownership is increasing more dog friendly parks and areas need to be dedicated. In none of these proposals do you offer new places for dogs to be exercised off leash you just keep taking. I know I will never abide by it if you change it - go to **********!

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Kohimarama Beach

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

A section of it. Please specify:Allow dogs under control an off leash section of the beach

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:See comments above

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

There should be a beach you can take your dog to all year round.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

CREATE MORE NOT LESS! STOP TAKING ACCESS AND OFF LEASH AREAS AWAY.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#893

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

There is no logic to dogs not being be

allowed on a sports field when it is not in use. This is a public space and should be accessible to members of the public including dog owners. If no sports are taking place, then dogs running in that area are not causing any harm or diisruption and restricting access is potentially harmful to gigs who need a space to freely excercise.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#904

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

For Gods sake this is getting ridiculous!! Leave our dogs alone, Dogs cannot be on a leash at all times, this is not feasible

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#917

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dog enforcement should be targeted towards owners who aren't following current rules rather than a blanket ban on all dogs from particular areas

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#920

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Glover Park is a great place to let dogs run and socialize with other dogs off leash. Most owners are very responsible and pick up dog poop from the field. The other parts of the park are very steep to walk and not everyone will be able to walk their dogs to the top of the park, its also very difficult to prevent dogs from running onto the field when off leash as well. Id prefer to see the sports teams go rather than the dogs, however this can be a shared space for all. I live on the edge of the park and have walked/run my dogs here for years, in fact I wouldn't be able to provide the exercise my dog needs if he cant run off leash. Also its a lovely social time to meet other dog owners and the park is much loved by many dog owners and pets.

Dogs should be allowed to run off leash if the sports felid is not is use as per the current rules, I do not support that change.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?St Heliers Glover Park**What local board area is this location in?**I don't know**In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?Tell us why**Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash**Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?**Entire location**What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?Please explain your issue in more detail:**To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:**Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence**

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#927

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This is the only local park for dogs to be off leash and run around freely. Sports venues should be arranged in a stadium not a local park.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Local parks should be used by the locals not for sports clubs.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#931

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I believe that dog owners control their dogs incredibly well in Madills Farm. It is fair for them to have to be on lead when sports are being played but at other times they should be allowed off leash in a controlled setting.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
No

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#937

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Any Auckland Beaches

What local board area is this location in?

I don't know

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control on a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:To allow shared areas when on leash or under control off leash, during the 9am-6pm band atm on all beaches.

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

I support dog walkers with many dogs. They play an important part to our community and our pets. The dogs are trained to socialise with other dogs, get well exercised and a happier pets and owners.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#943

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Yesterday I submitted my feedback for the proposed changes to the council's dog policy and bylaw.

I am making a correction.

I am against all the proposed changes to the current rules.

I do not accept any of the alternative options offered.

I also do not see how my age, gender and ethnicity are relevant to these views in any way whatsoever, and I would appreciate an explanation why these questions are included, and how they relate to the matter at hand.

The proposed rules are not good for dog owners and seem to serve a vocal minority who either simply don't like dogs, or who have had bad experiences with dangerous dogs. Dangerous dogs and their owners should be held responsible as appropriate, and we should not punish the majority who are responsible.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

na

What local board area is this location in?

Franklin

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

I don’t know

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

A section of it. Please specify:na

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

Other - please specify:na

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

na

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

The majority of dogs and their owners are perfectly safe, responsible and harmless. There is a small group of dogs and owners who are not. This group should not ruin it for those of us who take the care to train and socialise our dogs correctly.

There possibly needs to be some use of the Responsible Dog Owner License beyond a discounted renewal cost. Perhaps responsible dog owners should have a different tag and different rules, so that members of the public who may not feel safe generally around dogs can see that that particular animal is registered as safe.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#944

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madill's Farm was bequeathed to the local residents of Kohimarama as a place of recreation for all. It is NOT and never HAS BEEN a sportsground, despite the efforts of the sports club that occupies part of the park rent free. At any time of the day local residents enjoy walking and playing with their dogs on the PARK, and allow out-of-area sports teams to use areas of our park at our discretion. The sports club has NO RIGHT to restrict our access to our park, but we allow sports teams to share our area of recreation (whose maintenance is paid for with our rates, be it noted). This wretched sports club has tried numerous times to exclude dogs from the grassy areas of our park, and time and again they have failed to do so. This park belongs to us, the local residents, who vote at local body elections, and have long memories.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

As a woman who walks her dog alone most mornings it is important to me, and other women , that we have access to a flat, open park where we can see and be seen from all angles. Heavy bush may conceal predators which makes Madill's Farm a particularly safe, sociable park for local residents' recreation. Not all of us want to kick a ball to get our exercise, and we have the right through the payment of our not-inconsiderable rates to enjoy our local amenities as we see fit.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#947

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

My dog is taken to Madills Farm for exercise and I have never seen any damage to playing surfaces caused by dogs in the years I have been going there

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
I believe current rules are working well and don’t need to be tampered with

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#948

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Waitematā

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dogs should be allowed off leash somewhere

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#949

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Glover Park and Madills are almost completely marked out with sports fields so this limits the off-leash part to pretty much nothing. As long as the sport fields are not being using, ie during the week, early mornings or evenings I do not support the change in the by-laws and believe it should stay as is. Everyone should be able to enjoy the park with their dogs included. Most dog owners are responsible, pick up after their animals etc. Thos that don’t are ones that will flaunt any new laws anyway, so you are only punishing the good pet/dog owners. There are limited places where dogs can go off lead safely. With more and more houses going up, there is less land on properties and Aucklanders rely on these types of parks to let their dogs have some safe, off-lead play and fun.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#950

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#952

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Further limiting dog access

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

N/A

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

I don’t know

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

Other - please specify:

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

No option to go back

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

All you are doing is making the parks more restrictive for dogs. Dogs are part of the family and should be allowed on leash.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#953

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The current rules work well and the dogs and their owns love the venues and behave responsibly and respectfully at all times

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
No

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#954

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This statement of proposal outlines that the change supports responsible dog owners only restricting access for new park developments, or where there are more people, increased presence of protected wildlife and new threats to natural habitat ... etc(ref. item 2 of proposal). none of these items apply at Madills farm, and therefore I do not support the removal of the dog-friendly family amenity provided by the large open run-free space currently available. The proposal covers a very large portion of the open part of the park with a no-access area and will significantly affect the ability of families with dogs to play and run together.

There does not appear to be a replacement open-space area that is dog friendly in the immediate vicinity. The loss of amenity in this area is unacceptable given how many dog-loving families would have moved into the area relying on the dog-friendly play area.

In addition - it is unrealistic to keep dogs off grass areas unless they are fenced off from immediately adjacent dog-friendly grass areas. This will effectively reduce the ability of dogs to visit a higher proportion of the park than intended when off leash.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#955

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#961

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This area provides a large space for my dog to run freely and for me to throw balls/frisbee/toys for my pet to get great exercise when the fields are not in use.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#962

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dogs can use sports grounds at public places when not being used.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Dogs off leash at beaches after 5:00pm and before 10:00am

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#964

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#981

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#987

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Albert-Eden

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Be more visible policing the existing rules and things would work fine.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#997

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

If there is not a scheduled match then it’s is the perfect place to play ball sports with your dog as part of family activities during the day (since dogs are not permitted on the beach at this time). Madills Farm should remain a family friendly area where dogs (as an integral part of many Auckland families) should be invited to stretch their legs, fetch balls and play with their owners off leash.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

I love how our city is dog friendly in many areas. Please consider adding more opportunities for off leash dog areas in our ever expanding city. Building more houses on less land does not equate to a happy living environment for animals and humans. They/we need space to run and be free. Many families chose to move to Auckland originally because of homes with gardens, parks and space. The kiwi dream (especially in the city) is getting less dreamlike every time you add more restrictions to our already limited off leash resources.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1012

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

WE are local dog owners and have lived in the area(Clarendon Road) for over 20 years and had three small dogs in that time.

A lot of residents also have dogs and we all walk them at both Glover and Madills and have formed great friendships over time reinforcing the community spirit that we all seek in a neighbourhood.

Over time there have always been issues with some people not liking dogs but generally everyone gets on well even on sports days when dog owners and children play together.

There is always the one or two people that sometime go to the extreme in either being a poor dog owner or an intolerant spectator but generally in the main everyone gets along.

The status quo should remain and dogs should be permitted off lead on the sports field when not in use. This rule works well and is enjoyed by the community if you are practicing sport, ,athletics or walking your pet everyone seems to get along and tolerate / understand each other.

The parks are an asset for all Aucklanders and we should all show tolerance and get along together

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1019

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

There are long periods of time each day when no one is using the playing fields. Outdoor spaces are for everyone to use. I am not a dog person, but I do think it's wonderful that dogs and owners enjoy our parks. Dogs need exercise. Pavements are too hot in summer.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?Crossfield Park**What local board area is this location in?**Ōrākei**In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?Tell us why**Change the current rule to allow dogs under control on a leash**Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?**Entire location**What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?Please explain your issue in more detail:**To reduce owner-related problems (e.g. owner ignoring rules, dogs not under control, dog faeces not picked up, etc). Please specify:People can't seem to obey the different season

/ time / date rules, so make the rule a blanket one. Dogs on leashes at all times. Sick of excited dogs jumping up on me. (I am scared of dogs)**Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence**Because owners fail to acknowledge or obey the rules around change of season / time / day.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

If you are taking away spaces for dogs to play, then you need to find replacement spaces. You have taken away beaches and many parks. Madills is highly used. Maybe police it more rather than eliminating it for everyone!!

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Give dogs space to play. Don’t just take them all away!!

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1027

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1028

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

There should be no off leash areas

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

We need increased compliance monitoring and an instant fine process for those who breach the rules . It is frustrating that owners think it is ok for dogs to be off leash on streets leading to off leash areas.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1029

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Current rules work well, new rules are completely restrictive. We use the fields 2x per days to exercise our dogs and there are rarely sports on these fields. It is an important area for the community, we come together with other families and dogs so they can play together

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1032

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dogs should be permitted on the sports grounds when there's not being used for organised sport.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

We shouldn't discourage exercise for dogs on beaches and in parks if the dogs are under control and owners pick up poo. Deal with the small number of delinquent owners - don't penalise all.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1037

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Our dogs are well trained and we do not walk them on sports fields when the games are on in progress. As taxpayers and payers of two registrations, we have a right to access to parks to walk our dogs off leash. We also pay exorbitant dog rates which certainly don't cover poo bags anymore. we pay rates to maintain parks that we now will not be able to use? Makes no sense.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Madills Farm

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Stop penalising dog owners!!!!! Our dogs are our family. What about stopping careless cricket/football players and their supporters from leaving food scraps and garbage behind after a game every single weekend that we have to clean up.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1056

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The sports fields take up the majority of the centre of the park leaving only the creek on one side and the road on the other. Neither of which is suitable for a dog off lead, particularly young dogs. Apart from a few weekday practices the sports fields are usually empty during the week so it would seem a poor use of resources to have the centre of the park unused while dog walkers (of which there are many) either forced to walk around the outside of the park with their dog on a lead, use another park or ignore the bylaw. I use the park a lot as it has great drainage during wet weather and find there is no issue with the current bylaws so do not understand why there is consideration being given to changing them

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
No

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1064

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

the rules work as they are. Dog owners are responsible. There are very few limited areas where the dogs can run around freely.

This is draconian and an overstep by the Council.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

leave the rules as they are. They are not abused. you will end up having people break the rules because there will be no where else to run their dogs.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1068

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1092

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dog owners should be fined if they don’t pick up dog poo and then we can all enjoy the parks.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1107

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

As a responsible dog owner who regularly uses Madill's Farm and Glover Park it is outrageous that we should not be able to use council ie ratepayers owned facilities to walk our dogs off leash when there is no organised events happening. I have never witnessed a dog doing damage to either of these parks and the known benefits of having a safe place to walk dogs off leash and interact with other dogs should outweigh any poo that hasn't been picked up. This just reeks of sporting clubs controlling all activities on Council land - appalling

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Don't change things, there are no reasons for change

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1113

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1114

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Both Madills Farm and Glover Park are a great example where the community comes together. Especially Madills Farm is a haven for dog walkers - many friendships have been made here. AS a female, i feel safe here at any time of day with my dog. Its spacious and the dogs love to play here. My son is also a member of the ESFC here and we share this sports field - he comes to practice soccer and I take the dog for a walk / play. Our dog comes to most game days and its a family outing. The area was gifted to the community to share and everyone treats it with respect and shares the space - dogs, families, soccer player, cricket, touch rugby, cyclist, runners - its a real social hub. By taking away the dog access, this will change the social dynamic of the area and would be a huge loss for the community.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1117

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The proposal completely limits the ability to allow dogs to use the parks when sports events aren’t happening

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Responsible dog owners should not be punished for the few who may not obey the current rules

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1126

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Madills

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control on a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

A section of it. Please specify:Football playing grounds

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To reduce owner-related problems (e.g. owner ignoring rules, dogs not under control, dog faeces not picked up, etc). Please specify:Out of control around kids, dog poo all over the park

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

Dangerous for our kids playing football and poo everywhere

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Signage is often inconsistent with an old sign re dog seasonal hours still up when replaced - St Heliers beach is an example of two signs with conflicting information.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1128

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

They should be allowed to be off leash on these parks if there is no sport being played. Current rules should apply.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Good dog owners should not be punished with restrictions because of bad dog owners. More/higher punishments for bad dog owners. Leave good dog owners alone.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1133

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Forestry is my dogs favorite beach and he would be devastated not to be allowed there again

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1135

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Mostly it sounds fine. I walk my dog especially on Madills and it is a wonderful community. There is a special connection with people that can be of great support. But yes away from sports and play grounds. As long as there is an area for us at all times. Glover hardly has sport, so should only count in parts in use. Pavement around should be fine

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1144

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This park is for all and was never gifted to the city for just sports

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1147

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

We own a dog and have children who play football at Madills. Often, even when all the 5 year olds are down there playing or about to start, there are multiple dogs off leash and we have had to stop the game because of dogs running. Let alone the concern of your child standing in poo that hasn't been picked up. Having no off leash in that area will ideally stop the grey area where some owners think keeping their dogs off is ok even when kids are playing

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1149

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

This will limit the danger that poorly trained, dangerous dogs pose to the local community.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?Okahu Domain/playground on Tamaki Dr, Orakei.**What local board area is this location in?**Ōrākei**In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?Tell us why**Change the current rule to allow dogs under control on a leash**Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?**Entire location**What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?Please explain your issue in more detail:**To reduce owner-related problems (e.g. owner ignoring rules, dogs not under control, dog faeces not picked up, etc). Please specify:Increasing number of large dogs (pitbulls and rottweillers) off leash in this park. These dogs off leash put all park users at risk.**Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence**I am seeing more and more large dogs (pit bulls) off leash in this area. Twice in the last few months my son has had his rugby ball taken by two different pit bulls being walked off leash. The dogs did not attack my son but they burst his rugby balls. Th

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1167

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This significantly limited exercise areas for dogs. The park is well loved by the community and there is excellent unity there for all sorts of users including dog owners and sports players. I walk the park daily if not twice daily with my young family and dog and have never seen a problem over the 6 years I have lived here that would justify this change. It would be devastating for our community to see open space sitting unused and not enjoyed majority of the time if this were enforced. People deliberately move into the area for this privilege. This is extremely anti-social and “nanny state” behaviour we don’t want to instil in our community. This would be a significant loss for our community. The material health and wellbeing limits this places on a much wider group in the community (vs those who only use the sports field for sports games) is shortsighted.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?Kohimarama**What local board area is this location in?**Ōrākei**In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?Tell us why**Change the current rule to a time and season rule / Change the current time and season rule (please specify)**Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?**A section of it. Please specify:Similar to the approach on many Australian beaches - look to have a dog friendly end of the beach to allow off leash and swimming of dogs in summer and swimmers to enjoy the other end at the same time. Therefore removing the need for “set hours” and move**What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?Please explain your issue in more detail:**To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:A much more harmonious approach that I have seen work really well in Australia so all can enjoy the beach**Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence**So all can enjoy summer

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1169

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Banning access when the fields aren’t been used is ridiculous. For dogs that love to play fetch having the use of the whole farm is fantastic.

Apply hours of use if the council feels a change is required, but removing the availability of such a currently well used park is not considering pet owners. The nearest park to be able to throw a ball would be St Heliers Domain.

What does our pet owners fees pay for- how about Providing complimentary recycled poo bags at all parks.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Great having daylight saving beach times- well done.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1181

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1183

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This is a community meeting place and many people walk their dogs safely and responsibly there. Other than the beach there are absolutely no other safe dog walking spaces close by in this area. The protected carpark area at the northern end of the park provides a safe environment to arrive with your dog and unload them from the car. It is completely unnecessary for dogs to be on a leash unless they are in the area when competitive sport is being played - which are the current requirements.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

The Council need to be mindful that dog owners need to have community park areas available for dog walking.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1187

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1188

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Existing rules work and fair to all users, a much needed facility for exercising dogs and well used. Restricting access for times when fields not in use for sports is over the top bureaucy gone mad. Parks are there for the community and should not be only accessible to a few, especially the small amount of time during the day that sports are needing the grass area.

We pay rates for the use of such facilities and both parties have been able to mutually and successfully enjoy the use of this unique space for a very long time, so please do not introduce rules favouring a privileged few at the expense of the whole community

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Parks and beaches are there for all to enjoy with existing restrictive rules allowing this to happen. No more unnecessary regulations dreamed up by a bunch of bureaucrats please

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1190

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Albert-Eden

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1204

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

We live lock to Madills Farm and have a small dog that loves Madills. We walk there daily as do a lot of friends and locals we have met.

I have also got 2 kids and have spent many many days at both Madills and Glover with football, cricket and touch rugby. I have never see an issue caused by the dogs. 98% of owners are very respectful and pick up the dog poos.

With the boom of dogs especially over lockdown there are very few places we can actually walk our dog now.

There are many groups that train dogs and have regular groups both young and old.

The beaches are not available for walking dogs generally so I am not sure where else this can happen.

I think it is a terrible idea and in fact the opposite should happen and more areas should be opened up for dog walking. Many people keep fit and healthy due to walking dogs. Take that away and create more unhealthy people and dogs both mentally and physically.

I and other is the area have actually been trying to get the Council to make more use of maxdills farm. There area is crying out for a skate park or dirt jumps, basket ball court etc.

If the Eastern Suburbs are complaining then perhaps create fenced turf areas like Fencibles, Western Springs etc.

Not sure what else to say but I think it is a TERRIBLE idea

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1210

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1248

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

This is the best change for everyone!!!!!

The long patches of grass hide are full of faeces, lots of irresponsible owners.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Very pleased to see so many changes. Too many dogs everywhere and uncontrolled. Please make sure there is an email address or phone number on each sign so that people can report people flaunting the rules.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1251

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Just about impossible to have a dog off lead in such a limited area.

No other dog exercise area I. The vicinity

We pay rates and dog registration to be excluded from using a community space.

A well used park with daily meetings of dog owning community. This will end that community social aspect.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Why has this even been suggested?

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1255

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Waitematā

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1263

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

As long as there remains good areas for dogs to be off leash then they do t need to be on the sports field areas. Could the sports fields be fenced somehow to keep the dogs off so they can run around the outside easily

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1270

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

There are numerous times when no sport is being played and hence a vast area to be used. Madills is a significant community meeting place and many new friendships formed at this park. Importantly for new people to the area for integrating into the community. The sports fields when not in use meet this purpose for dog owners. Off lead outside the park areas is too close to the road to be safe for dogs. This is NOT a minor change as advertised by council to prohibit dog owners from the majority of the park. Enacting your proposals only serves to form divisions between groups in the community. I strongly oppose this proposal

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

If the motivation is to reduce dog attacks, I have NEVER in my 12 years in the area seen a dog attack at madills park

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1278

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

As per previous comment

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
N./a

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1279

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Control existing rules, use volunteers to remind owners of their obligations. Provide signage which can be displayed in more obvious positions and if necessary remind owners of their responsibilities and let owners know if there have been complaints which need to be addressed. I have not seen a dog control officer in the area since I have been in the area..Polite and professional communication is better received than infringement notices.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

A dog is often the substitute for humans and is a huge emotional crunch to many people living on their own. Hiding behind the excuse to remove present access to public venues because of dog faeces being left behind is not a good enough excuse to changes these present rules. Police the present rules.

Also please publish the list of those elected officials who vote for the rules to be changed so that those complaining can vote for them at the next election.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1285

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I do not support the change prohibiting dogs from being on the sports fields when not in use. This is a large area which is largely not used during the day and in the evening. Continuing to allow dogs in this space, means there is room for dogs to play in their own space (which some dogs need and like), there is adequate space away from the road, space away from the walking path, which is often utilized by young kids. It would also be very very difficult to control dogs not going on the sports fields, unless a permanent fence was put up around the sports fields and this would change the park significantly for the worse. Overall, this is a ridiculous idea, and the sports fields should be open to everyone including dogs when not in use.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1293

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

It doesn't make sense to restrict dogs from being off leash when the sports fields aren't being used. All they're doing is running around, playing and sniffing and if there's no sports for them to be interfering with then what problem are you solving by adding that restriction?

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

We need to amend the restrictions on Maungarei to allow off-leash dogs. There's no stock or cars on the maunga anymore, and it's not a habitat for threatened species so the current rule just doesn't make sense anymore. Dog owners already ignore the rule, and dogs are not causing problems on the maunga, so why not just amend it?

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1304

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Ohinerau / Mt Hobson

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:Large area great for dogs and people. No livestock are on the mountain. Areas that are roped off (Maori historical sites) are respected by dogwalkers

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Please bring back the bins!!!!

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1313

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

If the sports grounds are not in use, why can't dogs be allowed off leash as they currently are? The "other parts" of the Park are very small and close to the roads. Madills Farm is particular is flat so give dog owners somewhere that is easily accessible given parking is so close.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
No

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1323

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills park has been a multi purpose area for all to enjoy, including dogs since 2004. Taking this area away from dog owners means there are few areas left to walk dogs safely off lead. Almost all of Madills Farm is used as a sports area, so under the proposed changes, it will essentially no longer be an area for dogs.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1325

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Dogs not under appropriate control must be taken from public places. We need to improve enforcement to improve public safety. Roaming dogs must be immediately collected by animal control. Uncontrolled dogs must be removed from their owners.

Currently the rules are not adequately enforced. Please significantly improve animal control enforcement to protect the public.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1338

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

When the sports fields are not in use it provides a large area for dogs to run around and play together. Dog socialisation is hugely important. Walking a dog around on a leash does not permit the all important play and exercise of dogs interacting with each other. Madills is also a key socialisation point for owners. Any time after 4pm you will see large groups of people socialising, making friends, enjoying for what can be for some an otherwise lonely life (the elderly) whilst their dogs run around thoroughly enjoying their play and exercise with their canine mates. To lose this will be a huge loss for the community. It is a really important community space. The proposed expansion of yet more fields and commercial sized building plans will impact this icon area of general social community interaction. The council has not produced any hard evidence of health hazard issues to sports players. I go to Madills almost every afternoon with my dog and walk those fields with him. I have hardly ever seen a “missed poo” and if ever I do I pick it up as do many of the other dog owners there. We watch all our dogs and are quick to point out to the owner when one goes into “the crouch” if the owner isn’t looking that way at the time. The dogs do not run on the fields during sports games. We choose our times to go when sports are not being played. Which makes this a great “mixed use” asset for the community space which you’re now trying to ban meaning it’s no longer mixed community use, it’s sports use. That’s not fair and it’s not right. I live on the edge of Churchill park and I often walk my dog there. But the reason I go to Madills is because of the dog lover groups that gather there to socialise and let their dogs have fun with others. Please don’t ruin Madills for this significantly large part of our community - we matter. And we also pay the rates that fund the upkeep of that space. Please do not let this this unfair proposal go ahead.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

No I’ve already said it thanks

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1358

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dogs should be allowed on leash in all park areas. Provision for off leash play should be made in all parks.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Overall New Zealand has extremely restrictive dog access rules. Dogs under control on leash should be allowed in any ear of the park that their owners are.

Fenced off leash areas provide a safe place for dogs to play. I would like to see many more fenced off leash areas that provide a good social space for local dogs to meet and play meanwhile giving anti-dog people a place they know to avoid if they don't want to be among happy playing dogs. Having this voluntary location to congregate with other dog owners would likely also result in fewer off leash dogs in other parts of parks and dogs that are happier and better exercised.

Dogs are family members, as much as children are for many people. Well behaved dogs on leash should be allowed within parks in any location that other family members are allowed.

Additionally parks should allow off leash access in larger open areas.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1361

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dogs, especially larger dogs, need a large area to run free. This is becoming hard to find in this area. There is little area in Madills that is not car park or sports ground.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

As a dog owner I think the swimmers only areas on beaches should be more clearly defined

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1362

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The times I visit Maddil Farm and Glover Park it is mainly only dog walkers using it, to have such big open spaces not being utilised is a waste of taxpayers' money. I agree that when sports are on -ie football matches then dogs should not be running free and must be on a leash in case they were to disrupt the game but other times dogs should be allowed off-leash

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?Crossfield Reserve**What local board area is this location in?**Ōrākei**In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?Tell us why**Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash**Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?**Entire location**What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?Please explain your issue in more detail:**To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:The times I have been at Crossfield reserve it is mainly dog owners using it, it is a waste of tax payer money to maintain these grounds for them to be not utilised. AS with Maddils and Glover I believe dogs should be allowed off-leash when there are no**Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence**The times I have been at Crossfield reserve it is mainly dog owners using it, it is a waste of tax payer money to maintain these grounds for them to be not utilised. As with Maddils and Glover I believe dogs should be allowed off-leash when there are no

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1364

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1379

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Waitematā

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

More dogs in Auckland and your solution is to restrict where and when they can go places, removing areas of much needed off leash areas and giving nothing in return. This makes absolutely no sense.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Why don't you employ someone to go around all parks and beaches to give council a presence and a chance to see how little impact dogs have in many areas. Let's be honest, you could claw back that person's salary and make huge profit in fines for those not abiding by the rules and people that break these rules would very quickly learn! Or give the public an easy way to report dog owners that don't pick up after their dogs or break other rules.

Without easy access to off lead areas, how are many people meant to train their pets outdoors? These are vital for training and socialising pets, not just for exercise of the bigger dogs.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1404

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Waitematā

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Unused sports field should be available to dog owners and dogs so they can exercise freely and it is also used as a social occasion for both owners and their pets.

Agree with keeping children's playgrounds dog-free.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1409

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills has long been an off leash dog area for the whole park including the sports grounds. It is one of the reasons we moved there. It is also flat for eldery people to walk their dogs, unlike other parks. There is a significantly high demographic of elderly and people who own dogs in the areas who use this park. There is nothing else like this close. It works well and all the dog owners i know are considerate when the sports grounds are in use. It is is ridiculous to restrict off leash access. The whole ground is PUBLIC benefit NOT JUTST THE SPORTS CLUB. By changing the rules you are discriminating against the views of the general public, elderly people and those whom pay a significant amount of rates. I do not support the change. I also note council describing this as a "Minor " changes. these are not minor changes and should not be marketed as such.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Leve local park alone - keep off the off leash dogs areas as is, there is not enough of them as it is.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1420

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

It will be virtually impossible to walk a dog under the new proposals . The current rules are sufficient and should not be changed.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

I would like to know how many current OLB members have a dog or dogs and who voted for these changes.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1433

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1436

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

can understand that sports people dont want to be in contact with dog poo, but this is a very popular area for good people and good dogs to play in, so dont want to see further restrictions. Maybe somehow make sure sports fields are kept clean (but dont know how)

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

really just in general, would like more beach access for dogs - this contributes to the quality of life, both physical and mental. We all need fresh air and green open spaces!

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1440

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1456

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1460

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dogs should be allowed under control off a leash on sports surfaces (marked grass sports fields) when not in use or not closed. especially when people living around, its good place to take dog for a short break on the grass fields.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

we should consider maintaining a dedicated area where dogs can have freedom while ensuring clear boundaries and rules for safety, so all park users can coexist peacefully

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1474

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Waitematā

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

retain full access to sports areas off-leash when not in use or closed.

all other proposals supported.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

when a park is not in use for sports or other events, it should be open for free use, incluidng off-leash for dogs.

dogs should be permitted on the beach during the day, under control on a standard length leash, no long leads. after day-time hours in summer months, dogs should be allowed off-leash and access to swimming in the water. During winter months, dog should have access off leash on the beach and in the water.

responsible ownership needs to be more accountable.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1488

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Most of the park is designated as sports fields which are only used a small portion of the time. Why should responsible dog owners be penalised and not allowed to use the fields to exercise their dogs? We pay our taxes and dog licences, so we should be treated fairly too.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Fine irresponsible owners but don’t penalise all dog owners

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1509

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

In the St Heliers area, Glover Park is the only off leash dog park & is essential for local dogs to have a good run around. It brings the community together

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1520

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Howick

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Current rules are great as it is.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1564

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Currently Glover Park and Madills Farm are lovely big parks with space for all to enjoy. They allow dogs to run and play with other dogs. I have spent many hours watching soccer games at both these parks and have never seen a dog run into the field and interrupt play. Dog owners are respectful and most dogs on the sidelines are in leash. It's a lovely family outing. When there are no sports fields in use, dogs have freedom to run and play. By prohibiting them from walking/running on the sport fields when not in use, you are really limiting the space for them to run and are putting them at danger of being run over or hit by a car. Furthermore, this will put increased pressure on the remaining off leash parks in the area, and result in people having to drive to an off leash park which of course is going to increase our greenhouse gas emissions. So. for safety, environmental and logistical reasons I think the dog rules for these parks should remain as they are.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
No

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1597

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1622

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Albert-Eden

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1630

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The impact of these proposed changes to both Madills Farm and Glover Park are huge to the eastern bays community. For the council to call these 'minor' changes is unacceptable, without realising the value that these spaces provide to the community.

My first piece of feedback is around Madills Farm. Anyone can see how vibrant and essential this space is as a community hub, with dogs at its heart. Restricting dog access to a small portion of the park compromises safety by forcing dogs and their owners closer to busy roads and undermines Madills Farm’s role as a shared community space, reducing it to little more than a single-purpose sports facility. It must be recognised that the Eastern Suburbs FC use and control of Madills Farm seems extremely excessive for the 'lease' of $1 + any maintenance they pay per year. The club have maintained that they have had to increase their costs year on year associated with dog damage to the fields. This cannot be proven. Damage is also caused by the sports and the use of the fields themselves, and is not helped by the constant vehicles the FC have on the fields eg Mr Whippy and food trucks.

The space that is recommended to be available for off leash dogs is not sufficient for the sheer number of people who use the park every day. It is in close proximity to the very busy roads, and also the footpaths which is why the current group of residents who use Madills congregate in the centre of the park - to keep our dogs in a safe space that don't bother anyone.

To limit the field access is absolutely ridiculous, when during the week the fields are hardly in use by any sports team. Yes, I agree that when play is on all dogs should be on leash - which the vast majority of us adhere to.

We have a community of over 1,700 people on our Dogs of Eastern Bays Facebook group, who are passionate about being able to save Madills for our dogs. We work together to ensure everyone is behaving responsibly and are absolutely devastated to see this change being introduced by council staff, not from concerns from the public.

For Glover Park, the same issues are in play. Glover is a safe space for our dogs and our community to congregate. The current plan and field exclusion outline does not take into account that the off leash area is now on a hill, which is unacceptable for people with agility issues as it's not safe terrain being so steep. The proposed space simply will not

work, so we will have a beautiful park sitting there empty when not in use rather than being enjoyed by us who pay our ever rising rates.

Madills in particular is a really special part of the Eastern Bays community, and provides a local escape from the ever increasing crowds on Tamaki Drive. While I understand the importance of creating a safe environment for sports and the vital role it plays in fostering community spirit, vastly limiting dog access to Madills risks creating an unwanted divide. This could undermine the inclusivity and shared enjoyment that makes our parks so special.

We have a large, active community who will do whatever we can to keep access appropriate when sport is not in play at both Madills and Glover Park so the so called shared spaces can be used to their best potential.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1631

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

One of the reasons I've loved living in this neighbourhood for over 20 years is the access we have to local parks for both our own recreation, kids sports & dog walking. Prohibiting dogs at Madills & Glover 24/7 is unfair and unjust. Reasons include - These parks do not operate sports 24/7 (not even close) so dog walking creates no issue for much of the time. Many of us are exercising with our dogs so making these spaces exclusive to sports (majority being Eastern Suburbs Football) feels like a heck of lot of privilege and exclusivity to the average person who runs or walks with their dogs. Leave these 2 spaces as they are & maybe have signs up that when a game is on, dogs are to stick to the perimeter and be on leash. Thats what the majority of us do in any case. Please don't place blanket rules on all of us good people because of the minority with no common sense.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

When there are sports in play (including organised club or school practices) then the rule is that you either walk your dog on the footpath or you leash your dog and stick to the perimeter of the park. That's fair. A lot of also walk these parks early in the morning and there is no one in sight so prohibiting dogs 24/7 is barbaric !

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1636

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

We walk our dog at both areas off leash. There are very few spaces we can do this and he absolutely loves it. It is disappointing that we will not be able to do this. Additionally, we take him to soccer every Saturday over winter at both grounds and he is on leash not bothering anyone. The changes prohibit this unnecessarily. It would impact our ability to watch our sons soccer and not bringing Toby along.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1644

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

When the grounds aren’t being used for sport purposes it enables locals to use the flat grounds for the dogs to run and play. It is a great meeting place for many. If they prohibit dogs where are dogs able to run freely. A lot of dogs need to be able to let of energy and many take there dogs to Madills to do this. I don’t understand why the rules need to be changed!!

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1694

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

It’s unfair on the majority of people who are dog owners.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1707

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This is unnecessarily restrictive and hard to manage. There are very few open field areas where dogs are allowed off-leash but it is really nice to have a flat space to let dogs exercise, particularly as a low-mobility owner.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1711

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

When the sports grounds are not in use, it would be extremely beneficial to allow dog access on the fields.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Please do not change Waiatarua Reserve in Ellerslie. This is a wonderful, well used, off lease dog park. Thank you.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1715

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The existing rules work well. I fully support dogs not being allowed on sports fields when there are games on but they should be allowed at other times. There are already so many places where dogs aren't allowed and it always seems to work well at Glover Park.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
The beach rules are pretty clear and make sense.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1724

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Why bother charging people's dog registration??? Dog owners are so heavily restrict on when and where they can go or be off lead. We don't seem to see any benefits for the amount we pay but you need to protect grass on a sports field???

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Point England

What local board area is this location in?

Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

Let the dogs have a swim somewhere during summer hot months during the day. Barely anyone goes down Pt England anyway.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Like I said before, why is the cost of registration so

High when we barely get any benefit from this, would rather animal management spent their time picking up roaming dogs or taking mistreated dogs away from scumbag owners instead of hiding in a bush and fining people for having a dog off lead in a park when no one else is around. Auckland rules are pretty stupid and

Unfair to dog owners, give us more places where dogs can go off lead not restrict more.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1746

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1747

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Leave the access for dogs and their owners as it currently stands. Yes both the dog and the owner benefit from the exercise and social interaction.

Why are you needing to change? What is the issue that is driving this change? Dogs are part of the community and we pay a dog licence. These are multi purpose public facilities. Leave well alone unless you want to tell us what the problem is.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1763

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

There is limited spaces around to be able to give your dog a social environment and learn to mix with others while of the leash, this benefits all.

I always thought Madills Farm is large enough to have fenced area for off lease dogs during day light hours, half the size of a soccer field would be sufficient.

Thank you.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Saint Heliers, Kohimarama. provide more bags and bins

What local board area is this location in?

Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control on a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To respond to new threats to the environment (e.g. kauri dieback or damage to stream banks). Please specify:close the area until under control

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

The majority of those with dogs are very responsible and do collect there dog droppings, dogs are registered where I go to Kohimarama and St Heliers. Areas around Pt England Glenn Innes and parts of Panmure have known vicious dogs and should be removed im

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Prosecute those that don't obey the rules, very simple!!

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1767

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This does not provide a balanced approach for dog owners. This park provides a well known off leash area which is well used and liked by responsible dog owners. Removing this very much limits the parks these owners can go to to provide an off leash experience in the area. Whereas those people looking to avoid dogs have plenty of options. I walk my dog there often and have never had an issue and have observed responsible owners even when the ground has been used for sports.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
No

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1769

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Because the park is supposed to be a place for everyone recreations and not only sports. Dogs owners have same right as anyone else especially considering we are paying at extra rate for the dog. Madills farm was donated to the city as a place for general recreation including off lish dogs!

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1770

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Franklin

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1778

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

As dogs provide companionship, emotional support and help foster social connections I do not agree with the proposed amended policy rules.

It is restricting dogs and most importantly their owners to have a healthy lifestyle and connect with their communities effectively.

Also, the proposed amended rules policy rules do not align neither concur with any of the reasons provided for making the change. These are not clarifying any further points, only the first point has been taken out. How does this make sense?

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Leave the rules as they are. Do not complicate anything. It is only going to cause separation within our communities.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1781

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills reserve was gifted to the community for the use of ALL residents. It is one of the few places in our area where dogs can run safely off leash. As a parent of children who play soccer on the sports pitches I understand the need to keep dogs off during times when sports are being played, and the importance of people picking up after their dogs, but to not allow dogs on the park at others times is utter lunacy. It would be a shameful waste of the area to have it prohibited to dogs during times when there is no sport being played. It is an amazing space for exercising and socialising dogs which is critically important that owners have well adjusted and mentally, physically and socially stimulated dogs within our community. This is a wonderful asset for ALL to enjoy, not just sports players.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1789

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

Other (please explain below)

Tell us why

I think it needs to be between the two. This is a large area that doesnt always get used as a sports area

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

current beach and park access is a useful off leash exercise space and need available rubbish and water stations for cleanliness and welfare. It is just hard when these places are over run with pack walkers

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1794

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

park in whenuapai

What local board area is this location in?

Upper Harbour

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To reduce owner-related problems (e.g. owner ignoring rules, dogs not under control, dog faeces not picked up, etc). Please specify:bad owners

dog Faeces

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

I think dogs should be on the leash in suburban areas as well.

recently one of the dogs from our road attacked our dog, my partner and it was hard for the owner to control him.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1811

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills farm provides a safe sanctuary for dogs and dog owners to enjoy the fields. I am regularly at the fields with my dog and my toddler playing sport and having the dog chase a ball or frisbee. There are few places in Auckland where this can be done safely together away from roading or other hazards.

Madills was gifted to the council for quiet use and recreation, not for the sole purpose of a football club. I've been a member of Eastern Suburbs for a good portion of my life and have no issue with shared use of the fields. If the club have problems with this shared use then a compromise of securing the number 1 pitch in front of the club rooms exclusively for football would be acceptable in my view while all other pitches remain accessible to the public whilst sport is not being played on them.

The proposed areas of use for off leash are unsafe being not big enough and too close to roads creating hazards for dogs, dog owners and drivers.

I do not support these proposed changes in any way, all it takes is a visit to Madills on a weekend or after work when sport isn't being played to see how many people this change impacts in a negative way.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

This cannot be changed, it would be such a tragedy to all those who enjoy the shared use of these parks.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1816

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: I don't know

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1817

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills farm and Glover Park are the only two flat off leash dog parks in the local area. As such they are used widely by a huge number of elderly and mobility impaired residents who cannot access and navigate other local parks with hills and steep trails to exercise their dogs off leash. It would be irresponsible and discriminatory of the Council to expect disabled, elderly and mobility impaired dog owners to go to parks with terrain that is dangerous and/or completely inaccessible to them.

In addition, dogs are residents too and are entitled to off leash exercise for their wellbeing. Dog owners pay high rates and dog registration fees which contribute the upkeep of parks and facilities in the area. To be excluded from letting their dogs off leash in two of the most accessible parks in area is grossly unfair to local ratepaying dog owners.

Changing the rules to prohibit dogs on the sports surfaces “at all times” effectively also prohibits dog owners on the sports surfaces. These sports surfaces now take up the majority of Madills Farm and Glover Park which are intended for free recreational use for the WHOLE community - not JUST those who can afford join clubs to play football, touch rugby or athletics (a small percentage of local residents). Please continue to allow FREE use for ALL residents in ALL parts of these two local parks for the physical and mental wellbeing of all residents. Off leash dog exercise is not only exercise for the dog - but importantly also exercise for the dog owner!

Furthermore, I see extensive, ongoing and costly damage to park surfaces being regularly caused by football boots, balls, sports equipment, spectators and associated vehicles. Ongoing trash and rubbish are also a result of the sports Club activities. These issues are more of a threat to the health and safety of local park users than dogs - as well as being more costly for the Council to maintain.. it is concerning to see our Council supporting these increasingly more commercial sport clubs (who contribute very little to our parks financial upkeep, while extracting very high fees from their members), at the expense of a free recreational pursuit like exercising one’s dog off leash. Please do the right thing and leave the dog rules at Madills Farm and Glover Park as is.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1822

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

My kids play sports at Glover and Madills. Dogs urinate and defecate of fields where kids play. This is unsanitary. Owners are pretty good at picking up dog poo. There is lots of space at madills outside of the sports fields but dog owners seem to prefer to use sports fields. Perhaps mow a dog recreation area if implementing rules.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1835

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This park was gifted to the community for its enjoyment not for a sports field

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1844

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The proposed changes limits the access of dogs to such a small portion it is inadequate for them to run around and get some exercise or play time in. If the parks are managed to share between the community it is completely unfair to dismiss the valid usage of dogs and their owners to appease sports teams when there are already numerous places for sports to be played in the area. There are limited options for safe areas that dogs can access as it is and removing another and limiting them to a tiny portion of the field is not in the spirit of community. It is vital that animals have a place in our community and we have a duty to allow safe areas for them to exist and thrive in.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

I think there needs to be consideration for opening up fenced dog parks and dog beaches locally. The closest dog peach is 40minuted away, if they have there own space it means people and dogs can co exist peacefully.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1845

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1847

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

In addition to more dog access, there also needs to be better provision of bins. It is the councils removal of bins that has led to dog owners not picking up dog poo as there is nowhere to put it. Expecting dog owners to walk for miles to find suitable disposal or worse take it home with them is ridiculous, it is poo! No one wants bags of poo in their car, that's just gross, provide bins at parks so these areas can be nicely maintained, this isn't just related to dog poo, the increase in littering since the bin reduction is substantial and upsetting, especially at areas near the ocean where this litter just blows into the water. You can minimize waste by just not dealing with it, that is a ludicrous approach

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1854

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

Other (please explain below)

Tell us why

Same for all areas - we should have a section for owners and dogs to be off leash during summer.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Same for all areas - we should have a section for owners and dogs to be off leash during summer.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1858

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills farm represents one of the few areas within Orakei where dogs can be exercised off leash at different times of the day. This is particularly important to different groups of the community who may not be able to access the beaches outside of restricted times. It is also a very accessible option for elderly people or those with pushchairs, young children and disabilities. The current policy already prohibits dogs when sports surfaces are in use and that effectively allows for shared use of the space. Requiring dogs to be on a leash in the vicinity of sports surfaces will mean there is no space at all for off leash exercise. I am strongly opposed to these changes.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1861

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Current rules are fine. It is unclear why sports are deemed more important than exercising one's dog especially when the fields are not in use. Why do the council have time to focus on things like this? Much bigger cities than Auckland facilitate dogs being part of everyday life with none of this stress and overthinking.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?Mount Taylor Reserve**What local board area is this location in?**Ōrākei**In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?Tell us why**Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash**Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?**Entire location**What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?Please explain your issue in more detail:**To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:**Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence**

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1863

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

Other (please explain below)

Tell us why

I suppler dogs on leash near playground as children there, also in carpark as could be a Hazzard.

But I do not support not allowing dogs.on the sport field when not in use. People need a place to exercise.dogs freely - if they are trained and owners pick up.poo there should be no issues.

Madills has always been a place for.dog.owners to meet

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1871

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dogs should be allowed off leash under control on the field when sports are not in play as they always have been

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
No

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1875

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: I don't know

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I don’t know

Tell us why

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Dear council

I am writing to urge you not to ban dogs from our beloved regional parks, beaches, and public spaces. As a vibrant part of our community, these natural areas should be open to everyone, including responsible dog owners, who are an integral part of our society.

Why Dogs in Parks Matter:

1. Health and Well-being:

Dogs are not only wonderful companions, but studies have shown that walking with dogs encourages more physical activity, which can help combat the rising rates of sedentary lifestyles and chronic diseases. These outings promote better mental health, lower stress levels, and a deeper connection to nature—benefits that extend to the whole family.

2. Economic Impact: Dogs help generate revenue for our parks. Local businesses benefit from dog owners spending on pet-related products, pet services, and park fees. Tourism also sees a positive impact as people often choose destinations where they can bring their dogs, boosting the local economy and increasing the sense of community.

3. Social Responsibility: Responsible dog ownership is a core part of being a good community member. Many dog owners are highly committed to respecting public spaces—cleaning up after their pets, keeping dogs on leashes when required, and ensuring their pets do not disrupt others. Banning dogs punishes those who are mindful and courteous, while the real challenge lies in enforcing responsible behaviour. Ensure you are fining and implementing consequences for those who do not obey.

4. Environmental Stewardship:Dogs, when properly supervised, play an important role in protecting our parks by discouraging littering and trespassing on restricted areas. Many park visitors with dogs also become passionate advocates for environmental sustainability, organizing clean-up events or supporting preservation efforts.

Why a Ban Is Not the Solution:

Rather than a blanket ban on dogs, we should focus on solutions that balance public safety, environmental concerns, and accessibility. Creating designated dog-friendly zones, increasing awareness of responsible dog ownership, and ensuring enforcement of leash laws would foster a harmonious environment for all. Moreover, many parks and beaches already have rules in place that mitigate the risks associated with dogs, such as leash requirements and waste bag stations. These measures help keep the parks clean and safe, allowing everyone to enjoy the space.

A Better Future Together:

Our parks, beaches, and public spaces are community assets, and they should be inclusive of everyone who loves and respects these environments—dogs included. By fostering positive

relationships between dog owners and non-dog owners, we ensure that these public areas remain accessible, welcoming, and well-maintained for generations to come.

Please reconsider any proposals to ban dogs from our regional parks, beaches, and parks. Instead, let’s work together to create spaces where both pets and people can thrive.

Sincerely,

One of Many Pet Owners

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1886

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

It seems the main aim of this change is to reduce risk of dog litter on the playing surfaces. This seems an overly restrictive effort to address what seems a very low risk currently. I have children that regularly use the playing surfaces and have never noticed any issues with dog littering.

Glover Park is an essential local area for dog exercising and socialising. Forcing dog owners to either keep their dogs restrained all day or drive to an off lease area seems a step backwards and will only lead to other problems (dog barking, dogs roaming, extra traffic on roads).

The playing surfaces are used very infrequently outside of weekends. This proposed change would further restrict their usage, meaning precious council resources sitting idle. Not a good use of our rates.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

I appreciate data could be difficult to collate on this, but having read the 2024 findings report, I struggle to see how this could reasonably support such restrictive changes to dog exercise areas.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1889

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

Other (please explain below)

Tell us why

I would support this change if we still had an area or restricted time where dogs can go off leash at the beach anywhere in Orakei during summer (eg as per Takapuna beach). This rule makes it even harder to be a good pet owner and give dogs much needed exercise.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?St Heliers Beach area**What local board area is this location in?**Ōrākei**In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?Tell us why**Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash**Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?**Entire location**What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?Please explain your issue in more detail:**To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:St Heliers is the most remote of the beaches in the area, has a restricted speed limit, most suitable for dogs off leash.**Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence**Providing off leash in beach area over summer.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1898

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1900

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

These areas are well used and hugely popular for myself and other dog owners especially in summer when dogs are banned from the beach areas after 10am. The sports fields are hardly used over summer and enjoyed by many dog owners. Please do not penalise us responsible dog owners by making a harsh and unfair law change like this. Without dogs and their owners using this area the park would be largely deserted during weekdays.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?Crossfield Reserve**What local board area is this location in?**Ōrākei**In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?Tell us why**Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash**Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?**A section of it. Please specify:Sports fields and surrounding areas not including the playground and basketball area**What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?Please explain your issue in more detail:**To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:Provide locals lawful access to an off leash dog area as currently it is lacking.**Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence**Currently there is barely a decent sized area in Glendowie that allows dogs to be off leash. Crossfield has an excellent area with sports fields that are well utilised in the weekends but seldom used on weekdays. Currently most dog owners do not take any

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1905

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This park is primarily used by dog walkers, by far more than by sports field users. The current rules should remain allowing off leash use of the sports fields at all times, because the fields are USUALLY EMPTY. This would barr the dogs from using most of the park off leash, and it is literally usually empty. dogs should retain off leash access on the sports fields when not in use. ALSO dogs should not have to be on a leash nearby to the playground when in use, because the playground has a huge fence so would be really irrelevant to have to be on a leash when they are nowhere near the playground.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Kohimarama Beach

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to a time and season rule / Change the current time and season rule (please specify)

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:Dogs should be allowed off leash on the beach in the morning 8am to 6pm in the summertime

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

This used to be the rule and worked very well. This beach is a very popular off leash dog walking area, and is not used by any people without dogs in the early morning or evening. Dogs should be allowed off leash in the morning and evening during the sum

Location 2

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

St Heliers Beach

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to a time and season rule / Change the current time and season rule (please specify)

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:Dogs should be allowed off leash on the beach in the morning 8am to 6pm in the summertime

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

This used to be the rule and worked very well. This beach is a very popular off leash dog walking area, and is not used by any people without dogs in the early morning or evening. Dogs should be allowed off leash in the morning and evening during the summ

Location 3

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Madills Farm

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:Dogs should be allowed on sports fields when not in use.

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

This is the primary use of this park, the sports fields are usually empty. The rule should remain where dogs can exercise off leash on sports fields are not in use. No risk to people, main use, very popular, makes sense. otherwise limits dogs ability to e

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

stop restricting dog access more and more, it creates more problems. create more spaces for off leash dog exercise.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1910

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Waitematā

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

stop restricting access for dogs.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1913

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Area’s for dogs to get the exercise they need keep getting smaller and more and more restricted. As someone who has a high energy dog who needs regular exercising and mental stimulation, this is making it harder. Areas such as Madills farm get a lot of dog walkers coming through at certain times (typically just after work hours) and confining that amount of dogs to a smaller area increases the risk of dogs becoming reactive and potentially causing more harm or issues. Additionally, to my understanding Madills farm was intended to be available to everyone and as a community or Public space it is not fair on the dogs or their owners to be restricted to a smaller space when they have just as much right to be there. I believe that the current guidelines are fair, where dogs are not allowed to use the sports field when in use and that they can be off leash if not in use (especially given that there won’t be anyone there anyway). Dogs already have strict restrictions on beaches therefore use the local parks for exercise however now it is being proposed that is going to be restricted too. I believe if spaces are being taken away from dogs then there should be other spaces opening up as an alternative (e.g. gated dog parks to allow dogs to be off leash safely within the public).

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1914

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: I don't know

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1915

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The case being made that it is for the safety of those playing sports does not make sense as the sports fields are already out of bounds when in use. Policy rules should just remain unchanged as they are safe for all users of park facilities. There would be nowhere for dogs to go off leash locally that is safe for them. The current rules work for everybody as they are.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Current rules are sensible and accommodate for all people in our community.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1917

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

When the sports fields are not in use, they provide a wonderful place for many dog owners and dogs. The fields are flat and reasonably well drained and particularly for older dogs with a lack of mobility, they are a great safe place to be. Restricting dogs from what amounts to at least half of the park is detrimental to the community in my view. On any given day you can see a large number of dogs and their owners cheerfully interacting which is what a community facility such as Madills should be all about.

As a local resident for 19 years, I have been a heavy user of Madills - with young children (playground) in past years, extensive use of the soccer grounds for many years and also as a dog walking facility for the entirety of that time.

While there are some dog walkers who ruin things for the rest of us, it is very rare to see uncollected faeces as you walk around the park. If this is considered to be a problem perhaps increasing fines would be a way of dealing with it. I find myself frequently picking up rubbish around the field after sports games, however, and there is usually a good number of people picking up after Guy Fawkes tonight. So it is not just dogs responsible for any mess.

In relation to the comments around field damage, I have yet to see a dog digging anywhere in my 19 years of park use. I have certainly seen fields pretty chopped up after soccer games in winter however so I do not accept that the damage is caused by dogs.

Because Madills is frequented by so many dog walkers it feels like a safe place. In winter I walk my dog early in the morning when it is still dark and feel totally safe there. The only people I come across are other dog walkers, and there are a good number of them. I feel far less safe walking around the streets when it is dark.

In summary Madills regulations should be left as they are, and the fields available for the entire community to enjoy. To restrict access as has been proposed would be highly detrimental to the entire community.

- I am coming at this with a live & let live perspective

- When we stop to think about the key elements of effective community design – these are are inclusivity, sustainability, accessibility, and connectivity. Having each of these elements will ensure that spaces are diverse, environmentally friendly, easy to

navigate, and well-integrated with surrounding areas, promoting a sense of belonging and functionality.

- Madills is legally designated as a recreation reserve, not just a sports facility, and so should be available as a community facility for the entire community to use. I strongly believe that the current proposal drastically overweights the sporting use to the severe detriment of dog owners. There are many hours during the week when the fields are not being used for sport, and it is this access that dog owners wish to see preserved.

- Auckland Councils's Policy on Dogs mentions (among other things) both:

- Responsible dog ownership: Dog owners must care for their dogs and control them around people to protect wildlife, other animals, property and natural habitats.

- Dog access principles: Provide a balanced use of public places for dogs and their owners that is safe for everyone.

- It is incumbent on dog owners to clean up after their dogs and make sure that they are under control at all times. That has always been my experience with Madills. If there is genuinely an issue with dog fouling or digging, then send Animal Management around and fine the offenders. The huge majority of dog owners are totally responsible. The argument that digging (by dogs) has caused ankle roll injuries is highly tenous – the numbers are far from statistically significant given that ankle roll injuries are one of the highest occurring injuries in soccer to begin with, and it is certainly far from clear that all uneven surfaces can be attributed to dogs.

- My family was associated with the soccer club for around 10 years and in that entire time I never saw / was aware of any dog excrement on the pitches, or holes or ruts dug by dogs. On the flip side, wearing my dog owner hat, I have certainly picked up more than my share of rubbish from the pitches following weekend soccer, and seen plenty of field damage after a wet and soggy weekend of soccer, and very worn grounds in front of goal areas in particular. It is what it is and this is all part and parcel of the mixed model that is in place. Maintaining the grounds (and indeed all of Madills farm) is for the benefit of the entire community. Balance is the word here.

- As a community facility, Madills farm is highly regarded as asset to the community – principally because it is loved and valued by so many. There is a sense of belonging and functionality that is felt by its users that needs to be allowed to continue to flourish. Its primarily flat terrain and flat / drive on access make it accessible to many dog owners in the community who would struggle to access other parks. It is truly a local community 'hub' where young and old (people and dogs!) alike meet at all hours of the day, across both weekdays and weekends. Let's not forget how much of a saviour that park was to so many of us during the Covid pandemic.

- The proposed blanket ban of dogs on the sports fields actually leaves very little usable space for dog owners … if we exclude the carpark and driveways, the creek and areas with trees, the toilets and the playground, then there is not a lot of 'dog friendly' areas left. For elderly dogs who can only manage a half lap of Madills, cutting across the sports field is then removed as an option; for dogs who want/need to be exercised off-leash, the areas outside of the sport fields are all way to close to roads/traffic to be safe, and yet the sports fields will sit empty for large parts of every day should the current proposal be adopted. This seems mad – dog walkers (who are also rate payers, and pay dog registration also) use this facility 365 days a year, and there is absolutely no reason why this should not continue.

- Madills farm is widely regarded as a happy and safe place for dogs and their owners, just as it is for those who utilise it for sporting pursuits. As a final word, dog access does not get in the way of sporting pursuits – the two sides have co-existed for many years now and there is absolutely no reason for this to change.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1920

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dogs and dog owners should be allowed to use the fields controlled off leash when fields are not in use, and should be allowed to use the path around Glover park off leash at all times. We should be able to sharing this space with others. The people using the sports fields often leave far more non biodegradable rubbish all over the park, food rubbish, plastic, clothing, drink bottles overflowing rubbish bins, not to mention traffic jams, parking over drives. Yet we allow this..

Or alternately invest in a designated sports area Not our communities local park.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1938

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Maddills farm is a much loved dog exercise and socialisation area. The dogs cause no damage to the sports field. What is the justification for banning dogs from enjoying this facility when sport is not being played on it especially as likely 90pc of the time it is not being used for sport.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
There will be hundreds of devastated dogs if you ban them from Maddills

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1949

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This dog park is used A LOT by local residents. The sheer large number of dogs/residents that use this park, as well as the sports fields, makes it unreasonable and unpractical to change the rules to prohibit dog usage of the sports fields. We (dog owners and local residents) NEED to be able to use the sports fields for off-leash dog use when the sports fields are not in use.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Kohimarama Beach

What local board area is this location in?

I don't know

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:There are limited areas in Kohimarama that allow off-leash dog access. Off-leash dog areas needs to be expanded due to the large number of local residents that own and walk their dogs in the area.

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

There are limited areas in Kohimarama that allow off-leash dog access. Off-leash dog areas needs to be expanded due to the large number of local residents that own and walk their dogs in the area.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Please do not restrict dog access. There is already a shortage of off-leash dog parks/beaches and a growing number of local households that own dogs.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1951

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Just ridiculous

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Parks are there for everyone to enjoy. Most of the families who take their kids to play sport at these parks have dogs.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1952

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dogs should be allowed ON leash at sports fields (they are after all “a family member” attending a family sports session) AS LONG AS THEYRE UNDER CONTROL!

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Dogs are a “family member” and should be allowed to be at sports fields etc UNDER CONTROL.. during sports games they should always be ON LEASH.

I think prohibiting dogs from sports fields is wrong and over the top to be honest!

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1954

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Devonport-Takapuna

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1957

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dogs need some free off leash space in this area and the original gift to the city provides for access for all users and the Council needs to honour this. Keep the rules the same as they are please!

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
More fenced off leash dog exercise areas would be a huge benefit to the city.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1960

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Part of the reason we purchased a house up the street was the fantastic off leash dog park

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1963

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I have reviewed all your documents, and what is stated above is different to what is stated in Appendix A Proposed Amended Policy at p45/6, Schedule 2, which specifically allows dogs to be off leash at Madills and Glover when the sports fields are not in use

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Please review your Proposed Policy in Appendix A, and the proposed maps for local parks in Appendix B as these contradict eachother

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1967

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dogs need space to roam and madills is a great community park which allows dogs to have space to get out the energy, meet other dogs to socialise in a safe environment and a good area in summer when the beach is out of bounds. The sports grounds shouldn't be made priority when it's empty for a lot of the time, by taking away the easily accessible community space, people will have to go further away to find a park if they don't have a bit enough back yard. Some people can't walk far and use the park as a way of getting the dogs exercise by throwing the ball and socialising, without being strenuous on the person either. Why take away a key community gathering space for so many dog owners.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1971

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I think it is very important that Madills Farm remains off leash for dogs in all areas except on the playground, or on sports fields when in use.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
No

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1973

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Glover Park and Madills Farm are parks for all.

In the many years I have been enjoying the park I have witnessed people and their dogs enjoying the open spaces to exercise both themselves and their dogs. I do not agree that a group should be excluded from using the parks. These people are local rate payers and have every right to enjoy all the grounds with their dogs.

I have also witnessed when used solely by cricket teams in the weekend that often there is considerable rubbish left on the fields and by the bins . I often on my early morning stroll take a rubbish bag with me to clean up after the humans - the rubbish left behind can be quite extensive. Other good citizens can often be seen doing the same early morning . This totally exceeds the very occasional inconsiderate dog owner which does not pick up after his dog - this is very rare.

Most dog owners are very considerate and want to keep exercising and socialising their dogs on flat and grassy areas.

Please do the right thing and let the dogs stay.

Thank you

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1977

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: I don't know

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This is such a great park for off leash runs and is frequently used outside of sport playing times. I also do not support dogs being prohibited from playgrounds as there are young families in these spaces with dogs and children alike, this is one of the few fenced areas they can all enjoy together. I do not believe family dogs at a playground are an issue

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1978

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills farm is a social hub for people to exercise their dogs and introduce new people. We have recently moved to New Zealand and the park has been a staple in meeting neighbours through the exercise of our dogs, and banning dogs from the park reduces the community aspect of what the park is meant to be for. Poorly behaved dogs shouldn't affect the majority when it only applies to the few.

If this is purely when the football is on, I understand dogs being on a leash near the pitch, however the rumour is a completely ban on dogs in the park entirely.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Kohimarama beach

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

Other - please specify:I have seen little problems with dogs on the beach. Owners are able to understand whether their dog is trained enough to be on lead or not.

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

Beach is a great exercise for and enjoying the beach in the peak times with your dog.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

No

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1984

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

There is a Deed of gift over Madills Farm which says it is for the use of all. I think this overrides any attempts by some to restrict other peoples enjoyment such as walking their dog there. I have no problem with digs being banned from sports fields when in use but to restrict further is a breach of the Deed.

Glover Park rules should also be left as is. There is no problem restricting dogs when the fields are in use but otherwise the current rules should remain. It would seem that by only these two areas of sports fields being singled out for more restrictions that there is pressure being applied to council to change the rules to suit one particular group.

Also, I noticed that bags for the collection of dog faeces have been removed from many parks. This is a shortsighted move which affects all. The dog fees I pay I thought were directed to this so now I’m paying for a nonexistent service.

Auckland council prides itself on being an inclusive organisation and Auckland city being a great place to live for all, yet again it seems that dogs and their owners are not considered to be a part of that with the restrictions they face. Dogs enrich so many lives beyond belief and should be acknowledged within our city, just as other activities are.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1987

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dogs should be allowed anywhere on the field/pathway off leash unless sports are on then they should be on leash

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1996

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The sports fields are not in use all the time, particularly in school term time. They provide a great area for dogs to run and play. Dog owners are able to meet informally and this provides a sense of community. The area that is not covered by playing fields is relatively small and some of it is close to the road which could be dangerous for dogs and road users if a dog runs on to the road. Also close to footpaths where people walk and may not want contact with a dog. There are many dogs that run on the playing fields without causing damage.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1997

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Parks are a public space and should be able to be used in a way that reflects the surrounding community. Having been born a 5 minute walk away from Madills farm, and having raised my dog there who was trained there by the Blind Foundation, I find this proposal devastating. This proposal reflects the needs of the sporting community, and whilst their concerns about dog use are valid, we have successfully built a space that reflects the needs of all parties. Dogs stay off the field when it is in use, when it isn't, dogs are allowed. Reducing the use of these spaces further is only catering to the sports side of the argument. This is a public space, not a stadium, so the expectation of pristine grass untouched by any other users, as well as other ground condition concerns, is selfish and not in a community mindset.

This proposal concerns me most around two impacts it will have.

1) Dog health - for many people this space is essential for meeting the needs of their dogs. Free running in a safe space away from a road is a fundamental part of dog health. For example, I see greyhounds sprint the lengths of the field daily, without this they get wound up and anxious, and be prone to severe health conditions. They can't go to the beach and do this at all times, not to mention the disruption it would cause to the public relaxing there if they did. So if you are going to take this space away from them, where will they go? The remaining spaces in Madills are not large or protected enough to cater to this need.

2) Accessibility - we have a large population of older adults and seniors, people with prams, and/or disabilities. This is one of the only accessible parks in our surrounding areas. These people can't just up and leave daily to another area to meet their dog's needs. A lot of nearby parks are hilly and have gravel. Madills farm is fundamental to our community having a diverse and flourishing lifestyle. To put the needs of sporting recreation above the limited recreation opportunities available to this part of our population is unfair.

Please hear the needs of all of our community when making this decision, and not just the loudest people in the room.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Please consult people who train dogs, such as the Blind Foundation, before making any decisions

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#1999

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

When not in use there are no problems with digs running free on the sports fields

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2010

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Devonport-Takapuna

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dogs should be allowed off leash on sports surfaces in this park when not in use. It is so hard to find large open spaces for dogs to run around in.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2012

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Because Madills was left to be enjoyed by everybody including people walking their dogs. It was the wish to the owners who bequeathed it to the council and should remain that way .I have walked Madills many times and never come across dog poo left behind, all dog owners who walk Madills are responsible , why should they be penalised. There is more rubbish left by the soccer club when in use that needs to be picked up. It is also a very social place to meet other people walking their dogs and many people meet up for dogs to socialise and get to meet other dogs. The park should be left as it is for dog walkers to enjoy , for the dogs that can be off leash to have a run and socialise . If this is changed where are people supposed to let their dogs off leash. Dogs should have somewhere that they are able to be off leash and if has not been a problem before so why change a good thing.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?Manly**What local board area is this location in?**I don't know**In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?Tell us why**I don’t know**Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?**Entire location**What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?Please explain your issue in more detail:**Other - please specify:Na**Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence**Sorry entered yes and could not go back

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2014

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The current legislation already prohibits dogs on playgrounds (which I agree with); prohibits dogs on sports fields when in use, and on leas in the vicinity (agreed). The new proposal seeks to prohibit dogs on sports fields REGARDLESS of whether they are in use for sports- this massively limits the areas in which dogs can be off/on leash which in itself seems to go against the deed of Madill's Farm in that it should be for everyone's use. The current legislation supports more fair use; the proposed update does not and starts to remove the community feel for the space.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2029

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

There are limited places for dogs to run around. The rule should just be gigs on leash when sport playing in vicinity of the game

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2030

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The current rules ensure all users can enjoy these spaces. If current rules are enforced there are no downsides for sports users or playground users. The new rules are very restrictive and would make it very difficult to exercise dogs in our local parks. We have young kids and still do not oppose dogs in these areas. Most owners are friendly, respectful and have their dogs under control. There is no reason for further restrictions.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Dogs are an important part of a social, happy city. They provide opportunities for interactions, reduce stress and anxiety. The problem is never dogs but owners who haven't trained or don't take good care of their dogs. The focus should be on those not in limiting the liberty of good dogs and owners.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2032

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I live close to both of these parks.

I use Glover park every day with my dogs and have come to recognize many of the dog owners in the area. When there are not sports games being played, many of us use the flat area of the park to allow our dogs off leash. This is important for elderly dog owners (who cannot negotiate the hills either side of the field) and for elderly dogs (such as mine) who also can't handle incline. Glover park is clean and does not have an issue with dog waste or with digging. I don't think there should be any change and am surprised one would be suggested as I don't see that we are solving any actual problem. I find the dog owners to be very respectful when sports are being played and have never encountered an issue related to this. I go to Madill's quite often and have the same sentiments. There is not issue that I notice and I don't know why this shared space would be changed to an exclusive 'sports' space. It feels selfish and unkind to me.

Regarding Glover, the only problem I have encountered is adults using the children's play equipment to do workouts (I also have two small children). This makes me feel uncomfortable at times and an alternative would be including some stationary bars/workout equipment on the other side of the field, for example, so young families feel safer. Families often bring their children and their dogs to Glover at the same time. What a shame it would be if parents could no longer get the kids and dogs out of the house at the same time. If there are concerns about dogs getting into the playground it could be fenced - but actually this should be more because it is right beside a car park than any other reason.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

I love the shared spaces in our community. I don't think that these parks should be exclusive for one type of community member (those who don't have dogs, those who play sports). Dogs have important health benefits for their humans and they deserve a good quality of life themselves. Off leash areas are really important for the exercise of dogs and their owners.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2035

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I use both Madills and Glover parks when walking my dog off leash as a place for her to explore and socialise under supervison. I feel that the proposed changes seriously do a dis service to responsible dog owners especially familys who might be walking/riding bikes with pets who need a flat ground for mobility and to enjoy time together. As I have observed rover the past nearly 8 years the regular users of these parks are very good when sports is on not to let there dogs get in the way and when there is no sport there really is no good reason to make the dogs have to be on leash at all times. I agree with keeping dogs away from the playground however as a responsible pet owner who is fully registered in accordance with council rules I would like to think that parks which may be council maintained but are still parks that are designated outdoor multi use for all to enjoy should not have the bylaws changed to discriminate against the dogs and their owners.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2040

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

There is nothing wrong with the current rules.

Having dogs on sports fields when not in use makes sense. It's such a waste of public space if dogs cannot use sports fields when fields not in use. Especially, as the fields are only used a small percentage of the time. I think this change is ridiculous!

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
No.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2047

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Taking the dog/s for a walk in this park has been such a valuable part of our lifestyle and community for generations. I'm keen to understand the reasons for this change? Are some bad dog owners ruining it for everyone by not picking up poo? You could argue the same about children's sport on the weekend and the few naughty families who leave rubbish behind. Please don't do this, this park hums with life and activity because of everyone popping out to walk their dogs. If you ban this from the majority of the park as proposed, it will be a waste of a beautiful park, central to life in the area.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2048

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This was a park first and foremost before it was a sports ground. As Kohimarama has grown, houses do not have enough backyard space (if any) for dogs to get exercise. Parks play a vital role in our community for people and pets. If dogs aren't allowed to be walked in the park, where should they go?! There are no other local alternatives.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Stop being exclusionary of dogs and their owners.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2057

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I am astonished that this change is being proposed. Madills Farm has long been used as a loved exercise area for many responsible dog owners, and removal of access to designated sports areas would severely limit the local exercise areas available for dog owners.

Firstly, the sports areas make up the vast majority of the park, putting dog walkers at a disadvantage when trying to find a place to go off lead. These sports areas:

1. Include almost all of the flat areas suitable for dog exercise

2. Include the only well-maintained areas for small dogs to exercise (other parts of the field are left unmown)

3. Include areas that are further away from the playground, which helps dog owners avoid children

Secondly, there are very few areas nearby which offer flat, safe, suitable dog exercise areas. Making the sports fields dog free would force dog owners to travel far and wide.

Thirdly, the driver for change appears in part to be the prevention of damage to sports fields. Whilst I accept some dogs may dig holes, the vast majority of dogs do not cause any significant damage to sports fields. Thus, the vast majority are being penalised in this proposal for the problems caused by a few. Further, the maintenance of these grounds uses unfathomable approaches to sports fields maintenance. When I have observed maintenance in the past, I have observed obscenely wasteful approaches to maintenance. This includes filling any dug holes with sand, and sprinkling grass seed on top. Ask any lawn care enthusiast and they will tell you this is not enough to restore patches of lawn. Another obscene observation I made recently, was the installation of approximately 500m of temporary 1.8m fencing around two of the sports fields. One can only assume this was to restore the grounds. If each section is 2.4m, and each section costs $16 per week, and the fencing was up for 10 weeks, then that is $33k+ of fence hiring costs. Not to mention installation and deconstruction costs. The fence was pulled apart after the first few weeks with people entering from various points. In this time, it appears there was extremely little done to restore the grounds. They are still full of weeds, full of holes, and the grass remains thin and exposed to the hot summer. This demonstrates a gross level of incompetence when it comes to groundskeeping and apathy when it comes to spending

ratepayers money. A simple pigtail spike and some tape or rope would have achieved the same job for a fraction of the cost. So instead of blaming dogs, perhaps scrutinising the groundskeeping contracts and getting them to actually perform basic tasks like properly refilling holes.

Fourthly, the proposal attempts to protect sports field users from dogs - which once again penalises responsible dog owners whose dogs would never cause safety issues others. Stop penalising the responsible users and start penalising the irresponsible users. You need to start seriously penalising irresponsible dog owners. I have previously had neighbours who have bred large dogs, kept more than two unlicenced dogs at their home, whose dogs have been allowed to wander the streets terrorising children and locals - including onto train tracks causing serious risk of harm to many. Yet despite months and months of providing evidence to and complaining to authorities, whilst having regular checks from SPCA and Animal Control, complaints to council, Kainga Ora, and central government - the dogs continued to be abused in the custody of tenants, and nothing was ever done. You need to seriously check your priorities.

Finally, the vast majority of dog users at Madills Farm are responsible. I have been visiting Madills Farm most days - 3-4 days per week to walk my two small (<8kg) dogs for the last 1.5 years. I have never felt uncomfortable or threatened because of other dogs or dog owners - because I simply have not seen the type of threatening dog that is likely the target of this. You really would be penalising a lot of good people because of this absurd proposal.

Final thought - if you must clarify some of the rules here, why don't you propose that dogs are allowed off-leash on the sports fields except for when there are active sport games being played?

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Stop punishing responsible dog owners.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2067

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Hygiene for players and sports ground users . Parents have had to pick up other dogs poo so a kids soccer game can commence . As long as there is adequate off leash areas in that park still

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

St heliers

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

A section of it. Please specify:Part of our beach dog friendly off leash any time of day

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:So dogs can swim and enjoy the beach with their families

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Mt Taylor to be allowed dog friendly with controlled off leash any time

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2078

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

While the sports fields are not in use the dogs should be able to run off leash as they do not harm the fields and they need a place to run.

what damages the fields are the players with their slide tackles and running on the grass with their sprigs and yet you don't try to ban them from playing on the grass.

I don't see any difference with our kids running around on the sports ground as our dogs do, and yet you want to stop our dogs from playing.

I don't know where the council are coming from and what their agenda is.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2080

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The park is extremely well used by dog walkers and banning them from the sports field area (which is the significant majority of the park) will negatively impact a large number of people. There are also limited other dog walking options in the local area, other than Kohimarama Beach which has summer walking restrictions and would be in danger of being overloaded by dog walkers.

In my experience the significant majority of dog walkers at Madills are very conscientious and have their dogs well under control

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2083

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills Farm area is 80% sports field - Soccer and Cricket Pitches. Although well used they are unused for sports activities more hours of a day than they are in use. Most evenings the sports fields are used for dog exercise - ball throwing, obedience training, of leash running etc. - by responsible dog owners who are regular visitors. Owners are well equipped with Poo bags and manage their dogs' behavior. To prohibit dogs on sports field at "all times" would dramatically change and limit the community enjoyment gained from the park. Limiting off leash activities to the edge of the park which is also the concrete walking path. Throwing a dog a ball to chase in this area would be hazardous to walkers and children bike riding and also unsafe as this area is close to the surrounding roads. I see no need to change the existing rules which have worked well for many years, it feels like this change is proposed by someone unfamiliar with how this park is used by the local residents.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2090

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Unlike some other larger parks, the sports fields and their adjoining grass are the area that most owners and dogs use.

This leaves the playground area at the other end

relatively dog-free.

Madills is in constant use for dog exercise off leash and is well known for its well behaved dogs and respectful owners. Dogs are kept off fields when in use for sport and other activities, but for many hours those areas are the places that dogs and their owners meet and greet.

I’m there with a grandchild or 2 and 2 small dogs on and off all year.

Just because it is generally helpful to standardise rules is not sufficient reason to spoil

the current use of an area sensibly functioning under the existing by-laws. These existing rules are more suited to its current and future habit of use.

I’m for the Opinion that leaves this Park as it is.

site, demographic and

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2099

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

The park is there to be shared by sports and dog owners. Maybe a small fence around the main field would be good so that it prevents dogs that are not controlled by owners and are used to be being on the fields.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2108

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Well behaved dogs love and deserve the freedom to run and play as they wish. The problems are caused by lazy owners who do not pick up their dogs toilet. The area needs large signs advising of hefty fines and and also security cameras and when possible, enforcement staff to monitor the area. Could some local volunteer people assist with perhaps a direct line to someone in authority to enforce a fine ?

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Please help us to retain Madills Farm, Kohimarama as a happy and secure area for everyone to enjoy. It gives a great deal of pleasure to many dog owners and especially those with little interaction with other people - a very important social centre in the heart of the area.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2118

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I walk my dog regularly in Madills farm as do many people I see every day. The marked sports fields make up 90% of the area of Madills farm so if dogs can't be on them at any time there is not much other space for dogs to roam and it will not be a part I will use in future. I cannot see any harm if dogs, or people use the sports fields when not in use. It would have been good to explain WHY this change is being made, what are you trying to achieve? If it is dogs digging or faeces then focus on the behaviour of the few rather than hit the many. Bad policy for sports fields that are not used that often.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2122

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills and Glover park are empty spaces only used by walkers and dog owners a huge amount of the time. Compared to the actual time sports are played. To now not be able to use these huge sports grounds/fields with our dogs at all seems ridiculous. I totally agree with sports grounds being prohibited during use, playgrounds at all times (often ignored by small dog owners), and car parks.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2128

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

There will not be enough space for dogs to exercise at the park with this ban, and no where else nearby for dogs to socialize and exercise. There is no where else local for dogs and dog owners to go, so this would drastically decrease the quality of life for both dogs and dog owners!!!

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2131

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dogs should be under control on a leash in all areas of the Reserve and Park

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2139

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dogs are family members it is already difficult to find activities you can do with your dog. Banning them from beaches and parks will be a step back as a society. In fact more and more people is opting for not having kids and having dogs instead. This will new proposed policy will definitively have a huge negative impact on the wellbeing of NZ dog owners. We should promote healthier, active lifestyle. What other alternatives we have as dog owners is the equivalent of banning kids in parks. that does not make sense.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Dogs are family members it is already difficult to find activities you can do with your dog. Banning them from beaches and parks will be a step back as a society. In fact more and more people is opting for not having kids and having dogs instead. This will new proposed policy will definitively have a huge negative impact on the wellbeing of NZ dog owners. We should promote healthier, active lifestyle. What other alternatives we have as dog owners is the equivalent of banning kids in parks. that does not make sense.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2151

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dogs should not be prohibited at all times on sports field and they should be allowed off leash on sports fields then they are not in use

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2152

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This proposed change will have a negative effect on the community and will increase pressure on other local resources such as Kohimarama beach. Madills is important to the entire community and has functioned well [redacted] Any benefit gained by this change will be outweighed by the negative impact on the community quality of life. Dogs left exercised will cause council more stress in the long term, not to mention the impact to owners. Please retain the status quo.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2156

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills is a popular dog exercising area which supports the local Kohimarama and St Heliers community. The only other local option is the beach which is not suitable for dogs during the busy summer months. Having lived here for the past 14 years both with or without a dog, Madills is a friendly, safe and easy environment to take your dog for some exercise. By banning dogs you will only put undue stress on the beach and make it more difficult for locals, especially those with lesser physical abilities. We should be encouraging more of these parks and dog walking areas within central Auckland, rather than making backwards changes to the city.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2160

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

First of all why consider the parks together? No justification for that. Second, there is no evidence provided for why the change should be made, zero data or justification. Many many people use the park for a wide range of activities - why single out dogs? Can you fly a kite or race a bike on the sports field when not in use? How is that different to a dog? You have not clearly made the case for it. I would argue that dogs and owners will get much less exercise and you will drive traffic on the roads by making this change - less healthy people and more carbon dioxide and risk of death or serious injury from car journeys.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

No signs up in the park with the proposed changes, you honestly seem to be making it as hard as possible to understand this and constructively comment

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2177

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

While I have lived in the kohimarama area there hasn’t been much problems with dogs in fact I haven’t heard of any problems with dogs on madills. So I think it is unreasonable to ban the dogs from the field. Other than madills the only other place locally to walk your dog is the beach which in summer time will put undue stress on the beach as people won’t be able to enjoy the beach when dogs are around. So we should keep the dogs allowed on the field rule.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2180

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills Farm was gifted to Auckland for use by all NOT just a select few.

I think dogs should be allowed on the sports field area off Leash when sport is not being played.

This park is often free of sports fixtures and the owners and dogs should be allowed to enjoy the large space when there is no sport being played.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

I use Kohi beach with my dog regularly and I think the rules there are very fair to all and should remain as is. Parks and beaches are for all Aucklanders to enjoy and use NOT just a chosen few. I have found dog owners very conscientious regarding their pets behaviour and picking up any waste as they appreciate having the use of these great spaces

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2183

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: I don't know

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

We share this land - with all living things. We cannot prohibit access because it might cause issue with a few biased individuals. This doesn't solve an issue it creates disconnect and segregation between demographics. Focus on educating dog owners as well as non-dog owners and simplifying regulations. If people get used to dogs everywhere, the less of an issue it will become.

Dogs bring joy to peoples' lives. Stop trying to take away their rights to play. That's all they ask...we have people that treat them horrifically, people who hit them, beat them and try to kill them and yet they stay loyal to their owners and only ever ask for love and a good walk in return. Just let them be part of the community.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Don't take away the freedom to include dogs in our communities. Humans are far more harmful- if you need to restrict access, then consider restricting human access as well - they are the worst culprits when it comes to protecting our flora and fauna

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2184

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Waitematā

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Keep current

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Let the dogs have access to all parks beaches in and greater Auckland

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2185

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Waitematā

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

Other (please explain below)

Tell us why

Please provide as many opportunities as possible for on-lead walking.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Please provide as many opportunities as possible for on-lead walking.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2204

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills farm was trusted to the council with the express requirement that the land be available to all users. It is not designated as a sports ground only. The needs of the whole Community should come before the needs of a sports club. In the weekends there are loads of families down at Madills with their dogs, playing ball and enjoying family time. Eastern Suburbs sports club needs should not come above that.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2209

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dogs frequently go in the playground.

Children and sports players have a right to clear access to fields without dog dirt and interrupted play.

I have personally witnessed aggressive dogs at glover park coming too close to children and scaring them.

I support no dogs in either area there are plenty of other parks for dogs these ones are for children.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2214

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

When the fields are not in use for sports there is absolutely no reason dogs can't go on the park.

Dogs need safe areas to run free and play with other dogs off lead to ensure they are exercised and well socialised. Having only on lead areas means dogs will not interact as frequently or as closely with other dogs, creating unsocialised dogs and increasing the risk they may cause harm to other dogs. Socialisation and exercise is a key part of healthy and well behaved dogs.

On lead exercise is insufficient for many large dogs. With Auckland becoming more populated and section sizes rapidly decreasing, the majority of dogs don't have adequate exercise space in their own homes. Owners rely on safe public spaces to exercise dogs sufficiently.

The council will be the ones wearing the cost when you get more complaints and call outs for badly behaved / barking / dangerous dogs who can't be exercised properly.

Unacceptable to limit access and cause these consequences - particularly at times when there are no sports activities going on.

Glover Park - by forcing dogs up the cliff you create a real risk of dogs falling off the cliff edge and dying I know of at least two instances of this happening at Musick point last year alone.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

The proposed changes are unnecessary. So many potential negative consequences that will cost the council money it doesn't have - simply to silence a few people who complain about the rare instances an owner doesn't pick up after their dog.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2217

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Stupid change what is wrong with you guys

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Don't change

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2222

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Waitematā

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2224

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Parks are for both sports people and dog owners. It works well now with the current situation so no need for change

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Please leave it as is. Madness that the council thinks all cannot live in harmony! Thank you

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2230

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

These parks are for everybody to use including dogs. Where are dogs suppose to exercise if they cant go to the park. Dogs do no harm to the playing surfaces.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2237

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I think that these changes are unfair - that ‘sports’ field is only used at certain times, therefore leaving the field empty majority of the day.

This field is home to so many local dogs to be able to run freely and socialise with other dogs.

Taking this type of socialisation away from dogs has so many negative factors.

You are also asking local owners to now drive to off leash areas - some elderly people may not drive, the nearest park would be Churchill - which is known to have an unstable man there who exposes himself!

Dogs within New Zealand are not really allowed anywhere especially beaches during summer which means they cannot cool down - ok fair enough I can somewhat understand the beaches during summer.

Just let our dogs live their lives - it’s only short life these little fur babies have and this is one great park for dogs. - you should come visit during peak times and see how happy every single dog is down there.

You clearly have no empathy if you think this is a good plan.

Why don’t you go back to the sports club and tell them to stop being so selfish and if they really need a sports field - split Madills in half.

Just as crucial as it is for them to continue their hobby it’s just as important and crucial that our dogs can roam freely and socialise.

How would you like it if you had to be walked on a leash constantly and not understand why you no longer can run around the field that’s been your home for so many years

Put the plan in the bin and move on from it - focus on something more important.

- I mean you who is actually coming up with these ideas and thinks yes that what we will focus on. It’s barbaric.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Yes, open them all up to dogs and let them live their lives.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2241

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dogs need to socialise and exercise

Enjoy the freedom as much as humans

Dogs could be kept on a lead when sports games are played but when vacant they should be allowed to roam free under control

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2245

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Beloved area by whanau and dog owners stop trying to change it

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2259

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills and Glover Parks are for the community to share. They represent a meeting ground for people to socialise, to meet new friends and greet family, one where the whole community, including the family dog can spend time. Additionally as the council knows this is an era of loneliness, and outdoor spaces are vital to the health of all. Madills especially was created for everyone in the community, not to be used as sports ground only. It would just be so wrong to ban dogs from being unleashed 24/7. It seems since the night lights were erected at both Madills Farm and Glover Park, and sports events have become bigger events, and hundreds more people other than the locals are using the grounds, the problems of ground maintenance and cleanliness has become an issue. I am also aware that the sport club pays only $1,000 per annum for its upkeep. Dog owners in Auckland pay $17million in Registration Fees. It would be good to have statistics on dog ownership, in the surrounding neighborhoods, and some fairer way to equitable use for all. It is noticeable that maintenance has not been adequate since the night lights were installed, on the sports areas especially. I believe this could be fixed by asking the sports club, who I'm sure you realize seem to have taken verbal ownership of these grounds, by referring to them as 'THEIR GROUNDS' in social media, are charged a proper fee for the grounds upkeep. This in no way should give the sports club any right of ownership, Madills Farm is and always has been expressly for the community. If dogs were to be banned from the sports areas 24/7 the parks would be a ghost area that would then not fulfill the intended benefits of the parks, Madills especially. I have noticed 'Council Signs' already going up, that state there is a 24/7 ban on dogs in or around sports fields, and dog rangers sitting around waiting for a dog owner to pass by. This seems a very underhanded and predetermined change of the bylaw and its clauses. I am just one of hundreds maybe thousand who have noticed this and are outraged that council can seemingly take action without first considering it's ratepayers. The holes in the pitches are made by wear and tear from sports events. I would point out that these holes only seem to appear on the pitches, the dogs simply cannot be blamed, there is no evidence they cause the damage.

- I would ask council to make no change to the dog rules at Madills Farm, Orakei. There has always seemed to be a friendly relationship between dog owners and the club members, the sports families and the dog owners. There are certainly plenty of Eastern Bays Football Club members who have family dogs on the sidelines, who want the off leash rule to stay at Madills Farm, our dogs are part of the family.

- Dog owners are ratepayers and we don't want to loose rights we have at Madills. If we could not use the full park during out of sport times, this lovely community meeting spot will become an unused facility. Why would council let this happen when people's need to unwind closer to nature is more important than ever.

- It just isn't right if council favor one part of the community, the sports loving Eastern bays Football Club, over everyone else just because we choose a dog instead of a ball. This is not democratic, or fair.

- My opposition to the proposed changes to the dog off leash rules at Madills Farm includes an argument against the claims from the Football club, that it is the dogs make holes and do damage to the park grounds. The reality is the club has hundreds of players, and visitors, whose boots and equipment, vehicles on the grass, and the intensive sports games and practice sessions, which damage the grounds. In fact we, the dog walking community have several people picking up drink bottles, plastics, wound dressings and food detritus regularly.

- I would encourage the Local Board and the Council to consider all members of the rate paying community when making a decision on the fairness of the use of Madills Farm Recreational Park.

- I believe Council is mistakenly including Madills Farm in this legislation as to date there have been no dangerous dog incidents at this park, and no dog attacks. Why should a community of responsible dog owners be penalized for the errors of a few in other areas.

- In addition I believe the council is using a sledge hammer to crack a nut. Please use the dog wardens to round up the dangerous dogs that are roaming and the owners who refuse to register their dogs. You will find from your statistics that Madills is just not a problem area.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

The council I see is also trying to change dog access to beaches by only allowing dogs off leash in the water. That is quite ridiculous, especially for those like me whose wheelchair is not waterproof.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2262

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

If the sports area is not in use let the dogs run free. Don’t penalise all dog owners just because of some irresponsible owners. This park is a great dog area to run off leash.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
They should be allowed assess.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2267

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

here are two reasons provided for the proposed change.

The first is aimed at "better protecting the health and safety of sports users, dogs, and sports surfaces from damage." Responsible dog owners typically clean up after their dogs and ensure they do not harm public spaces, including sports fields. Limiting dog owners in this manner does not align with the Dog Access Principle in the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs 2019, section 1a, which states, "Recognize dog owners as legitimate users of public places and that dog access is essential for dog welfare," as it removes access even when the fields are not in use.

Section 1.b of the same policy encourages the integration of dog owners and their pets with other users of public spaces, yet this change would separate different groups of users.

Additionally, the Dog Access Principle in section 1.d notes that access should be considered on both a regional and local level. Both aspects should be weighed equally, and the preference for a single rule by staff cannot serve as the sole reason for or against any changes to the current regulations.

Section 6.b of the Policy on Dogs 2019 requires the council to "ensure, as far as practicable, that any displaced dog owners and their dogs have access to other areas or that such access is included in the decision." The reasoning provided is that the remaining sections of the park will suffice. However, at Madills Farm, only a small portion would still be available for dogs, and this area is adjacent to a road, increasing the risk for dogs compared to those in more open spaces further away from traffic.

The proposed change would likely mean that Madills Farm can no longer be regarded as an off-leash exercise area, and any claim that it could be would not be accurate.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Not at this time;

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2271

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Albert-Eden

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Great place for Dogs to socialise off lead - also their owners. Additionally, there are no other off-lead parks in the area.... Dogs need exercise, they live in our city, we need spaces to exercise them fairly.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Please consider responsible dog owners and their dogs. We need off-lead places to run them, this park provides this. Please to don't take this away.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2272

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This park is great park for my children and our dog, have this change would stop our family from being able to use and enjoy the park and would be detrimental to our outdoor activities.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

I do believe that dogs should be under control at all times. If your dog it well behaved and has good recall with no aggression they should be allowed to play with the family off leash. I agree not near the children's play park but on the field.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2273

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2280

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This is a community park where many households live with dogs and is an important place to exercise dogs and socialise with other dogs. Would be terrible to see the restrictions of dogs on leads here.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2281

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2286

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Waitematā

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills Farm park is such a important park for our family and for do many families and there dogs.It was a first place our fog learned how to socialize either other fogs and people.All fog owners I know are responsible and look after the park maybe more then People who play spor there.It is really important to keep that space for recreation for everyone.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
No

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2287

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills Farm is a recreation reserve for all to use - not a designated Sports Field. this change will make it a designated sports field and bar recreational dog walkers from using it when not in use for sports. If the spots clubs have issues with sharing the space they should be the ones to relocate.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
No

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2288

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Albert-Eden

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

It is important for dogs to socialise off lead and glover park/madills farm is a large area that is suitable for this to take place. The current rules only allow for dogs to be controlled off leash when the sports surfaces are not in use which also supports that dogs don't hinder the club/sports teams playing ability

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2291

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

I support the change.

Due to the volume of dogs being walked at Madills, some further action is needed as a few bad apples are spoiling the bunch in terms of dogs leaving waste on the sports field and bothering players, bystanders, and other park users.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2292

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The majority of the day the sports field is unused by sports teams and is a valued resource and area for responsible dog owners

Dog owners use the sports field as a place to meet am socialize creating great community connections

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2298

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Kohimarama beach

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to prohibit dogs

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To reduce owner-related problems (e.g. owner ignoring rules, dogs not under control, dog faeces not picked up, etc). Please specify:Dogs out of control. Poo not picked up.

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

Dogs out of control.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

No

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2306

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Genuine safety concerns of dogs with zero recall lunging towards and chasing young children. Have experienced this walking through the grounds from Melanesia Road side up to the playground.

Regular occurrence (daily!) of seeing owners neglecting to pick up dog poo from the sports ground creating a health and safety hazard for others.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Better enforcement of rules around keeping dogs on leash on beaches is needed. Bigger fines needed as deterrents.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2313

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills is a lovely location for dogs to have a run around. This is no longer the case if they aren’t able to walk across large areas of the park. Unrealistic to have dogs near marked field but not on it. As a human I typically don’t know where the field outlines are! It would be a shame to lose this great dog-friendly community space. And lastly, I think that the fields are used way more by dog walkers than organised sports.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2315

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2326

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I believe the existing policy should remain unchanged however there needs to be some sort of 'policing' in order for it to be sustainable. For example, Cornwall Park have a ranger-like person that patrols and will tell people to keep their dogs on leash, etc.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2343

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Whau

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Responsible dog owners are already highly restricted on area and times if access. Increasing those limitations is unfair and discriminating. The majority should not be penalized for the minority who are not responsible dog owners.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?Piha northern beaches**What local board area is this location in?**I don't know**In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?Tell us why**Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash**Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?**A section of it. Please specify:Northern side of Lions Rock**What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?Please explain your issue in more detail:**To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:There is currently very limited access for dogs and responsible owners.**Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence**Responsible dog owners are already highly restricted on area and times if access. Increasing those limitations is unfair and discriminating. The majority should not be penalized for the minority who are not responsible dog owners.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2344

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This is a family community and our dogs deserve the opportunity to run around. It is unnecessary to restrict use of fields that are not used for sports purposes 70% of daylight hours.. if the issue is the occasional Dog deposit on fields, that is an enforcement issue for which there are rules and regulations in place the entire access should not be removed simply for that reason. We are dog owners and parents of soccer player and the occasional dog damage from unsupervised animals over the years does not warrant removal of this right for all responsible owners.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

There should be more enforcement action rather than restricted rights for everyone, because restricted rights for everybody simply impacts those who are already responsible owners, and those who are not continue to cause issues and the changes won’t achieve the intended result

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2346

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

I have exercised my dogs at Madills Farm for over 40 years. As I approach my 80th birthday being able to walk my dog at Madills Farm improves my quality of life. It provides exercise and companionship both of which become more difficult as one gets older.

Surely there must be a balance between those wanting to off lead exercise their dogs and those who want to use the sport fields? The new restrictions you are contemplating would completely destroy this area as an off lead area. There aren't even any provisions for being able to use the area at different times of the day. I would hope some common sense plays a part in the final ruling instead of a complete ban.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2349

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dogs love to exercise in this area of the park as it is big and provides a good vantage point for the owners to see them. I understand the playground area however, where else would the dogs be able to be unleashed if you do not allow them in the sports area. Big dogs don’t have enough exercise if they are being led around particularly by someone without the physical capabilities to cater to them. If they are unexercised this can lead to them unhealthy and encouraging them to escape home. (from prior experience with big dogs I know that were not walked) Leashed during sports games and practices sure, but maybe put up a schedule so dog owners are aware. But when it is not in use we should be able to use the space how we like. But I do agree with the playground being a no dog zone unless leashed.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2353

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

It didn't make sense to stop dogs being on the sports field when not in use or not closed. Families merry there and dogs socialize. It's a lovely open space for ball throwing and away from the road for the safety of the dogs

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Beach access times in summer and winter make sense
Not allowing them on unused or open sports fields doesn't especially when walking from home.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2359

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Albert-Eden

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Policing the policy is a waste of time and resources.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2360

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Removing access to natural grass fields is unnecessarily strict. If they were artificial turf and fenced I could understand it, but it is a greenfields space. This change only penalises good dog owners.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
no

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2383

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Because there's no reason dogs should be banned from sports fields whe n they are not in use

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2385

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

[redacted] for some years and always owned a registered dog.

We have always controlled our dog and never encountered uncontrolled dogs. Dogs are always fully controlled with their owners present.

All owners we have seen are very respectful of other park users, both sporting and recreational.

By removing the right to walk dogs freely and in a controlled manner in a park which was legally entrusted to all the residents of this area, the Council is removing our right and freedom.

I would strongly object to the proposed change to the current situation at Madill's Farm in Kohimarama.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

I believe all owners of registered dogs have a right to use the parks freely.

During the Summer months only, the only beach rule I would consider fair would be to allow dogs on the beach in the morning until 9.00am then again after 5.00pm thereby giving beach goers an opportunity to use the beach without dogs.

this could apply from mid December through until school returns at the beginning of February or just after Waitangi Weekend.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2387

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This proposal is just plain stupid. Enough said.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2388

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

We run / walk our dog daily at Madill's Farm. We always pick up her poop and love the fact that there is a large area for her to run on. We don't want these changes to happen as it will greatly restrict the areas we can use

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2394

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

I love dogs but anywhere where people are using the playground or park, the dogs must be controlled by their owner. I have seen many times the dog poop doesn't get picked up because the owner is not paying attention.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Please take care of our environment.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2395

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dos should not be on sports fields as they may poop or urinate in those areas which is unsanitary for players.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2399

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

It seems fair and rational that dogs have to be under control and on a leash in carparks and sportsurfcaes. But it is essential that there remain some off-leash area's where dogs can run free.

With increased housing density in these area's, fewer residences have outdoor space for their dogs and dogs need to have exercise.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2400

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Howick

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2401

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The changes are unnecessary as the majority of users of this park are respectful and manage their dogs as well as cleaning up after them. The changes you are proposing classify all dogs and all dog owners as being the same rather than looking at the few owners in particular who do not clean up after their dogs or act responsibly in how their dogs behave. One rule does not fit all and the focus should be on education of the people who do not manage their dogs appropriately. My wife and I use this park on a daily basis to walk our dog and we have not witnessed any dogs attacking or being aggressive towards people other than one dog of an inappropriate breed whose owner could not control it as it was too strong for him to manage. We have seen very few instances of people not cleaning up after their dogs but others have assisted to clean up. There are a large number of people who use this park because of its proximity and to limit the use as proposed would push large numbers of dogs into relatively small spaces and increase the likelihood of aggressive protective behaviour from the dogs. At the moment the dogs are able to keep their distance from each other and not impact on the use of the park by sporting activities.

The Council and its staff should as previously stated seek to educate those who do not clean up after their dogs or who do not have sufficient control of their dogs rather than banning the use of the areas proposed under the changes.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Council is trying to classify all owners and all dogs as the being the same rather than trying to educate and if necessary, prosecute those who do not act appropriately and after being educated fail to change.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2406

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

There are limited places to walk dogs off leash currently. This is further restricting safe places for owners to take dogs to give a run around for the mental and physical health of the dog. Restricting these may mean that more dogs escape their property to get proper exercise.

Also why does Crossfield reserve not have the same rules of other sports parks. The rules are inconsistent.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Crossfield Reserve

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

A section of it. Please specify:Make the rules consistent with Glover Park and Madills Farm

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:It is a safe park to exercise dogs as fenced on 3 sides, and road a distance away.

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

Inconsistent with other parks

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Different beaches have different rules so please put the rules up.

Orakei local board- why are dogs not allowed off leash in the summer? It seems unduly restricted when there are not people around.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2409

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

As per my previous. We use Madills farm regularly to let our dog run,. It's a great place for us to do this we have small back yard and she needs to be able run. We pay exorbitant rates which pays for these parks to be maintained why should we if we cannot use the space how we wish. Why should one small club who don't pay rates use this space solely for them! It's it right.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2410

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Need to enforce better dog ownership, rather than penalise those who are responsible dog owners - which is what this is doing. These fields are not used a lot of the time, for example 9am-5pm week days, and not allowing dog access whatsoever is overkill. There are limited other off lead areas in the surronding area, especially in the summer when they cannot go on the beach. This could lead to more dog incidents due to under-exercised dogs. It is a better idea to add the restriction at certain times of day, rather than a set rule for all times

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2415

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I live locally to Madills farm and am there most days with my dog. It is already a restricted park and does not need any further restrictions placed on it, I believe that would only make our community suffer. At Madills, not only has my dog made friends who he has grown up with and plays with regularly, all of the owners have forged friendships with neighbours and established a real sense of community that would be lost. Madills is one of very few places in the area where our dogs are free to roam and they adore it. It is an integral part of our neighbourhood and I would hate to see this change. I do not support this proposal at all and I sincerely hope the council reconsiders for the sake of the wellbeing of our dogs and our community.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Please don’t make it harder for dog owners to exercise our beloved pets. We pay (quite hefty) registration fees for our dogs and deserve to reap some public benefits from this. Restricting access would also be yet another barrier to dog ownership, which should be encouraged given how overrun our animal shelters are. The vast majority of dog owners are respectful of the dog access rules at parks and beaches. The council should be directing their resources towards helping stray and violent dogs, rather than further restricting the access for responsible dog owners and their well trained and loved pets.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2424

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

It is not dogs ruining the sports surfaces. As ratepayers are contributing to ground ownership they are grounds for all including our animals. . Should the sports clubs lease the grounds at a fair rate and pay maintenance on the fields the conversation may be different.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2427

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The park was given to the people for everyone to enjoy, doing this is going to takeaway from the community. I think people shouldn't focus on the dogs at the park and instead on those parents who litter and leave their trash everywhere when watching the soccer games as that is of more concern and more harm to the community than allowing dogs a few moments of freedom when the fields are not in use. Also the fields are not in use for majority of the day, so saying no one is allowed to use the park during those hours especially those who just want to walk in a safe environment with their dogs is ridiculous. Honestly the fact this topic is even in discussion is insane.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

The current rules should stay the same and dogs should be allowed at local parks, as they are meant for everyone in the community to enjoy. The parks were given to the people for this reason and going against the wishes of those who donated it is quite upsetting and they would be disgraced.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2439

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dogs need to be able to run, they can only do this when they are let off the leash and there are very few places where this can happen safely (ie where you can see your dogs and be sure they are not on the road). The area set aside for dogs to be off leash at Madills is not very big for the number of users of the park and runs the risk of dogs running out onto the road, Additionally this area is close to the playground where there will be young children who are scared of dogs, it would seem having off-leash dogs closer to the playground to be counter intuitive to improving safety. Will you fence this area to make it safer for the dogs/children because I'm pretty sure dogs won't understand the area they are allowed to be in.

I understand some people do not clean up after their dog and this results in dog poo on the sports fields which is not idea, but as there are no other open space areas nearby for dogs to run this is what happens. Will you be providing some space within the Orakei Local Board area where dogs can have a decent run off-lead?It feels like by reducing the area in which dogs can run is the start of banning dogs from these parks entirely-this is certainly not community minded and I feel Council are responding to a loud minority as opposed to listening to people who own the dogs and are responsible users of these spaces.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

I do not have the time to review the rules at all local parks and reserves, suffice to say, there needs to be decent off-leash areas for dogs to run and play. Dogs become naughty when they can't get the exercise they need, not all of us are capable runners and able to run our dogs on a lead but most of us are responsible owners who do their best by the community and their pets. Do better and provide more options for dog owners who obey the rules rather than imposing more rules because of the complainers.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2444

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Dogs need space to exercise and socialise to maintain a better quality of life and stay healthy. Some dogs behave much better off-leash, but by enforcing stricter rules, the council is significantly reducing the areas where dogs can walk freely. People often cause much more damage to these areas than dogs do.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2453

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The dogs need somewhere to play and i only see responsible dog owners there- apart from the paid dog walkers who can't control all those dogs at once and pick up after them.

The soccer club is trying to own the fields, its not acceptable to give them so much control over the fields when they only use them a few hours at a time.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2457

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I think the current rules at Madills are a good compromise between the dog walkers and the sports field users. I am familiar with both as a dog owner and an Eastern Suburbs football mum for five years. The football club should not take priority over the community that use this park to exercise their dogs as this park was not created as a sports facility. I walk my dog there every day and see many others, including a lot elderly people, doing the same. We often can't go to the beach because of the weather or other council rules. The current rules already ensure that we are not disturbing the footballers. In addition, the area set aside for them under the proposed rules is way too large based on how often and how much they use the fields. Walking my dog at Madills on the large fields there is one of the reasons I bought my house in Kohi. It benefits my dog as well as my physical and mental health. The proposed rules are too restrictive and would take all the pleasure out of this activity that is so important to me. I strongly feel that the proposed changes should NOT be made.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2463

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Support changes. Important to continue to allow off leash park access at all times. Responsible behaviour important by dog owners but must allow off leash in parks.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Waiatarua Reserve

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

Allow access off the leash throughout the park outer walkway as currently. Do not restrict or limit this important reserve for dog walking.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

It is important to maintain areas for off leash walking of dogs in the central Auckland region. A majority of dog owners are responsible. Aid good behaviour by sufficient bins and offering recyclable bags. Continue to maintain areas and provide good cover for bird life with plantings.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2467

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This park is first and foremost a park, and should remain one for the entire community to enjoy. We play sport on those fields and we also recreationally walk our dogs there daily.

There is plenty of room for us all enjoy the space. Any damage to the grounds fields is made mostly by wet boots on the turf. It is impossible for dogs to be damaging the grass in the way I have seen this indicated.

This park is also very safe for children & familys and as a female I enjoy walking my dogs there safely. Walking a pet is a family job though, and our teenage daughter regularly takes them too.

In regards to mess, and rubbish on the Fields's a lot of debris is left behind after trainings and games, so this is a human issue not a dog issue.

This area, is a family area and a lot of families have dogs. The park should remain a park, with sports fields that use some of it.

As for keeping it tidy, it's for all of us to pick up after ourselves. The club room should not be dominating this space as their own, there is ample evidence that the rates they pay up upkeep the fields is dismal and most of their expenses going through the club rooms instead.

We currently respect the no dog policy during games and trainings and that should remain.

Madills was gifted to the community as an inclusive park, it should remain one.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2468

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

“ Dogs prohibited at all times on sports surfaces and must be under control on a leash in the vicinity when in use (Schedule 1(2)).”

This is a bit ridiculous. Madills Farm is one of the best local parks to have dogs off leash. Where else are we meant to take our dogs for proper exercise/runs? The park isn’t just for sports. I believe the current rules are fine and do not need to be changed. There will be a lot of backlash and upset locals if you go ahead with these restrictions.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2469

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Absolutely ridiculous. I have walked dogs here for 10+ years. There are very few cases of dogs damaging the playing fields, yet thousands of happy dogs and owners using the empty fields. It's also stupid to think that any new rules will be enforced, as none of the current ones are at any location in Auckland. Stop messing around wasting money on idiotic ideas to appease sports clubs. You get more money from dog owners than clubs! I will be arranging a dog protect outside Albert st.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Nobody at council enforces the current rules so why bother changing them?

Secondly, dogs and their owners pay rates to access local parks and beaches. The proposed changes are draconian and ban dogs from completely empty spaces.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2472

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Irresponsible dog owners, who cause most of the issues, will not follow these rules. Only responsible owners will, who will then loose freedom to walk their dogs while the bad owners will still cause problems

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2474

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I don't support the new rule banning dogs from being off-leash at Maddlis Farm Park as this new rule would be a big change to the park and would make it less enjoyable for many people. If dogs aren't allowed off-leash, lots of people will go to the nearby beaches, such as Kohimarama Beach, instead, which could get very crowded. Maddlis Farm was given to the council so that everyone could use it, not just for sports or specific activities. By making this rule, the council is taking away a fun part of the park that people love. I think the park should stay the way it is, with dogs allowed off-leash so that everyone can enjoy it. is

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2478

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

There are hundreds of people who use these parks every day to walk their dogs. Considering the numbers of people, I think the problems are very few. Removing access to sports fields at all times is a vast overreaction and does not allow the majority of people who live in this area to either enjoy their local area. It is ridiculous to send people in their cars to drive outside the area to walk their dogs freely, as many will do if this change happens. As a local resident I also see a minority of sportspeople causing problems - eg leaving behind rubbish after games etc - but as they are the minority I know it would be unfair to ban sports. Same logic for the dogs. Let them enjoy the open spaces when they are not being used for sports - you'll keep more people happy this way!

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2480

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2481

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The parks should be for use by ALL and everyone, including DOGS, whenever playgrounds are not in use. When dogs are free to play off leash, owners meet, make new friends and socialise, contributing to a great community feel.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Why are you doing these changes. I would like to know your real reasoning behind the proposed changes

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2488

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

It's already to minum the parks and areas that you currently can walk with your dog, and to keep a healthy and balanced dog at least the dog need to be walked once a day.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

It's already to minum the parks and areas that you currently can walk with your dog, and to keep a healthy and balanced dog at least the dog need to be walked once a day.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2495

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

80% of the day and nearly all of summer the parks have no sport playing on them.

Dogs have been walked in these parks for decades and are two of the few spots available.

The sports club (of which I'm a member) can still proceed as normal without these proposed changes. Both groups can benefit from the lovely parked areas. Madills Farm was gifted to Akl for the use of allowing the community to enjoy the open spaces - this includes the joy of walking your dog and enjoying the fresh air.

I think the changes are completely unnecessary as dogs have little impact on the sports fields. Whilst games are on the dogs must remain off but other times the area should be used for ALL. That's what it was gifted for.

Please keep Madills and Glover for dogs, people and sports groups where the current rules work. No CHANGE.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Keep Madills and Glover parks open for all dogs to use and do not implement the proposed changes

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2500

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2503

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Waitematā

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The sports surfaces are a large part of the park and it doesn't make sense to leash dogs on them if unused

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2505

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

These parks are used extensively by dog walkers. A majority of park users at any time (barring sports games) are people walking dogs. Restricting access as proposed would sterilize the park for a majority of users outside sports games.

Further, the change proposed is to to fix a problem which doesn't exist (with the exception of outlier instances). As a regular dog walker I have never seen the problems which this bylaw is trying to fix.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?Beaches**What local board area is this location in?**Maungakiekie-Tāmaki**In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?Tell us why**Change the current rule to a time and season rule / Change the current time and season rule (please specify)**Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?**Entire location**What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?Please explain your issue in more detail:**To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:Expand times that dogs are allowed off leash. Review/study busy periods for non dog walkers. Where beaches only have a handful of other users on them consider expanding access for off lead dog hours. For example, winter periods. Weekdays.**Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence**Expand times that dogs are allowed off leash. Review/study busy periods for non dog walkers. Where beaches only have a handful of other users on them consider expanding access for off lead dog hours. For example, winter periods. Weekdays.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2508

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills farm is a park that is currently used by sports users some of the time and dog owners at almost all times, and fulfills a great dog exercise and recreation role for many many people in the Eastern Bays. Most dogs are small and people have them well under control and/or on leash when there are any sports activities. Removing the access at all time to the areas where there is sometimes sports, massively reduces the function and accessibility of the park to local resident and will have a hugely negative impact on the local community. I am also not aware what the reason for the change is as my kids all take part in sports there and I have never seen any issues with dogs.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2527

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The existing policy is appropriate for the area. The sports area is a key meeting point for dog owners in the community and is a great way for people to exercise their dogs safely. Dog walkers are using the area at a different time from when the sports fields are in use and both groups of users are respectful of the other and share the space without issue. Prohibiting dog access to the sports fields when they are not in use would limit the space available for dog walkers, which could lead to increase risk of adverse events such as conflict between dogs or dogs running onto roads or getting too close to the playground area.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2539

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills Farm is a wonderful resource for the entire community including people, sports and dogs. It is a friendly community who are regular, well trained dog owners and who often meet to socialise their dogs on a daily basis. We love the community sport played at Madills Farm and don’t see how the two can’t be compatible. Restricting the whole park to a dogs under leash at all times policy will greatly impact the need to connect our dogs to nature and for them to exercise and socialise freely. The park is only used at certain times of the day for sport and is otherwise used very little. I urge you to not disrupt the love we have to enjoy the park with dogs as this will greatly impact our local community.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2541

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Maddills park is well used by dog owners and is not big enough to be able to take away the sports fields. The sports fields are also at the safest end to let dogs run free.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Responsible dog owners pay their dog registration to fund the collection of bad dog owners. We have very few places where we can exercise our dogs. The majority of down owners these changes affect are rate payers too. Every second house in St Heliers has at least one dog. We are dog lovers and want to be able to let our pet dogs run unrestricted off lead. The only 2 places in Orakei board we can go you want to reduce in size. I do not support this at all.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2544

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Whau

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

When fields are not in use then dogs should be allowed to use them as well. Without access to the open fields it's harder to throw balls etc.

Introduce fines if dogs dig holes in the fields but when not in use they should be allowed to be used

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Tahurangi/Crum park

What local board area is this location in?

Whau

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

A section of it. Please specify:Grass Sports fields

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To reduce owner-related problems (e.g. owner ignoring rules, dogs not under control, dog faeces not picked up, etc). Please specify:Many dog owners use the park in the morning to walk their dogs on the sports fields anyway. It would be good to have the rules changed so it was fair to everyone.

If the fields are booked for sport then it's in use and that's ok.

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

It’s a large grass area with the family playground next to it. It would be nice to be able to throw the ball for our dog while my kids play on the new playground.

The fields are not used a lot for sport in the summer as they’re only soccer fields. This

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Allow more access for dogs at parks and beaches

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2545

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills Farm was donated to CITIZEN OF AUCKLAND N O T Sports clubs.

We will not be excluded from this clean green park. It belongs to all of US.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

no. this is merely Auckland Council wasting ratepayers money. (again)

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2548

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This has been a safe place that I have taken my dog for 14 years, and have always been able to and feel safe going here . This was a gift to all the people to be used as a dog park. This is a social place to walk dogs. I totally disagree with this ban of off leash and not being able to take dogs there.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

I think all rules work at the moment. Most dog owners are responsible enough to look after there dogs and collect there poo and dispose of it.

Please do not take away dog parks , these are safe places for dogs and also there owners

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2550

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Devonport-Takapuna

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

N/A

What local board area is this location in?

I don't know

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

I don’t know

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

Other - please specify:N/a

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

N/a

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Yes if we are going to limit dog access we should also look at have cat restrictions to free roaming cats they are the ones who are going unchecked and killing our native birds

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2552

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2557

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills farm was originally intended for the benefit of all the community, this includes all the park. Why does a sports field have sole use of the majority of the area? I propose no change to the current law.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Adding further restrictions will do little to address the main problems in the dog community, such as roaming dogs and owners/walkers with no control over their dogs. Instead, resources should focus on enforcing existing bylaws and addressing these larger concerns. The current system works when applied effectively, and no changes are needed.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2569

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The rule changes to Madills are unfair. Madills is a place for everyone in the community to use. It is unfair and let the sport grounds dictate the use of these grounds. Dogs already have so many restrictions in Auckland and this would further limit the areas dogs can use off leash. We pay lots of money in dog registration each year and I feel that we do not get anything in return for this. I strongly oppose these changes.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Stop making dog ownership harder.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2574

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

It is a critical area for dog owners, and one of safer ones. Closing off one off lead area puts additional pressure / increased dog numbers in other areas. We want socialised dogs and having fewer areas will create issues such as dog owners letting dogs off lead in other unauthorised areas

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Big mistake reducing options for authorised off lead areas

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2581

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The community highly values the original criteria of usage for these sports parks namely that unrestricted public use of the entire parks is permitted. This includes of-leash dog walking on sports grounds when organise sport is not in progress. In Madills Farm, dog walkers outnumber users for organised sport.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?Kohimarama Beach**What local board area is this location in?**Ōrākei**In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?Tell us why**Change the current rule to a time and season rule / Change the current time and season rule (please specify)**Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?**Entire location**What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?Please explain your issue in more detail:**To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:Simplify off-leash times to the same all year. Remove seasonal variation to permissible times**Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence**The current seasonal time permission rules are too complex and nobody reads them

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2591

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?Mt cecilia**What local board area is this location in?**I don't know**In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?Tell us why**Change the current rule to allow dogs under control on a leash**Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?**Entire location**What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?Please explain your issue in more detail:**To respond to changes at location (e.g. a new playground, sports field, more people). Please specify:**Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence**

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2594

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Full support for the councils policy, we have to accommodate all users of green spaces. This includes dog owners and sports users. Dog owners can use any number of spaces in Auckland. Sports users can only use designated fields so are more limited. Dogs fouling sports fields is dangerous for young and old playing sport. While 90% of dog owners take ownership of their responsibilities, we are all well aware and have observed others who ignore their responsibility. We cannot have dog excrement risking the health of young children. Beaches are the same issue. Sports facilities are a critical aspect of council provided facilities and cannot be compromised. Keep dogs off. Dog owners are generally good people, but some are very entitled and abusive when you remind them of their obligations and council rules. As a result the community are reluctant to challenge them when breaches occur. Sadly beach access is regularly abused by dog owners. Keep sports fields dog free, and have some beaches dog free

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
As per comments

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2595

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Both Madills Farm and Glover Park have regular issues with off leash dogs that are a health and safety hazard.

Dogs dig up the sand surfaces and create holes, in turn causing dangerous surfaces for playing football, cricket, and practising athletics.

It is not uncommon for off leash dogs to run through games and training sessions, charging at young children and even stealing cones and balls.

These sports all involve aspects of players being in contact with the ground, and it is a health and safety issue falling in dog poo and/or picking up items which have been urinated on by dogs.

Personally I herniated a disk in my lumbar spine at Madills farm due to a pot hole, and am about to have spinal fusion after a prolonged period of pain. A few years back I also had an incident where I was playing at Madills as a goal keeper (football) and a dog ran into the goal behind me and peed by the post. As a GK I then had to dive into this later in the half which was disgusting to say the least.

Dogs are great, but time and place is strongly required. Perhaps a fenced off-leash area at Madills would provide a way to increase the safety and enjoyment of all involved.

Changing the rules will not be enough; policing and enforcement must be included.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2603

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

Other (please explain below)

Tell us why

I do support buy only with investment in wider hard surface tracks around the park..the park is getting busier and busier and should provide for walkers , cyclists (particularly children learning) and in dedicated parts dogs being exercised and their owners to meet..not on playing fields.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2616

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This change is ridiculous to say the least. As a ratepayer I am entitled to use my local recreational park for my own enjoyment. It is a safe place to walk the dog. It is also flat. Many elderly or less able people are not able to walk their dogs on the surrounding area at glover as it is on a slope. The sports club should not have sole access to our local park. What right do they have to this?? What do they pay for it?? The children playing sports do damage to the field not the dogs! That is a natural consequence of playing g sports on grass! Perhaps the sports co vs should pay for repair. How much rent are they paying for sole use of the area! I have never seen a dog dig up the field. I have seen naughty children doing this! You are punishing rate paying dog owners for invalid reasons. We have as much right to use this park as the sports players. It is not used at all during the week!! So to have to avoid the area with my dog so that she does not walk on the grass which causes absolutely no damage is beyond ridiculous! It is a draconian response. This should be out to the community to vote on. It is a community space and the whole community can decide how it is used. But no group should be excluded

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2617

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2618

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This would be too restrictive on dog access to outdoor spaces particularly over summer. This does not align to the intended use of the parks.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Kohi beach should have one half of the beach available for dogs off leash before 10am and allowed back on after 7pm during the summer.

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to a time and season rule / Change the current time and season rule (please specify)

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

A section of it. Please specify:Yacht club end

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:To slightly reduce restrictions for dog access over summer season. Proposed at a time that less families would be present.

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

To allow city dogs more access to off leash exercise spaces.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

No

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2627

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills is a limited space area where many people are using it for many different things. Some owners do not have their dogs under control or on leash at the playground, sports fields or in the car park. These areas should be limited for dogs. There is still some accommodation for off leash in the park which is good. This change seems to be sensible.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2639

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2642

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills farm is a safe haven for the local dogs to run around and socialise. As a home owner and dog owner of multiple dogs, living in Kohimarama, Madills Farm being off leash in the marked sports area, was the primary reason we chose to live there. Changing this would dishearten us living in Kohimarama.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2643

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills Farm is a safe space for owners and dogs to socialise at any time of the day with good paths, good lighting, and a good surface - at any time of the day. I've got to know other people using the space and enjoy seeing people there. Living on my own, it was a life saver for me during CoVid restrictions and is a favourite place for me to walk and to exercise my brother's dog when I am babysitting her. I enjoy seeing dogs playing and socialising, getting the exercise they need in a place that is safe from traffic. The sports groups only use the space during cricket and soccer seasons and for some practice after school. But so much of the time the park is not being used by sports people - instead being used for exercise and dogs - walking and playing. It is a communal space for all. To restrict the area so that dogs can be (off leash and/or on leash) seems unnecessary and very restrictive. In fact the proposed place dogs can be is small and the more dangerous area of the park - being near to the road. The dog owners using the space are generally all very responsible to oversee their dogs and clean up after them. I would like to see the park available for all - kids, dogs, walkers, cyclists, runners and sports teams. We share the space well and are respectful of each other - including the sports teams.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2656

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

There is no reason for this policy. The parks are paid for by the ratepayers and therefore should be able to be used be everybody. There are no reasonable reasons to not allow dogs on playing fields when they are not in use.

I take my dog to glover and madills everyday and have never seen a dog dig holes, or poop being left behind. There is always ample amounts of rubbish left behind from kids sports games - food litter, drink bottles and sports equipment.

It's not the dogs that wreck the grounds, it is the players who cut up the grass from soccer from sliding, tackling and kicking which is even worse in the winter.

Elderly people find these flat grounds a safe and easy place to let their dogs run around and it is a place for the community to meet and bond.

It seems that the football clubs are pushing for this without having respect for all areas of the community. They do no own the field, the ratepayers do and it should be used by all at appropriate times. Off leash areas encourage better socialised dogs and a good meeting place for people who may feel lonely or want to chat to someone. The council is stripping this from the public and it is not fair. The park should be available to all, at appropriate times, there is no need to restrict others for no justifiable reason.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

The park is owned by ratepayers and therefore should be used by all people including dogs. I have never witnessed any issues with any dogs in the years I have been there and the damage to the fields is being made by the soccer players not the dogs. There are no other safe and enjoyable places to take dogs who need outdoor off leash exercise so these parks are needed for them.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2660

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

As a dog owner it isn't the rules that need to change, it's education of dog owners and ban of certain breeds of dog like they have in other countries. Irresponsible dog owners still won't follow the rules as they don't follow the rules now. Making them stricter only impacts the responsible dog owners by giving less space for dogs to be exercised.

The proposals are not practical- it can be hard to see sport field boundaries when they are not in use as the markings are often only painted during the sport season. This making it impossible to keep to the new proposed rules unless you put a fence around the area.

Also, we have an increasing issue with roaming dogs. These changes won't stop these dog roaming sports fields.

Based on these limitations I don't believe the proposed changes will have any positive impact instead will have a negative impact on existing dog owners and their dogs.

We are not a dog friendly country compared to others like the UK and France. We should be taking their lead not tightening the rules further.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

St Heliers Beach

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to a time and season rule / Change the current time and season rule (please specify)

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

A section of it. Please specify:Allow dogs off leash all year on the far end of St Heliers Beach. Few people stay on the beach there as the sand isn't very nice. Therefore why not allow dogs off leash.

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To reduce owner-related problems (e.g. owner ignoring rules, dogs not under control, dog faeces not picked up, etc). Please specify:

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

Rather than a blanket ban on all beaches why can't certain areas, where it is easy to identify be off leash all year to give dog owners a place to go in the summer months.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

We should be addressing dog owner responsibilities through education and tackling the large number of roaming dogs. This will have a greater, more positive impact on everyone. Tightening rules will cause frustration by good dog owners and no change to irresponsible dog owners who won't care.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2663

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills farm is covered in dog faeces and only a minority of owners clean up behind them. There are so many unleashed and uncontrolled dogs that the area is effectively off limits for any people who're in any way afraid or not confident around animals. A significant minority of dog owners are aggressive and abusive toward anyone who asks them to control their animals. It's just too much and I fully support more reasonable limits on this group which currently overwhelms this public space.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?St Heliers beach**What local board area is this location in?**Ōrākei**In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?Tell us why**Change the current rule to a time and season rule / Change the current time and season rule (please specify)**Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?**Entire location**What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?Please explain your issue in more detail:**To reduce owner-related problems (e.g. owner ignoring rules, dogs not under control, dog faeces not picked up, etc). Please specify:**Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence**

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2665

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dogs already have limited places to go. Why can’t they use these spaces when they aren’t in use for sports. I frequent these places all the time and have never seen any issues. You should focus on the out of control stray dogs in Auckland not penalise the responsible dog owners.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2671

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Albert-Eden

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This is one of the few places where you can have off the dogs. Most of a dog owners around this area are very responsible and I've never had any issues there. Taken away more room for dogs is just cruel to the dogs. They should be more punishment for irresponsible owners.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

There should be more places dogs can have off leash access. Most places where dogs have off leash access are tiny and ungated making those areas dangerous. There are plenty of parks and beaches around Auckland with a lot of space but there seems to be growing concern from the Auckland Council to make spaces for dogs smaller when there is barely room for them to roam as it is. It's cruel and maybe you should be focusing more on irresponsible dog owners and not punishing the dogs.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2673

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2674

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Outside Auckland

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Why should people on sports fields have greater rights than people exercising with their dogs, when maintenance is funded through rates. No group should have greater rights to public areas.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?St Heliers**What local board area is this location in?**Ōrākei**In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?Tell us why**Change the current rule to a time and season rule / Change the current time and season rule (please specify)**Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?**Entire location**What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?Please explain your issue in more detail:**To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:Allow dogs on the beach, away from main beach users, at all times as low tide provides more space for dog walking - so being restricted over summer has an impact**Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence**

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2677

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Parks should be for all of the community to use, including dogs. There is a huge network of dog walkers around Madills Farm (and Glover Park) who rely on the space at Madills t9nektbtheir dog off the lead to exercise. I have a large dog that needs off leash exercise and Madills provides a safe space to do that

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Don't change anything, there are plenty of places and times that dogs aren't allowed, so don't take away the few that are left

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2678

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

There is no good reason for the change as far as I understand.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2683

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

[redacted] I have had to stop the game multiple times as dogs run through the game. I also need to do a walk of the whole pitch to remove poo before the game. Equally when we have training dogs have stolen balls and run through training. With young kids this can be traumatic and prevent them from enjoying sports which is vital for a strong up ring and health

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Beaches off leash should be banned after 7am. I often go kayak fishing and when we return dogs are running at the kayak and jumping all over it to smell the fish. My son quiete often doesn't want to go as he's worried about dogs.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2688

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

We enjoy regularly walking our two small dogs off leash at Glover Park, the nearest large open space for them to safely and cleanly run around. Like most people, we are respectful of sports activity and keep our dogs on leads when sports activity is in progress. Glover Park, and Madills for that matter, are sufficiently large areas as to be enjoyed by all park-goers.

As for the comment about dogs damaging sports surfaces, I find it hard to believe that a dog running around off leash would do anywhere near the damage a sportsperson wearing boots with sprigs would do.

I see no need to prohibit use by dogs and their responsible owners. I find this an unnecessary proposal; a move to further erode the ever-dwindling freedoms we've all enjoyed for decades.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2692

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Howick

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Penalises responsible owners. Too complex to follow.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Please see my previous responses. This approach is narrow minded and misguided. You should consider classifying owners as responsible and irresponsible and setting access rules accordingly. No limits should be applied in any locations for responsible dog owners - other than the requirement to use a leash where it would protect wildlife. Dogs and humans can and should be allowed to share all outdoor spaces. Council must put sufficient rubbish bins in all areas dogs can exercise.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2693

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

We have used this area for 35 years with our dogs and now in our retirement it is a daily social engagement for us with our current dog. With our lesser mobility this area is a perfect ongoing important part of our daily exercise with our dog.

We made a submission regarding Madills Farm and our objection to any dog rule change.

Please note that although we walk daily in the park for social connection with our dog. Our dog is registered with another person so our name is not registered for any dog.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2694

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Off-leash dog-walking by the local community is the dominant use of this park. Preventing this on sports grounds, denies this activity over 80% of the park. Dog walkers value the area and are very good at disposing of their dog's poos responsibly.

The camaraderie between all community users, dog-walkers or not, is important for our mental health and wellbeing. This rule change will disrupt that.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2698

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Waitematā

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2702

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Howick

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2703

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The proposed change will make almost all of Madills Farm off limits to off leash exercise for dogs. I respect the fact that the council is keen to ensure the grounds are maintained and that they aren't damaged but I don't feel that taking all 5 of the football fields out of action is a good step forward. Glover Park is also basically off limits for off leash exercise through the winter. It is a very big step that will impact the dog walking community.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Re Madills Farm and Glover Park, perhaps there is a hybrid option where 2 of the more heavily used fields are taken out of action? Is there a summer vs winter option that might enable a bit of a compromise. On Madills Farm, can you remove the 5th field closest to the playground from the restrictions so there is somewhere for the dogs to run that enables them to stretch their legs.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2707

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Howick

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2708

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

We are in our retirement and it is a daily social engagement for us with our current dog. With our lesser mobility, this area (I am 83) is an important part of my daily exercise with our dog who needs to have a run. We need this space to use a ball thrower and for all the dogs to run together, which happens when we time our visit when there is a larger group. I look forward to this social connection with our neighbours. We are all passionate about our Madills area, we don’t visit with our dogs during sport and for more than 2 months of the year the park is not used for sport so we pray for rules to remain as they are.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2712

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

My comments relate to Madills Farm where I walk every day. The proposed change is excessive and prohibits dogs from using the majority of the park at all times. This change is in direct opposition to the land originally being gifted to the community for general use. This situation means that Madills farm is not intended for private use of the sports club, which pays a small annual fee for using the area. We the people (including dog walkers) are paying the rates, which cost thousands a year just for this one park, to maintain the sports grounds for the sports club, and it is ridiculous to propose banning a large part of the park's users from using the area. A huge number of people walk their dogs in Madills Farm. The proposed ban would prohibit dogs being able to safely run around for many dog walkers in nearby neighborhoods who use Madills Farm to exercise their dog off leash. There are few off leash options for dogs in the surrounding area. Therefore, banning dogs from most of Madills Farm would have large consequences for multiple dog owners in neighborhoods in the area.

I wish to add to my response to why I do not support banning dogs from the sports field at Madills Farm Recreation Reserve. Specifically, I wish to address the reason by council for proposing this ban (to better protect the health and safety of sports users and dogs and sports surfaces from damage). The local board has suggested that dogs are damaging the fields, but this claim must be balanced against the reality of the wear and tear caused by other activities, particularly sports like football. Football games, along with other field sports, create considerable damage to sports fields over time. Data from various sports management organizations, including Auckland’s own parks and recreation departments, has shown that the intensity of use from organised sports leagues often leads to field degradation. The combination of cleats, sharp turns, sliding tackles, and heavy foot traffic during games causes considerable compaction and wear, which can leave fields with bare patches, uneven surfaces, and soil erosion. In contrast, dogs using the fields for walking or playing typically cause less compaction and no lasting damage compared with the type of intense wear created by team sports. There is a lack of evidence that dogs are damaging the fields (I have seen no photos of dogs causing damage to the fields but you see damage to fields after just one football session). There is also a lack of evidence that dogs are impacting the health and safety of sports users. If the council is proposing this ban on dogs, there needs to be hard evidence to justify a total ban.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2713

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

We should be allowed to have access to these places as well

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

We should be allowed to have access to these places as well

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2717

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

I have had to pick up dog poo off sports

Fields. Chase random dogs that run onto the field i i interrupting play and protect my children from zealous puppies off leash.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?St heliers beach**What local board area is this location in?**Ōrākei**In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?Tell us why**Change the current rule to a time and season rule / Change the current time and season rule (please specify)**Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?**Entire location**What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?Please explain your issue in more detail:**To reduce owner-related problems (e.g. owner ignoring rules, dogs not under control, dog faeces not picked up, etc). Please specify:**Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence**Dogs should not be allowed on the beach 10-4 in any season. I have had my towel peed on by a local dog whose owner thinks they are above the rules. Many locals think the rules don't apply to them.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2726

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

The sports fields at Maddils Farm and Glover Park are continuously covered in holes made from dogs digging up the ground. While I support the freedom and playful nature of dogs, it seriously damages the sports fields and creates an unsafe environment for children playing and high level sports teams training on the fields. The proposed changes will

hopefully eliminate the amount if holes in the sports fields and create a safer environment for athletes and children to play on.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2734

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

My comments relate to Madills Farm where I walk every day. The proposed change is excessive and prohibits dogs from using the majority of the park at all times. This change is in direct opposition to the land originally being gifted to the community for general use. This situation means that Madills farm is not intended for private use of the sports club, which pays a small annual fee for using the area. We the people (including dog walkers) are paying the rates, which cost thousands a year just for this one park, to maintain the sports grounds for the sports club, and it is ridiculous to propose banning a large part of the park's users from using the area. A huge number of people walk their dogs in Madills Farm. The proposed ban would prohibit dogs being able to safely run around for many dog walkers in nearby neighborhoods who use Madills Farm to exercise their dog on leash. There are few on leash options for dogs in the surrounding area. Therefore, banning dogs from most of Madills Farm would have large consequences for multiple dog owners in neighborhoods in the area.

I find it laughable that animals do more harm to the grounds then hundreds of children and adults playing sports running around.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2738

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Both Madills Farm and Glover Park are currently open for dogs off leash. This is well respected by dog owners and does not need to be changed. To say dogs must be kept off all sports surfaces is disingenuous. It is not possible to say what is and what isn't a sports surface when the fields are not in use and there is no discernible markings to distinguish these 'sports surfaces'. I have been a dog owner in this area for 30 years and all four of my children have played football at both Madills Farm and Glover Park with our dog safely on the sidelines. Whatever has prompted this proposed change is an excessive overreaction to what I can only assume are one or two complaints from a very minor section of the public who believe their precious offspring should be contained in bubble wrap at all times.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2743

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2744

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

We have lived in this area for 20+ years, raised three kids, gone to local schools and have used Madills extensively. The number of times that dogs off the leash have peed on drink bottles, kids prams run on to fields when little kids are playing touch is staggering. When you ask owners to control their dogs they behave as if it's your fault that you are using the sports fields rather than the other way round. The amount of dog ********** that gets left behind is another real issue.

Two of my kids continue to play competitive football at Eastern Suburbs so we get to see the condition of a number of other football grounds both in Auckland and other cities. There is no doubt in my mind that the fields at Madills are in the worst condition of any other football club we play at. The number of holes dug by dogs is staggering and the owners simply appear not to care.

I 100% endorse the change that there be no dogs, leash or not, on the sports field areas. There is still plenty of park for dogs at the far end of the park.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

I think it is good to have allocated areas where dogs can be off leash. Kohi beach is a good example.

It's sports fields that I personally see as a real issue.

Not sure how you change the attitude of the owners but that whole sense of entitlement is the other issue you encounter when you ask owners to be respectful and responsible.

I've even seen a goal disallowed in a National League game (this is the highest level of domestic football in NZ) because two owners had their dogs off-leash beside the field and let them play. Their response was "they're only having fun"!!!

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2745

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dog owners who use Madills Farm are very responsible. They control their dogs if it is required to prevent them from interrupting sports events. The park is a unique space in this area where dogs and humans can socialize for the betterment of all. My experience after living close by for many years is that this park does not need more controls.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
In areas where dog owners are responsible the rules should be liberal for the benefit of all.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2748

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This is a community space we enjoy using daily with our family, friends and dogs, it should not be considered a single sport facility and there should be accessibility for all. The flat grounds of the park are ideal for older adults and those with mobility challenges, enabling dog lovers of all ages to meet, socialise, and exercise their pets.

Madills Park provides safety and peace of mind to all because it is naturally shielded from the road and the off-leash area offers a secure space for dogs to play and socialise without risk of traffic accidents. The on-field lighting also makes this a much safer space for women and older folk in the darker winter months.

This designated off-leash area helps ease demand on other public spaces, like nearby Kohimarama Beach.

Anyone who has visited Madills on a weekday afternoon can see how vibrant and essential this space is as a community hub, with dogs at its heart. Restricting dog access to a small portion of the park compromises safety by forcing dogs and their owners closer to busy roads and undermines Madills Farm’s role as a shared community space, reducing it to little more than a single-purpose sports facility.

Thank you and hopefully common sense prevails.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2752

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I believe the tax payer pays more than the sports business for this field.

The soccer establishmemt does not clear rubbish after use as I previously stated. A dog died eating rubbish adjacent to the soccer building this year already. The road is very close to the path and not a dog proof fence. The footpath is narrow for all the proposed dog walkers ,bikers runners. The holes suggested by the sports business to be made by dogs are in aline!There are holes filled with sand only and I don't see any signs stating the dog owners responseablity . Eg there is a man who walks ahead of his Labrador with no leash instight ignoring his toileting ,then walks around the neighborhood. The few let down the many! Promote good owner responseablity,signs, even a human to converse and correct negligence. To my view the field is being spent on alot by the Auckland council for the sports upkeep and little for it's ratepayers whom you are obligated too.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Kohimara beach

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to a time and season rule / Change the current time and season rule (please specify)

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:Auckland population has rapidly increased, this has not been inalinement .with dogs need to exercise and the amount of dogs has increased. There are more beaches to be at if we share resources.

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

Longer hours for.dogs

As well as there are dangerous hazzards at the beach such as sea slugs that has killed dogs This means they are not using Kohi while or less so while these months of infestion is happening.

The foreshore is everyone's to enjoy .

Location 2

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Mt Hobson

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:Mt Hobson ,off leash.

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

It is safe for dogs being away from the road. The area has no bird restrictions. The newly planted plants are baffling to me. If the dogs are not allowed near them why was this renewed anyeay. The plants there were promoting insects and pollination

Location 3

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Waitataruia reserve

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control on a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

A section of it. Please specify:

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

A section of it. Please specify:Make the bird excess restricted

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:Fence the reserve so dogs cannot go into the wild life environment. Redo the dog swim pond toake it safer. The rocks are covered in alge and sharp. My dog has slipped and sprained himself before. Make aware neighboring homes are to abide by new rules suc

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Educate the people!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! First and foremost.







Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2754

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

We use Madills farm to walk out dogs and it’s a really dog friendly place with a good community. Changing this would affect the community negativly.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2757

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This park is equally if not more used by dog owners to sports players. I walk there daily and have NEVER seen poop or holes dug by dogs. It is obviously fair for dogs to not be allowed in sports fields when sports are happening, but otherwise, this will affect a huge amount of people who’s primary source of exercise for their dogs is this off leash park

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2761

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Waitematā

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

No

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2762

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

We do not need more restrictions on dog access rules we need to solve the root cause of people not taking care of their dogs and acting responsibly.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2766

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills is a public space used by many people of all ages. People meet while the dogs play off lead at all times of the day. The sport ground is only used for a small amount of time and

the land should be able to be accessed when not in use. We should all be able to work together to make the most of this important community space. It is.a very important facility for many people not just the sporting community. When I moved to Auckland and new noone in covid, the Madills dog community were very kind to my son and myself, including us in their group. It would have been very lonely without this contact and we have made amazing friends through meeting at the park. They shared their dogs with us until we got our own. We have moved to Remuera but still go back to Madills for this important social connection and the joy of having our dog of lead. I am sure there are many people from all walks of life who also value the social connections and have their own stories to tell.. It is more than a park it is a vital community outdoor space. It should be utilised by the sports community and the dog community. Madills is our happy place.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

The dog community cares about dogs and parks. Most of us are very responsible and pick up after our dogs. There will always be a few who don't, but they should not ruin it for the majority.

Maybe you need a refresher education campaign reminding people to pick up the dog poo not a blanket ban on the land. Leave Madils as it is, it is a valued community asset and the sports people do not have the right to take this from the greater community.Lets work together to all enjoy it the way it is.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2767

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Very limited alternative off leash walking places. Important socially to the local people who use the park. What harm is it doing?

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Waikaraka cemetery

What local board area is this location in?

Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control on a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

A section of it. Please specify:Old disused area of the cemetery, the part nearest Waikaraka speedway

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:too many dogs at Onehunga lagoon, Monte Cecilia, big King - we need somewhere else to go, This area is flat and well suited to older walkers. The only other users of this area are a few homeless people

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

The rather derelict older part of the cemetery at Waikaraka park is not used by anyone really, it has a problem with rubbish being dumped and homeless people living there. (mostly but not always in their cars), It is flat and the grass is cut, it is a go

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

I think there is inadequate off leash walking available. Dogs need off leash walking to be able to sniff, explore and walk freely. I think the dog park concept is terrible, people standing around while their dogs play and get hyped up is not good calming exercise. I have never heard of trouble at Waikowhai, where people walk (and don't stand around). The TMA's management of the mountains with long grass and generally decreasing access, is further reducing places to walk dogs. The council needs to provide more off leash places. The Watercare park in Creamery Rd Mangere could be taken over and maintained properly, the cemeteries could become dog walking places (probably on leash).

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2769

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

We have been walking our dogs for years in this area and admit there has been some owners that haven't done the right thing but believe me we all the regular's, police them even handing out bags to them and pick up others mess so please don't penalize the good because of a few rat bags . Our dog are our friends and companions that deserve to have a lovely walk before dark, they we need the exercise.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2773

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Outside Auckland

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

i strongly object to the prohibition of dogs on sports grounds and the requirement they be on a leash elesewjwre. I request that the Council make no changes to the acess and use policy at this park. Sport gets by far too much focus and the dog walking community needs safe fun interactive places for their pets and for the social interactions of people and dogs to flourish, as it does at Madills farm. No change to policy please. I am not a dog owner but have enjoyed this place with free off leash dogs. Keep it that way.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Get cars off beaches .before dogs

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2776

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Accessibility for all: The flat grounds are ideal for older adults and those with mobility challenges, enabling dog lovers of all ages to meet, socialize, and exercise their pets.

Safety and peace of mind: Naturally shielded from the road by a small hill, the off-leash area offers a secure space for dogs, especially puppies, to play and socialize without risk of traffic accidents. The on-field lighting also makes this a much safer space for women in the darker winter months.

Reduced pressure on other spaces: This designated off-leash area helps ease demand on other public spaces, like nearby Kohimarama Beach.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

The current rules and access works and there is no need to change them and make it more difficult for dog owners to find safe spaces to meet and exercise their dogs.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2786

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This has been a space for dogs to play off lead my whole life. I fail to see why it needs to change. It is a public sheared space and to prohibit a group of people with no clear reason or justification is unjust. This space is not a wildlife reserve, so our native flora and fauna are not at risk. If the only reason the rules are being changed is cause a private company (soccer club) want more control, than they should buy it out and pay for its up keep. Rather than my rates paying to keep their fields green. If I pay for it then I should be allowed to use it had I have been my whole life.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

I understand changing rules for the safety of our wild life but changing them so that private companies can have ‘better’ access completely defeats the purpose of a public park

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2792

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I feel that when sport is not being played on fields dogs should be free to walk and play there providing owners are responsible and pick up their dog's pooh.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
No

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2800

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills is a VERY popular dog walking area for local residents like myself. It provides a safe, flat, wide open space to exercise our dog (and myself). I've been a local resident for 10 years and my children have grown up here using the Madills sports fields for St Thomas's school sports and Eastern Suburbs Football Club. I see no reason why the sports fields can't be shared. Prohibiting dogs from the sports areas at all times means the fields will not be used the majority of the time which is a waste of such a great green space. The current co-share rules are working well and I see no need to change them.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2804

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The area proposed to be able to be used off leash is very small if they are not allowed on the sports surfaces at Madills at all. The proposed off leash area at Madills is by the dangerous road and there are very few local off leash areas that are away from roads. Also the fields are the main flat areas for people to walk their dogs safely for the elderly and also for dogs to chase balls away from the road. At glover, restricting the dogs from the fields means the mainly have to run on the hills which is not suitable for elderly or people with young children - keeping the dogs to just the path also is not suitable as they will need to chase their balls up the hills.

The rights of dog owners must be considered aswell as organised sports people. For many dog owners, dog walking is their sport. It's not just about the dogs - it's about the people walking the dogs - for many people nowadays, taking a dog for a walk and allowing it to run off leash safely is an important part of their well-being and mental health. It gets them out of their house twice a day. It gives them exercise and a chance to socialise. I think this should be given just as high regard as those wishing to participate in organised sport. The park needs to be available for so many people living in walking distance to it to use it fully when sports activities are not using it. It is a valuable resource that shouldn't be left empty of the day just to save it for the sports which are only on some of the day. There might be the odd dog owner who doesn't remove the poo but this is a minority (bring bag the plastic bags for those who occasionally find they have run out of bags). There is a great deal of rubbish left around the fields by sports users and they have not been banned from using the area.

From a personal perspective, my son has autism and cannot attend school. He loves to go to the fields during the day and interact with the adults there who are chatting and throwing balls to their dogs in the field area - this is great for his mental health and encourages him to leave the house. If dogs are no longer allowed on the fields, the area left to use is so small that there is not enough area for all the dogs and owners to be able to run around and chase balls an much of the area is close to the road which is not safe.

Please reconsider your decision and allow off leash dogs on the fields when they are not in use so everyone in the community may enjoy this community park

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2812

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The sports fields are used for a limited amount of time. I have seen how these changes in rules have destroyed the local dog community socially in other areas like Shore Rd. Previously it had like Madills farm a fabulous community but now that has all gone.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2814

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Howick

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2818

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2825

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills farm is a recreational park intended for all in the neighbouring community including dogs. The area is not solely a sports field, and as the football club do not own this land, and this land is for all, it should therefore be a shared space. Why are they the priority and dictating the rules?

Most millennial families, including my own, are not having children and our dogs are our children and they require the same rights to a space to exercise. As housing in this area does not allow much land, there is little opportunity for them to exercise to this scale in the home or garden.

While on the map the proposed new off-leash area looks large, this space is in fact a slopped bank with trees allowing very little space for dogs to exercise. It is not an appropriate piece of land where I, the dog owner, can run and exercise with my dog due to the uneven level.

There are no other parks in the area for dogs to exercise, yet there are several schools plus the large Barfoot stadium where sports could be conducted. In summer dogs are again limited to leash only on the beaches, yet sports such as volleyball can take place here.

Dog owners already pay sufficient fees towards dog rates, as owners in the neighbourhood we also pay hefty rates. This recreational area should be for all, not the entitled sports participants only.

Dogs do not cause any damage to the sports field, the sports themselves cause holes in the grass and worn lawn through football, touch rugby and cricket so this reasoning offers a very poor defence.

This recreational space is for all in the community and as a rate payer in this community our voices should be heard over those at the football club who are gifted the opportunity to rent this space which is rightfully ours.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Please take into consideration the place our dogs have in our families. Other animals such as cats are not being restrained to this degree and it’s unfair.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2829

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Both Madills Farm and Crossfields Reserve are such wonderful community assets. It would be a terrible shame to reduce use of these assets by further restricting dog off leash activity when the sports fields are not in use. (which is the vast majority of the time). This would be a very big backwards step for the community if these changes were made.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2831

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

It makes no sense that dogs are not allowed on the sports fields when they are not in use. Madills in particular are so heavily used by dog owners, if you walk past there are always dogs running around. It creates great sociaisation. You are then expecting dog owers to drive in a car with their dogs to off leash areas which are much further away resulting in more carbon emissions or they won't and you start to limit the wellbeing of dogs which is the whole intent of the bylaw. It should be left that same.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Kohimarama Beach

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to a time and season rule / Change the current time and season rule (please specify)

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

A section of it. Please specify:One end

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:Much like WAiheke, can part

of Kohimarama beach or a whole beach ie Kohimarama or St Heliers be avalaible for dog access all year around.

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

Being consitent with Waiheke, it would be amazing if one of the eastern beaches could be avalible for dog walking all year around - either Okahu, mission bay, kohimarama or st heliers

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Please take this feedback onboard, there are many unhappy dog owners.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2834

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The proposed changes to the park's dog policy would negatively impact the dog-owning community, making it harder for responsible pet owners to enjoy public spaces with their dogs. For many of us, parks are not just for exercise but are essential to our daily routines, offering a safe space for our pets to socialize, explore, and get the physical and mental stimulation they need.

The complete ban on dogs in sports fields eliminates an important area where dogs can run off-leash when the fields are not in use. These open spaces are especially valuable when other areas are crowded or not suitable for off-leash activities. With fewer available spaces, we may be forced to walk our dogs on streets or in smaller, less safe areas.

For someone like me, a single woman, safety is a huge concern. I rely on having the option to let my dog off-leash in more open, less crowded areas where I feel secure. With fewer spaces available for off-leash activity, I worry about being forced into more isolated or unfamiliar areas, especially at night or during off-peak hours. My dog provides me with both companionship and a sense of safety when I’m out alone, and these new restrictions would take away that comfort.

With fewer off-leash spaces, dog owners will be concentrated in the remaining designated zones, leading to overcrowding and frustration. This could also make it harder for our dogs to socialize or exercise properly, as well as impact others who prefer quiet, dog-free areas.

For many of us, the park is a social hub, not just for our dogs but for ourselves too. The proposed changes could create a sense of exclusion, limiting our ability to enjoy the park with our pets as we once did.

The current rules, which allowed for controlled off-leash activity in specific areas and times, struck a good balance between safety and providing dog owners the space we need to enjoy the outdoors with our pets.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2835

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

They were parks before sports grounds. Lots of people take their dogs for a walk off leash whilst kids are training and I have never seen an issue. Dog owners do and should be more responsible for their pets with picking up after them. Maybe more signs saying and encouraging this behaviour. I also see lots of social groups meeting up together with their pets at these parks.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

I like and abide by the summer winter rules for beach access with dogs. I love walking my dog along the beach everyday. I frequently pick up rubbish whilst your council employees sit and enjoy the view. Please as a council do more about the disgusting rubbish and litter left behind everyday at Mission Bay. Never any dog poo. Ok maybe the odd incident but far more lazy people. We need litter fines. We need enforcement on alcohol drunk on the beach, glass bottles left behind. Focus on this as a council please.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2836

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Current rules are successfully working. I have been dog walking daily on and off leash here for 15+ years, have yet to observe anyone blatantly disregarding rules or causing concern.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Kohimarama Beach

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

A section of it. Please specify:far ends of either side of the beach

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:Summer hours are not always practical for busy households to do walk/lead free energy run within the current hours.

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

as above. Dogs, like humans, need unconstrained areas to burn off energy to meet their physical and mental needs. Dogs walked solely on leads do not obtain the same level of health as those that have access to free areas.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

no

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2837

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dogs rarely cause serious damage to sports grounds. Human activity and rabbits are a far bigger issue.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Council relies heavily on voluntary compliance due to a lack of enforcement powers and abilities. Having simplistic rules with clear reasoning behind them work best to ensure compliance with the majority of the population. There will always be people who disregard the rules and they won't care if the rules are changed.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2843

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2854

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

Other (please explain below)

Tell us why

I understand you are planning to stop dogs being walked on Madills Farm at all times. Madills Farm was left to all residents not just to a select group. It works well as it is now and doesn't need any changes. If there are any problems it is bike riders and scooters who speed up silently behind walkers giving non warning. If they had warning devices e.g. bells we would happily move onto the grass to get out other way.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2861

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills Farm is for “mixed use recreation” for Eastern Suburbs residents.

Madills Farm is an amazing park for dog owners and their dogs to meet and socialise - both owners and dogs. Many Eastern Suburb locals go to Madills twice a day, morning and night, to exercise their dogs and interact with others in the community. The middle of the park is the meeting place for many people as their dogs run and play together off-lead in a wide open safe space, away from roads.

[redacted]Madills is the place where we take our little bichon Momo. My husband works from home so goes down every day at ~4pm to meet up with other doggy daddies, and we go together at various times every Saturday and Sunday. It is our go-to park to walk Momo and let her run safely.

We avoid some of the other off-leash dog parks (such as Waitarua Park) because of the type of dogs that are off-lead there, and the known dog attacks from amongst our dog owner community. Kohi Beach can be a good place, however poisonous sea slugs are a threat (death), the summer dog hours make it less flexible, and specifically our Bichon gets itchy feet from the sand.

I do appreciate that there are some dog owners who bring us all into disrepute – those who sit in their cars, or who wander around with headphones or on facetime calls - while their dog roams free digging and defecating where it wants.

Please don’t take away our safe off-leash recreation area because of just a few people, and to give preference to organised sports clubs over and above other park users.

Alternative Suggestion:

- Add signs which clearly say there is a $xx fine for not picking up after your dog, or for letting them dig holes.
- Trial a hot line where responsible owners could report the Car Registrations or Dog Tags of the people who allow their dogs to do this (because in general they drive there for this).
- Do a few Akld Council patrols to send a message and/or engage Eastern Bays Community Patrol (free - volunteers) to check and report when they’re on patrol.

Having a dog provides huge mental health benefits, and for many (like us) they are true members of the family.

However we need to have a safe space for them to run and play and socialise. Just walking on a lead is not sufficient for their health and wellbeing.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
I think that the Summer times for dogs of before 10am and after 5pm is well-balanced.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2871

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Albert-Eden

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Maintain ability to be off Lead when fields are not being used by athletes.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
No

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2888

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

There is no clear justification for the changes based on specific data for the recreational area. Link a real demonstrated issue at the location with the policy proposal!

If the changes are approved it would mean less use of a recreational area. Huge extents of grassed areas not used between Mondays and Fridays during the day or in the evenings.

Proposed changes will require dog owners to get in vehicles to drive to other areas to walk their animals - this is not sustainable and carbon emissions will be created.

The current rules are easily understandable already and enable multiple users of the reserve area.

The proposals further penalise responsible dog owners while those irresponsible dog owners will still ignore the rules.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2896

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: I don't know

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

As a dog owner I strongly object to the rules proposed for Madills Farm and Glover Park .

I can see absolutely zero merit in this proposal, both parks are places I enjoy walking with my dog, and what's proposed is totally unfounded, unnecessary , and both parks are for all recreation not only sport, so leave them alone, for owners and dogs to enjoy .

All I can conclude is whoever came up with this proposal, never owned a dog,so has no idea that dogs need to be exercised in safe places and both these parks are a pleasant and safe place to take dogs and all dog owners will be incensed if this goes through as a new rule .

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2905

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed restrictions on dogs being allowed off-leash at Madills Farm. As a 19-year-old university student, I regularly walk my dog at this park. It's a vital part of my routine that provides both exercise and fresh air, as well as an opportunity for my dog to burn off energy. My dog is large and simply cannot get the exercise he needs from an on-leash walk alone.

The proposed off-leash area is not practical or safe. It is located near a busy road, which is particularly concerning for large, energetic dogs and for owners trying to ensure their safety. Furthermore, there are very few local off-leash areas that are away from roads, making Madills Farm an essential space for the community.

The sports fields at Madills Farm are one of the only flat and open areas available for dogs to safely chase balls and run freely. This space is not only used by dog owners like me, but also by elderly residents and families with young children, who benefit from the safe, accessible terrain. The alternative—restricting dogs to paths or hills—is not practical for many members of the community, especially those with mobility issues or small children.

While I understand the need to maintain the fields for organized sports, it is important to also consider the rights of dog owners. For many people, walking their dog is their primary form of exercise and a crucial part of their mental health and well-being. Allowing dogs off-leash safely is not just about the dogs—it's about the physical and mental health of the owners too.

The fields are a shared community resource that should remain available to everyone, particularly during times when sports activities are not scheduled. Currently, the fields are often empty for large portions of the day. It seems wasteful to restrict access for the community in favor of organized sports that take place only at certain times.

I understand that some dog owners do not pick up after their pets, but this is a minority. The majority of owners are responsible and carry bags to clean up after their dogs. Perhaps reinstating the plastic bag dispensers would help ensure that everyone is prepared to clean up when necessary. It is worth noting that sports users also leave litter on the fields, yet they have not faced similar restrictions.

I urge the council to reconsider this decision. Allowing off-leash dogs on the fields when they are not in use for sports ensures that Madills Farm remains a space for everyone in the community to enjoy fully. This park is an invaluable resource, and it would be a disservice to limit its use for so many residents.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2906

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

I think dogs should be well under control when at sports grounds and around playgrounds.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2912

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The proposed off-leash area at Madills Farm is significantly limited, particularly if dogs are entirely restricted from the sports fields. The designated off-leash area is situated near a hazardous road, and there are very few local off-leash spaces that are both safe and away from traffic. The sports fields provide one of the few flat, open spaces where elderly residents can safely walk their dogs and where dogs can chase balls without proximity to roads. At Glover Park, restricting dogs to the hills is impractical and unsafe for older individuals and families with young children due to the steep terrain. Furthermore, limiting dogs to paths or uneven hillsides does not accommodate their need for open space to run and play.

The needs and rights of dog owners should be afforded equal consideration to those of organised sports users. For many individuals, walking their dogs is not only an essential form of recreation but also a critical component of their physical and mental well-being. Dog walking provides exercise, fosters social interaction, and promotes community connection. These benefits are of equal importance to those provided by organised sports and should be treated with the same level of regard.

The park is a shared community resource and should remain accessible to all, especially during times when sports activities are not taking place. Leaving the fields unused for significant portions of the day solely to preserve them for sports limits the potential for the community to fully utilise the space. While it is acknowledged that there are occasional instances of dog waste not being removed, this issue involves a minority of dog owners and could be mitigated by reinstating plastic bag dispensers. Notably, litter left by sports users has not resulted in comparable restrictions, highlighting an inconsistency in the treatment of these concerns.

I respectfully request that this decision be reconsidered to permit off-leash dogs on the fields when they are not in use for sports. This approach would ensure that the park remains a valuable and inclusive space for all members of the community to enjoy.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2926

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I do not support the exclusion of dogs from sports fields when they are not in use. This "sports field area" of Madill's Farm, which is marked as soccer fields, represents the majority of the park. Eastern Suburbs AFC already has a disproportionate usage rights to this public area. To further monopolise this is an unreasonable benefit to a small group. Excluding dogs from the marked sports fields when they are NOT in use for sports activities will decrease the usage of this valuable area by the public. The increase in building density in the surrounding suburbs requires greater provision of areas for people to exercise their dogs as many dwellings no longer have outdoor areas such as gardens in which dogs may exercise. No harm is caused by dogs exercising off-leash when no one is using the park for sports such as football. The football activities cause damage to the field, particularly at the mid-field kick off area and other areas around the goals and corners.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Madill's Farm Recreation Reserve

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:There is high demand for off-leash dog exercise areas and an increasing number of dogs in the area.

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

The park is suitable for dogs to be exercised off leash. Many areas of the park are already off leash exercise areas. The park is readily accessible to people with limited mobility and disabilities.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

More provision should be made for dog exercise areas, particulalry off leash. prepople who take their dogs for exercise are responsible dog owners. The problems associated with dogs are due to unregistered dogs and dogs that are allowed to roam freely, these often being unregistered animals. Council should focus upon enforcing registration and preventing wandering dogs. Council should not punish responsible dog owners by removing facilities for dog exercise and play.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2928

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

It feels unfair that dogs won't be allowed on the fields at Madills Farm. The off-leash area is so small, and it's right next to a dangerous road. There aren't many places around here where dogs can run and play safely without being near cars. The fields are the best spot because they're flat and open, which makes them safe for dogs to chase balls and for older people to walk them. At Glover Park, if dogs can't go on the fields, they'll have to run on the steep hills, which isn't safe for kids, older people, or the dogs. Keeping them on paths isn't fun either because they need space to run around.

Dogs and their owners should matter just as much as sports teams. Walking dogs is really important for people, too. It helps them exercise, feel happy, and meet other people in the community. A lot of people rely on walking their dogs to stay active and healthy, and that's just as important as playing sports.

The park is for everyone, and it feels wrong for it to sit empty most of the time just to save it for sports. Sports aren't happening all day, so why can't dogs use it when it's free? Yes, sometimes people forget to pick up their dog's poo, but most people are responsible, and if there were bag dispensers, it would help. Also, there's often litter from sports players, but they don't get banned. That doesn't seem fair.

Please let dogs play on the fields when no one is using them for sports. It's a community park, and everyone should be able to enjoy it.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2930

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The sports grounds take up a large amount of the Madills Farm space, which should be permitted to be used by all members of the community. For the vast majority of time I am at this park, there is no sport on - early mornings, during the day, late evenings. Families and people with dogs are equal members of the community, and all respect each other. Especially in this area, I never see evidence a negative co-existence. If you didn't permit dogs on the sports surface most of these parks would not be used by anyone most of the time, and it would be a waste of space. Dogs owners in this community are responsible and often are also from the same households of the people using the sports fields.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2938

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The proposed off-leash area at Madills Farm is extremely limited, especially if dogs are not allowed on the sports fields at all. The suggested off-leash area is located near a dangerous road, and there are very few local off-leash spaces that are both safe and away from traffic. The fields are among the only flat, open spaces where elderly people can safely walk their dogs or where dogs can chase balls without being near roads. At Glover Park, restricting dogs to the hills is impractical for older individuals and families with young children, as the terrain is challenging and unsafe. Forcing dogs to stick to paths or chase balls on steep slopes is neither safe nor enjoyable for dogs or their owners.

The rights and needs of dog owners deserve the same consideration as those of organised sports players. For many, walking their dogs is their primary form of exercise and socialisation, making it a vital part of their physical and mental well-being. Allowing dogs to run off-leash safely gets people out of their homes, keeps them active, and fosters community interaction. These benefits should be regarded just as highly as those of organised sports.

The park is a shared community resource and should be available to everyone, especially when sports activities are not in progress. Leaving the fields unused for large parts of the day solely to preserve them for sports does a disservice to the many people living nearby who rely on the park for recreation. While there may be occasional issues with dog waste, this is a minority issue that could be mitigated by reinstating plastic bag dispensers. It’s also worth noting that rubbish left behind by sports users has not led to bans, highlighting an inconsistency in how these issues are addressed.

I urge you to reconsider your decision and allow off-leash dogs on the fields when they are not in use for sports. This would ensure the park remains a space that can be fully enjoyed by all members of the community.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2941

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

As I understand it dogs should be allowed to run off leash whenever the grounds are not being used by sports clubs. As I understand the law Glover park was given to the citizens of Auckland to be used by all. The sports clubs should not be given exclusivity. Dog owners pay taxes and registration and therefore when not in use by the sports clubs should have the rights to let the dogs run free.

Why can't we share the grounds as we have done in the past.

May I point out that the sports clubs run a very lucretive business.

I Have been a resident taxpayer taxpayer for 25 years [redacted]

I [redacted] have used this park for both off and on lead for dog walking and (my grandchildren) have used it for football.

As I understand it it is a park to be used by all citizens and ratepayers.

It is a great off lead park for dogs and should remain so.

It is preposterous that you are contemplating denying us this.

may I point out that the sports people leave more rubbish than the odd dog poo that we are blamed for.

Now I don't want to deny the sports clubs use of Glover park but can we not share.

And if you do bring in these rules how would you monitor it?

With taxpayers money?

Bear in mind that the sports clubs make alot of money from private coaching and yearly enrolments to join these clubs.

Also dog owners pay registration to the city council for the use of these parks.

So why not leave the status quo and use some common sense here and leave Glover park as a shared community park for all

clubs and social events to continue.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Yes

this is grossly unfair for the dogs and dog owners who pay taxes and dog registration

All we want to do is share the fields.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2951

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

These areas are mostly used as sports fields on weekends and the existing rules provide sufficient separation and protections. All other times dogs should be allowed to run and play as per the existing rules.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2954

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#2979

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3013

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3041

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

In 2004, Madills Farm was designated as an "off-leash" dog exercise park. Thousands of residents use Madills Farm and Glover Park every year to walk their dogs, let them play and socialise, and the owners meet their friends regulary. It's a social place for local residents. The Football club was only supposed to use the grounds over winter and most of the residents are ok with this. I understand the Soccer Club only pay $1000 a year for ground maintenance which is not enough as there is contant work going on at the sports pitches as they are using the park more and more. Allowing the Football club to take over the majority of the Park is completely wrong as Madills Farm was mean't for everyone not just the few when they have matches or practice. The majority of dog owners are very respectful to others and pick up their dog waste. There are very few off the leash parks to take your dog to in the area for a good run and a play. With more and more people becoming lonely, a dog makes a great companion and Madills Farm is a lovely park to take your pet to. It should still be an off the leash park and the sports club needs to have its own facilities. As an Auckland rate payer and the huge amount I pay each year and I register my dog every year I think its a right for residents to expect a good level of amenities in the area that we live it. That includes a park such as Madill's to take my dog to. I absolutely do not agree with the council changing the rules and I will help fight it as much as I can.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

I do not agree with the new rules that Auckland Council are proposing for Madills Farm.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3046

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I do not support this change at all because it is my dogs favourite place to go and it is a huge centre piece for the community in the eastern bays. This cannot happen,

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3057

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Parks are family focused. Pets are family. Simple

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3058

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

Other (please explain below)

Tell us why

I support the proposed changes as an owner of two dogs. I think it is unhygienic having dogs on playing fields. I would like to suggest that it would be good to offer dog walkers some alternative off-leash walking options where there are no playing fields. Some suggestions for these could be Paddington Reserve in Glen Innes as there is no playground or playing fields and Roberta Reserve in Glendowie as playground is fully fenced and the rest of the reserve is not used as playing fields.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?Paddington Reserve**What local board area is this location in?**Ōrākei**In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?Tell us why**Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash**Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?**Entire location**What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?Please explain your issue in more detail:**To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:There is no playground or playing fields at this reserve so it would be a good place for dogs to be able to be exercised off-leash.**Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence**I support dogs not being on sports playing fields so I support the proposed changes to Madills Farm and Glover Park. If you made reserves like Paddington Reserve off-leash this could be a good replacement for people.

Location 2

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Roberta Reserve

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

A section of it. Please specify:Grassed and water area

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:Offer an alternative off-leash walking area to replace madills farm and glover park.

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

Roberta Reserve does not have sports playing fields so could be a good location for dog off- leash exercising. As it is also next to the water this offers dogs owners the benefit of their dogs also being able to swim. The children’s playground at Roberta

To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3074

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills Farm was left to the community for community use, this includes dogs/dog walkers. The football club does not own the fields and have no right to stop others from using the area.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

I feel that 95% of dog owners are responsible. Focus on the stray/roaming population and the abuse cycles that are happening constantly leading to more and more dogs being put down in the pounds.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3081

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3083

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I don’t know

Tell us why

As a dog owner it is so hard to keep dog off the playing fields when off leash. It looks like they can only be off leash around the sports fields. I like that its still possible to have them off leash but how to control that. As a parent of kids who play on these fields, we also do not want our kids playing around dog poo that owners have not picked up. Its hard to monitor and rely on dog owners being responsible to pick up poo and keep dogs off playing fields! How do we make it work as shared space???

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3090

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3096

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The current situation works well. You have not identified the specific issues that the ban of dogs on the sports fields will address. The proposed off leash area is way too small to cater for the number and requirements of the huge number of dogs that use the park. The vast majority of the time we use the park to exercise ourselves and our dog, there is no organised sport. When there is organised sport, I've never observed dogs interfering with the sport that's on (cricket or soccer). There also isn't anywhere nearby that we can walk to to let our dog off the leash. Is this proposal suggesting we drive our dog to a dog friendly park? And how does council intend to enforce such restrictive, draconian rules/ Will you be having dog rangers patrolling the park in case a dog enters the red zone? If there are real issues with the mix of uses at Madills that includes dogs, please share these specifically as this may alter some of my comments above. However, at this point, council has not provided any valid reason to change the rules as they stand.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Kohimarama beach

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control on a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To reduce owner-related problems (e.g. owner ignoring rules, dogs not under control, dog faeces not picked up, etc). Please specify:All owners required to pick up poo, and keep dogs on leads as we do. Then no chance to issues occurring.

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

Most of the time, the dogs off lead are fine, but very occasionally you get dogs that aren't kept under control

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Please make any changes sensible and focused on addressing specific issues, not knee-jerk blanket bans because a very small group of dog owners can't manage their dogs.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3097

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

Other (please explain below)

Tell us why

The exisiting first item should continue "Dogs allowed under control off a leash on sports surfaces (marked grass sports fields) when not in use or not closed (Schedules 1(2) and 2)."

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Dog owners, who allow fouling of parks, beaches, etc. should receive a large fine. Responsible dog owners shouldn't suffer because of a few irresponsible dog owners. The sports clubs are not the sole arbitors of Madill's Farm, which was gifted to the community.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3100

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3107

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The change is based on a redundant/old fashioned view of dogs (big & dangerous) & owners. While there are irresponsible dog owners in the minority - the majority of dogs & their owners are well trained, socially adjusted, contained, clean, small in size & socially important for the health & wellbeing of Aucklanders, A blunt instrument is being proposed that will punish dogs & owner's freedoms and our access to common spaces that are for all to enjoy. You're not fixing anything; you're neglecting to face the problem head on. The issue that should be addressed is responsible dog ownership. In Switzerland you most first demonstrate ability to become a good dog owner before you're allowed to bring a new pup home - they complete a course & take a practical & written exam. Responsible dog ownership (also in France & the UK) includes dogs allowed on buses, in restaurants/cafes, shops, museums, local parks, hotels - culturally the nation has moved on from dogs as hunters, fighters, working on farms to kind gentle creatures that are an extension of whanua. We don't go anywhere without our pup - to that end it's our freedom you're curbing, not just that of the dog. I would visit a lot more places & be more active/participatory if Akld was canine-friendly (instead of Uber Eats I'd spend my money at Akld businesses who are currently struggling). In Germany laws discourage pet owners from leaving their dogs at home for too long, In Hungary microchipping is mandatory, a program to make neutering affordable, rules to ensure every dog gets the minimum required exercise every day. In France messy footpaths were solved by adding more poop/doggy bag stations (again dog owners can subsidise the cost). Have you considered delineating dog rules based on the type of breed & size of dog? Owners paying more to register a dog in order to recoup council costs of legislating the rules seems fairer. On our affluent street we have a very large mastif owned by a family for security - he has no space to run & isn't walked, so he howls all the time. Where is the genuine Akld Council focus on the welfare of animals? I'm disappointed you're not taking the opportunity to modernise & pay attention to what's happening in your communities - the proposal is not relevant in these times. Things to do: 1. Provide better support to responsible owners. 2. Penalise irresponsible owners - be bold & brave. Help set up dog ownership for success from the moment someone is considering owning a dog. If potential dog owners genuinely care the dog will be brought up well & integrate beautifully into society. By the way, our regions do a very good job of prioritising canine ownership. As a ratepayer why am I being penalised for accessing my local spaces just because I have a dog that leaves nothing behind other than his paw prints?

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Please start by fixing the root cause of the problem (irresponsible dog ownership) & take the opportunity to reframe what dogs look like in a high-functioning society as per France, the UK, Hungary, Germany. The proposed rules curb my individual freedoms & access rights - why should this be so when I'm responsible owner & my medium sized pup leaves only his paw prints behind? Take the opportunity to reframe dog access - make it more generous in line with modern times. Make dog ownership harder to have - sort out the good folks from the bad.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3113

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Howick

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3116

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

dogs are humans best friends, if human needs a space to walk and play, so does dogs. Dogs need space to walk, play and explore for their physical and mental well-being.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?Tahuna torea nature reserve**What local board area is this location in?**I don't know**In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?Tell us why**Change the current rule to allow dogs under control on a leash**Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?**Entire location**What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?Please explain your issue in more detail:**Other - please specify:**Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence**

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3135

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madill's Farm and Glover Park are ratepayer owned facilities that exist to provide space for recreation for everybody. The proposed restrictions to dogs on a majority of both parks so that a local football club can benefit (even when not using) defies belief. Madill's Farm is a safe, flat space that provides for people of all ages and abilities to walk and socialise their dogs and themselves. It is flat, safe and well lit that allows single people to safely walk their animals when the fields are not being used for sporting activities. The ability to do this results in well adjusted dogs as well as the formation of long and lasting friendships and neighbourly meet ups in a time when loneliness is our next pandemic. The ability for "bumping" a term used in urban planning, is greatly enhanced by easy drivable and parkable access for dog owners at both Madill's and Glover parks, especially for elderly and less mobile people of our community. The proposed off leash areas are far away from the car park and close to the road which is dangerous for dogs and owners and too close to children's playground. I believe we should maintain current restrictions and allow off leash dog walking when organised sport is not being played. Do not destroy a key community meeting place. Proposed restrictions would mean a huge community resource not being used during most week days until after school - a waste of a valuable resource that everyone should be able to use and enjoy.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Help educate rogue dog owners and wandering, non registered dogs. We dog owners who are responsible bring in over $17 million in revenue for Auckland Council - let us use the parks

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3138

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

It is critical for a dogs health and wellbeing that they are properly exercised.

I am writing to emphasise the critical need for off-leash dog parks within our community, as they provide essential spaces for dogs to exercise and socialise. Dogs, like human beings, require physical activity to maintain their health and well-being. Off-leash parks allow them the freedom to run, play, and explore, which is crucial for their physical fitness and mental stimulation. Furthermore, these parks serve as a safe environment where dogs can interact with one another, promoting positive social behaviors and reducing the likelihood of aggression or anxiety in more confined settings. - which has worsened over recent years due to councils decision to encourage high density housing developments.

These interactions not only enrich their lives but also fosters a sense of community among dog owners, enhancing social bonds and encouraging responsible pet ownership.

Madills farm was gifted to the community as a whole and is a local treasure - (as is Glover Park),

Our family has a large dog that is difficult to walk with a leash. He is incredibly loved my the community and is very gentle with other dogs and people of all ages. It is not possible to give him the exercise he needs on a leash. It would also be terrible to limit him from playing with balls and other dogs which you cannot do on a leash.

The community has plenty of spaces to exercise without dogs such as the waterfront and Tahina Torea and Bastian point but dog owners have very few places they can safety take their dogs.

Our dog is an extension of our family and goes everywhere with us. It is terrible to think that the council is looking to remove basic access rights to our local parks.

I would expect you have researched extensively with dog trainers, breeders and vets so you are fully aware of the importance of free play and exercise.

Not only that - we often to talk to senior citizens at Madills and Glover and will often hear stories of how lonely they are and how visits to Madills can often be the only form of interaction they get in a day,

We go to Madills or Glover at least once a day - we absolutely see dogs damaging the fields - events and football boots are the main cause of

damage to the grounds. We have a long history with Eastern Suburbs and absolutely value having a football club in the area but dog owners and the club can work collaboratively and collectively for all involved. The fields at Madills have been incredibly poorly maintained - a more important focus would be to get the soil (not sand) and grass back to a decent standard as it was in years gone by.

Eastern Suburbs already restricts sacred heart boys from training at Crossfields Reserve even though it is their local ground - if this terrible proposal is driven in any way by the ESFC then the Club needs to be pulled into line and charged full market rental for use of the Clubrooms with the rental going towards upkeep of the grounds at Crossfield, Madills and Glover. It is great that there is a growing demand for sport - but there is also huge growth in dog ownership with people choosing dog walking as a key form of exercise and recreation.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3148

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I think this proposed change is appalling, not only for the dogs sake but for the residents of these local areas also. Maddills farm is our local dog park that does not have endangered/ protected animals like birds. It is one of the only parks where you can freely let your dog engage in socialisation with other dogs without having to worry that you are disturbing protected species. Alongside the dogs we use this as a socialisation area too. By banning dogs from off leash it takes away the dogs right to exercise and the ability for human interaction also. Walking a dog on leash is simply not enough exercise for most dogs which by being cooped up and not letting their energy out it could foster other issues, potentially aggression for some dogs. This would simply be one of the most disgusting decisions that council could ever make. Animals deserve rights too.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3158

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Waitematā

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3194

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: I don't know

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Good evening

I wish to put forward a submission on the banning of dogs 🐕 from Madills Farm 24/7. Many people walk their dogs at Madills Farm and they interact with the locals without incident. We have a major obesity problem in NZ and walking dogs gives people an opportunity to not only keep fit but allow themselves and their dogs to socialise.

In South Auckland they ride trail bikes that are not registered or warranted, no helmets, shorts and T Shirts but nobody cares. Yet you can't walk your dog in Kohimarama, an affluent, higher, socioeconomic area? C'mon guys. Maybe your Porsche is due for a service or the school fees are due at Kings Prep but let's open our eyes. Maybe you have never travelled, you have a small town mentality? 95 percent of the people who walk their dogs clean up. As for the other 5 percent, it is organic.

Remove the muppet's from South Auckland on trail bikes terrorising the locals. Get real guys...

Owning a dog is part of the Kiwi culture, psyche. Provide open spaces for our dogs. It is hard enough that many landlords don't keep pets. Let us allow open spaces to walk our dogs. Everyone benefits, dog's and people alike...

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3209

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Cant we do something with timing, like the beaches? Happy to not have dogs roaming free, but when you are there at 6:30am or 6:30pm- the dogs can be off leash?? or is there an option for a fenced off area for dogs. Most dog owners are responsible and it feels as if we are being punished for the few that do not pick up after their fur babies

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3213

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Albert-Eden

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

It’s an off lead dog community space, I feel a part of a community walking there even if I don’t have a dog. I walk that park everyday and never seen any dangerous dogs or digging the turf.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3215

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

My family have used these facilities for many years as sports grounds and as exercise for our family and to exercise our dog. These grounds have many different communities that use them and locking one community out is very unfair. The sports fields are open and empty a lot of the time during the day and in the mornings. Locking the dogs off the open space is a recipe for community divide. People meet at the park to walk their dogs together and chat daily/weekly. I walk my dog there every morning and apart from the weekends I have only seen the footballers practicing on a Friday morning. Do we leave the field empty the rest of the time? It seems a bit selfish. I understand the afternoons maybe a bit busier.

I have rarely seen people not pick up there dogs poo and I have seen other dog walkers offer bags to people who don't collect their dogs poo.

I have fallen twice when walking our dog as the paths were slippery with seeds and it was the dog walkers that helped me, no one in the sport fields or office at Madills ever came out.

I completely agree with dogs not being on playgrounds as this is a safe place for all children to relax and play.

Glover and Madills are beautiful open spaces in a city that is loosing a lot of green space, we really need to share these!

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3224

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3234

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

As a local resident and dog owner for many years, I believe that this ban would have a significant negative impact on our community. Madills Farm has always been a much adored space, where families, children, and pets can enjoy the outdoors and interact with one another. Dogs, when properly managed and supervised by their owners, contribute positively to the park's vibrant and inclusive atmosphere.

Dog owners regularly use the park as a place to meet and socialise. This fosters a sense of community and encourages healthy outdoor activities for young and old, and it has introduced to me to many other families and dog owners, which I would never have met otherwise.

Furthermore, I believe that socialising dogs with other dogs is an important part of raising and managing an animal. During the summer months, we already have scheduled times for dog walking on Kohimarama Beach, so the natural choice is to take your dog to the park for exercise. This is crucial for its health and well-being and provides a safe environment for it. Removing this opportunity may lead to more behavioural issues in dogs. Most dog owners in our community are responsible and adhere to park rules, including cleaning up after them. A ban would unfairly penalise these responsible individuals.

Finally, I believe that the Madills Farm area is intended for recreational use. The land has always been a key feature of our local community, and as a mixed use recreational park we value and cherish it. The park is continuing to deliver relaxation, recreation, and play for a diverse range of users. If you are looking for solutions, try increasing the number of waste disposal bins (instead of removing them) and providing more educational campaigns about responsible pet ownership. I believe these solutions would address your concerns without depriving our community of the many benefits that come from allowing dogs at Madills Farm.

Thank you,

[redacted]

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3238

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

It’s great for everyone to walk their dogs, it’s great for people’s mental and physical health. The dogs do not cause any harm or issues. Taking away the park will ruin people’s daily routines, the happiness of pets as owners will need to travel further to find parks and overall be a large negative impact on the community. It is a silly change and does not need to be done at all.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Keep madils farm dog friendly

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3251

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills Farm and Glover Park were given to the community and should remain a shared resource. They became sports fields run by Eastern Suburbs Football Club which I encourage but believe there can be a balance for both dog owners and Sports to continue together in harmony. Eastern Suburbs Football Club have 2 main sport fields close to the Club House, these 2 areas could prohibit dogs at all times. Field 3 close to the Car park near the entrance to Madills could also prohibit dogs at all times and each field could have a sign on it (like at Shore Road Rugby Grounds). Field 4 close to Baddeley avenue roadside could remain as current rules, dogs on leash whilst sports being played but off leash under control all other times. Field 5 remains off leash under control unless sports being played & then dogs on leash (current rules)

To remove all areas to prohibiting dogs removes the opportunity for owners to walk their dogs, play with their dogs with their families and have fun, Council is removing the community spirit that has been created around Madills. By removing dogs from all 5 Fields leaves no where for the dogs to be able to run around and therefore not giving the exercise. A large number of the aging population have dogs and go to Madills because it is flat and easy to walk for them, please do not take this form of exercise away from them just because Eastern Suburbs are requesting 5 sports Fields. Even Shore Road does do this!!

I do believe there is a compromise that will meet all those wanting to enjoy Madills Farm Reserve for a long time to come.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Regarding the beaches. I think rules around dog access should be consistent across Tamaki Makaurau for the times dogs can be on/off leash in the morning and at the end of the day. At the moment, you have to look up the times beforehand for each beach - why? More clarity is needed. There is a place for dogs to be on the beach as they just love the space/water etc. so again provide the fun for the dogs/families with clear rules across all the beaches.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3260

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: I don't know

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This is a commuity space for all to enjoy. Many rate payers own dogs and this park owned by the city should be available for all.

Where are the dogs to go now?

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3262

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

It seems unnecessary to change this as I think the damage to the fields is done by people using the field. Cars on the field during touch games, bikes and small motorcycles on the fields. There is a lot of night time activity on the fields. Crackers, going off. Yelling and groups hanging out there.

Dog owners do need to be responsible for their dog, and as I live across the road from the field it is nice to see the dogs chasing balls, frisbee's and just running around. A lot of elderly people take their dog around the park with most sticking to the path.

I feel it would be a shame. To have this changed. Injuries happen anywhere and if playing sport will happen even more. I have never seen a dog dig a hole. We have lived here for four years

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Again it would be a shame to see Madills Farm rules to be changed. You could ban trees as kids fall out of them on the park. This would be silly but soccer, touch players will be injured. My grandsons both play on fields that are only for sport and they still get injured.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3263

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Waitematā

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

No off-leash dogs at all, please. Otherwise fine.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?All parks**What local board area is this location in?**Waitematā**In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?Tell us why**Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash**Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?**Entire location**What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?Please explain your issue in more detail:**To reduce owner-related problems (e.g. owner ignoring rules, dogs not under control, dog faeces not picked up, etc). Please specify:Dogs are widely untrained and out of control. No dog should be off-leash.**Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence**As a mother of a small child, we get approached by dogs that won't cvome when called. I've had to stand between my daughter and a dog many times. Not acceptable, and there's no one policing this enough.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3264

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Not sure what other parts of the park would be left for dogs off leash! Around the outside is very close to the roads so this is not safe for dogs to be off leash. I walk the park all the time and I do not see the damage you are talking about.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3273

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

NZ is a country of dog lovers with a high percentage of pet owners. Despite this, we have so many rules and regulations that are restrictive, overbearing and unsupportive compared to other countries. The truth is NZ is not as "pet friendly" as we pretend to be. I find that frustrating and am always rather shocked when I find a place that is warm and welcoming toward our 4-legged child.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3287

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Dogs should be kept off sports fields as many owners do not pick up faeces

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

No

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3291

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3297

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

As previous comments

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?Madils**What local board area is this location in?**Ōrākei**In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?Tell us why**Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash**Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?**Entire location**What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?Please explain your issue in more detail:**To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:There should be off leash parks /beaches and or fully enclosed parks in every suburb**Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence**

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3305

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills Farm is recreational area that should be available for everybody to use, not just the Eastern Suburbs Soccer club. By restricting dog use of the park to the narrow strips of land on either side of the playing fields is both impractical and quite frankly ridiculous. Citing damage to the fields as a reason for banning dogs is both weak, and petty.

It further reduces the amount of legal dog exercise space in the Eastern Bays, whch is already very small (and getting smaller as the Council continues to rezone the area to pack more people into it). Surely the Council must be aware that dogs as well as people require regular exercise, and that being walked on lead is not enough for some larger species of canine.

If Eastern Suburbs wants exclusive use of Madills Farm then they should buy it, or at the very least increase the amount they pay the Auckland Council for it's use to market rates.

I would also suggest that more damage would be caused by local teenagers, Freedom campers, and the occasional homeless member of the community than by dogs

Park's are a valuable resource that should be used and enjoyed by the whole community. (of which dogs form part of) and not just an exclusive few.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Kohimarama Beach

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

Other - please specify:The beach is unused most week day mornings. Why not open it to off leash walking 6am - 9am weekdays

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

The council is making every other park on lease walking - where can I let my dog go for a run near my house.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

The rules are fine the way they are, just leave them alone.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3309

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Again more space required

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Bethells Beach

What local board area is this location in?

Waitākere Ranges

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:To many areas closed either because of Kathi dieback or regional park rules, there’s so much space which is so under used! More flexibility is needed, and more areas opened up not closed!

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

Freedom of dog owners is getting diminished, which puts more pressure on areas where dogs are allowed to, hence creating to many people and dogs in a few selected areas! More space should be allowed, especially in the waitakere ranges

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Common sense should prevail! More areas need to be opened up, maybe consulting doc on also opening up some of their land also!

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3311

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The fundamental point here is that Madills Recreational Reserve has been a dog exercise area for a very long time. It is not a sports ground.

It is a reserve with some sports wanting to take it over for their exclusive use paid for by the ratepayers.

Anyone who uses it frequently would see that the primary use is for dog exercise.

While soccer (and some other sports) do use it intensively for a short time each week in some seasons that should not entitle them to take over the use of the RESERVE.

Many of the same comments apply to Glover Park.

Current Use.

The flat grounds are ideal for older adults with pets to meet and exercise their much-loved companions. Users are very aware of the benefits to the wider community.

It is safe for young children and women, due to it being an open and flat area with adequate parking (if you can’t walk there).

The reserve relieves pressure adjacent beaches where the hours are restricted.

Dogs need an area to exercise and socialise. The council complains about antisocial dogs and then tries to take away the main facility to help with adequate socialisation.

The council says option 2 is provides "a more balanced use of public space" is incorrect when you are planning to ban dogs access to their most valuable ground.

Option 2 is banning dogs from approx 80% of the space and the most valuable space.

The area around the edge of the park is not really suitable for exercising a dog as it is too close to the road and the path is used by kids on scooters and tricycles etc than are not really compatible with dogs off leash.

Is a 24/7 ban, a balance of usage between sports and dog exercise, especially considering the limited time sports occupy the space compared to the continuous access enjoyed by dog owners.

The safety and socialization benefits for dogs and their owners, as well as the overall community, are significant.

Being a frequent user of the reserve, I believe the holes and dog excrement are over-stated.

We always pickup and encourage others to do the same, and where we see some dog poo left, we pick it up just so these arguments are not pointed in our direction.

This would be another example of the more than 95% of the compliant users being penalised for the less than 5% who are non compliant.

If the poo is such a problem, then the soccer club could use their resources to pick it up before a game, as a duty for having the ground provided free of charge!

The assessment states “creates significant risk to health and safety of sports” begs the question of what is the data to support the word significant, and is it being compared to other similar non astroturf grounds.

Rolled and sprained ankles are the most common soccer injury and soccer is number two behind Rugby. To say dogs cause/increase the injuries is a little ...convenient!

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Leave the dog access rules for Glover Park and Madills Reserve as they are.
Option 1

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3325

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills Farm is a neighbourhood park! It provides hundreds of local residents with a sense of place for them to converge daily on the park to mingle, exercise or just simply enjoy the immense amenity in an open green space.

While exercising, local residents bring their beloved dogs to the park to socialise with one another. And their beloved dogs would have an open green space to run around as well.

Currently, Auckland Council is planning to change the usage of a “mixed used” neighbourhood park—Madills Farm by prohibiting dogs at all times in the sports areas, in order to accommodate the aggressive expansion of the Eastern Suburbs Association Football Club.

And I am against this proposed changes of the existing dogs rules.

For the sports players, they could carry out the sports activities in the sports stadiums whereas the set up would be more suitable for sports events.

Alternatively, they could go to other sports fields that had already been set up for the purpose of sports events.

I am a taxpayer and a rates payer too; I pay the council rates to Auckland Council in exchange of my rights of using our neighbourhood park.

This movement/action of Auckland Council is sabotaging the peaceful lives of the local residents, and is also destroying the unity and harmony of the surrounded neighbourhoods.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Not at the moment.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3327

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Our family our fortunate to live directly opposite Madills Farm, and walk our dog there (off leash) twice a day. Our two kids are also members of the Eastern Suburbs Football Club, and play at Madills Farm once a week through winter. With a tiny back yard of our own Madills acts as our front lawn, with lots of bike & scooter rides and football practice. It is an incredible asset to the Bays and I struggle to see why there is any need to change the current arrangement.

Looking at the proposed map showing the areas dogs will be prohibited it doesn't appear to leave much left of the park. We walk our dog early morning and evening, at which times there are typically no sports activity happening on the park, and it seems crazy that these areas should be banned for dog recreation when no one else is using them.

The proposal makes reference to Health and Safety of sports users, so i would be interested to see what data there is that shows Madills Farm & Glover Park represents a greater hazard to football and cricket players than other public play fields, and what evidence there is that dogs are the cause.

The grounds get a thrashing every weekend during the soccer season, with hundreds of soccer players from young kids to adults playing from early morning to late afternoon every Saturday and Sunday, often in wet conditions. I find it hard to believe dogs are the leading cause of damage to the fields.

I'm fully supportive of the park being used for sport activity but these two parks are owned and maintained by rate payers, and should be available for everyone to enjoy. It seems incredible the Board would even consider a proposal to allow a private club exclusive use of a large part of both parks when they wont even be using them most of the time.

To me the amount of broken glass bottles and fireworks I see when walking my dog on a Sunday morning are a bigger health & safety hazard than dogs.

Surely between what rate payers pay in rates, dog owners pay in registration fees, and the football club pays in rent there is enough money to pay someone to walk over the fields once a week and fill any holes with a bucket or two of topsoil? If not increase the rates, lease and dog rego fees to cover it. For a few dollars spent you would double the number of people who could use the park, and i cant think of another council project that would

have the same return on investment. If Auckland Transport can promise to fill a pot hole in a road within 24 hours surely Auckland Council's Parks Team can fill a hole in the park play field within a week?

Lastly some feedback on the comms, which has been appalling. As someone who uses the park twice a day, seven days a week, I am staggered Auckland Council can consider the proposed changes to be 'minor'. Local Board members seem to have no trouble covering our parks, and road reserves with elections signs when its voting time, but haven't bothered to put a single sign up in Maddills Farm to notify park users of the proposed changes. Given dogs need to be registered, which is managed by Auckland Council, you already have the contact details for every dog owner in Auckland, and the football and cricket clubs have their own member database. I cant think of it being any easier to reach out and engage with the key stakeholders on this, but there has been no effort. While i acknowledge there have been some social media posts, a lot of the dog walkers i see in the park are elderly, and aren't active on this. The majority of dog owners i have discussed this with while walking in the park had no idea the dog rules were being reviewed.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

The lack of publicity over the proposed changes has been woeful. Council haven't bothered to put a single up in Madills Farm to notify park users of what is under consideration. Appalling.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3331

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Madills farm and Glover park are have several sports fields on them. There is very little remaining space for dogs to be off lead. Madills farm infact used to have no sports fields untill a few years back. The Change proposed is too aggressive and dogs should be allowed off lead when the sports fields are empty. If there is no one playing on the fields then dogs should be able to access them off lead. Dog generally weigh less than humans beings so would damage the surface.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3345

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

Other (please explain below)

Tell us why

The number of dogs running loose at those park at times is out of control, I feel like my family and I cannot throw or kick a ball without being harassed by dogs. Numerous times we have been jumped on including having my son dragged by a dog. I feel like this park should include a total off leash dog ban.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Kohimarama Beach

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to prohibit dogs

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To reduce owner-related problems (e.g. owner ignoring rules, dogs not under control, dog faeces not picked up, etc). Please specify:Faecal matter on this beach is out of control.

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

Numerous time we have encountered owners leaving dog faecal matter on the beach, totally ignoring picking it up. Kids have narrowly missed stepping and playing in it.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

More patrolling to catch offenders please

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3351

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

I feel that dog walking services abide by the rules and are more responsible that many of the general public. I myself have witnessed over many years that some dog owners can be most unreasonable when exercising their dog but the professional dog walkers have a reputation to uphold and only employ staff who understand dogs and carry out their task in a responsible manner. Perhaps the dog walking professional handlers should be registered like the dogs they control and look after.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3358

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The local soccer club is trying to get dogs banned from Madills Farm AGAIN by using misleading claims about dog faeces and dogs ruining their sports fields. They have been doing this ever since they were allowed to use the park. Remember that Madills Farm was gifted to all of us to use and it is not a satellite wannabe stadium for the soccer club. That was never its intended use. The soccer club was set up against the caveat and how thousands use it and a minority of those want it all for themselves. We have a different mindset - we're not even saying get rid of the soccer club BUT what we are saying is keep the status quo and let all of us in our community continue to enjoy it with our off leash dogs. The park is built on a swamp and it floods and millions have been spent on trying to maintain it for soccer and cricket players. That's not the fault of dogs. None of us begrudge the hundreds of thousands spent on the upgrade of the childrens playground or have our dogs in that playground. This perpetual persecution of dog owners is appalling.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Glover Park

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

Other - please specify:Soccer club trying to have our park for their exclusive use.

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

Keep Glover Park's status quo. As per my comments on Madills Farm this is exactly the same issue at Glover. The park is a swamp and has always had major flooding issues and in recent years millions have been spent on its upkeep for the soccer and cricket

Location 2

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

-

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

I don’t know

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

Other - please specify:-

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

-

Location 3

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

-

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

I don’t know

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

Other - please specify:-

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

-

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Yes, I've been making these submissions and presentations to Auckland Council for fifteen years and it's the same issue again and again. You have a media headline of a dog attack on another animal/s or person and use that to demonise all dogs and their owners. You're quite happy to take our rates and dog registration fees but loathe to discuss the real problem we have with dogs in our city - unfit owners who refuse to desex their dogs, are cruel and abusive to their dogs and leave it up to the many faceless independent animal welfarists to rescue pups, desex dogs and rehome them if we can and finance it all ourselves. During Covid there were messages from various local and national politicians spouting how important our companion animals were for our mental and physical wellbeing and that's true. But then when there's a negative regarding same the kneejerk reaction is to blame us all and ban dogs from being part of a community's every day life. Our dogs need off leash access to a variety of parks 24/7 and we to our beaches at certain times during certain seasons which we've submitted and presented on as well. It's called sharing your community. The soccer and cricket clubs have a place and no one is saying they are to be banned. But stop allowing some very selfish people from taking over our parks purely for their own leisure. It's undemocratic and frankly, it's revolting.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3359

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

These parks were gifted to the people for everybody to share! So therefore the status quo should remain.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3368

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I strongly oppose this proposed change as it significantly reduces the available space for dogs to exercise and play freely. The narrow areas around the fields will not be sufficient for dogs to run, fetch, and socialise without causing congestion or conflict. Instead of an outright ban, the council should focus on encouraging responsible dog ownership by ensuring waste bag dispensers are regularly stocked and running awareness campaigns to promote clean and considerate use of shared spaces. A balanced approach would better serve both dog owners and sports users.

Sincerely,

[redacted]

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3370

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The proposed ban on dogs from sports fields at all times is unwarranted. As a responsible dog owner and ratepayer, I see no evidence that off-leash dogs cause significant harm to the grounds when fields are not in use. In fact, the sports activities themselves cause far more wear and tear on the fields than any dog could.

This park is the only real off-leash space where I can throw a ball and exercise my dog. With urban areas becoming more condensed, removing access to open spaces for dog owners is unfair. The current rules already protect the fields when in use—there's no need for further restrictions. I strongly oppose this change and urge the council to maintain the existing policy that balances the needs of both sports users and dog owners.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?All beaches**What local board area is this location in?**I don't know**In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?Tell us why**Change the current rule to allow dogs under control on a leash**Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?**Entire location**What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?Please explain your issue in more detail:**To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:I see no reason that i cant walk my dog on a beach with a leash at all times at any beach.**Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence**The councils are reducing the amount of places dog owners can take there dogs. We treat our animals as part of the family and these restrictions are unnecessary and bring no real value.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3374

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I strongly oppose this proposed change as it significantly reduces the available space for dogs to exercise and play freely. The narrow areas around the fields will not be sufficient for dogs to run, fetch, and socialise without causing congestion or conflict. Instead of an outright ban, the council should focus on encouraging responsible dog ownership by ensuring waste bag dispensers are regularly stocked and running awareness campaigns to promote clean and considerate use of shared spaces. A balanced approach would better serve both dog owners and sports users.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3377

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3395

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

There are limited places for dogs to play off leash. Glover park was always intended for recreational use not a sports playground and use to be a dog playground. This would mean more dogs off leash will be on our beaches Will could cause safety issues.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3429

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3430

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The priority is to preserve the current conditions at both Madills Farm and Glover Park. These parks are community facilities designed for diverse uses, not exclusively for runners, soccer players, and cricket players.

Submission Process Issues:

Difficulty navigating the online submission process.

Request to add feedback about Te Ārai Regional Park and attached document.

Te Ārai Regional Park:

Support for keeping Forestry Beach (Te Arai South) as an off-leash area.

Emphasis on conservation and designated access points.

Local Parks (Madills Farm and Glover Park):

Rejection of proposed changes to dog access rules.

Importance of preserving current conditions for diverse community use.

Concerns about attributing park damage solely to dog activity.

Personal Impact:

Importance of parks for personal exercise and dog walking.

Advocacy for responsible dog ownership and enforcement of existing rules.

Community and Environmental Concerns:

Need for additional rubbish bins and dog waste disposal options.

Issues with road congestion and parking during sporting events.

Parks as shared community resources outside of organized sports events.

Conclusion:

Call for maintaining current access rules with better enforcement.

Emphasis on education and responsible pet ownership.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

no- except keep as they are

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3435

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Waitematā

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The sports fields take up the majority of the park so to ban dogs on these areas basically bans most off leash activity at this park. We live in Parnell but drive to Madills as it is the best small dog/friendly park we can find. It has been an amazing space for our dog to socialise over the past 3.5 years. Many dogs visit and are able to fetch a ball and meet other dogs safely. We have found most dogs/owners here are well behaved and it has the largest amount of small dogs of any park we've been to in Auckland.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Madills Farm is unique in that many small dogs can be off lead here safely. Some beaches and parks attract mainly large dogs and therefore our dog is not as comfortable as at Madills.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3438

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Most of the park is deemed sports field! Leaves no room for dogs play and socialise. A responsible dog owner would understand. Also, young and elderly people go to this park to socailise and exercise their dog - elderly people do not to be doing circuits of the park themselves! Dog exercise in play, which a) cant really be achieved within new rules b)not fair on the many elderly folk using park for their dogs.

... New rules seem all a bit mean really.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3442

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I have been walking my small dog on Madills for more than 10 years & there has never been a problem or incident. There are however some dog owners who do not pick up their dog's dumps but they should not make we, the responsible owners, who got out of our way to try & maintain the park free from excrement & as safe as possible. These owners are not obeying the rules for responsible dog owners & unfortunately mean those of us who do so are penalised. I'm concerned that obliging the dog owners to walk their dog on a LEASH does not provide the necessary exercise they require. There's no way we can throw a ball or let them on to the surrounding grass for them to enjoy their endless sniffs. I'd be interested to know when Madills became a venue for sporting activities. If my memory serves me right the park was designated as a recreational park, open to all, i.e. walkers, cyclists, mothers with babies in prams etc. etc. it would be very interesting to see the original Charter wherever it is.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

No not for the moment but I'll likely get back again to you once I've looked into your proposals for Kohi beach.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3453

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I live near Glover park, I volunteer my time and resources (buying apples), monitoring possum traps for the eastern bays song bird project (council). Part of that volunteer work, I love doing, walking my dogs to glover park, throwing the ball around and giving them a run around, not when any sports events are on of course. So no I do not support having that aspect of my neighbourhood taken away.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3454

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

There is no evidence that dog walking and off leash exercise has a negative impact on others who use this space for sports. I use this space twice each day, every day and dog walkers are considerate and respectful of this shared space.

Having off leash exercise is vital for a dogs wellbeing and helps to reduce behavioural problems. Having opportunities for a dog to socialise with other dogs and people helps to improve their behaviour and reduce aggression. Having designated dog parks has been shown to benefit the community by reducing dog aggression incidents and reducing dogs being surrendered to shelters due to behavioural problems. Dog parks are an important resource for their owners, not only providing safe places for exercise but dogs are also a social bridge encouraging social interaction between owners and making owners feel more connected to the community.

This proposal does not appear to have had a proper public consultation and appears to being pushed through to benefit a private sports club at the expense of the local community.

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?Kohimarama beach**What local board area is this location in?**Ōrākei**In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?Tell us why**Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash**Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?**Entire location**What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?Please explain your issue in more detail:**To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:Current rules are overly restrictive to responsible dog owners**Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence**More dog off leash areas are needed

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3457

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I believe the rules re-dogs in these areas are absolutely fine as they are and there is no need to change them at all

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

For the most part I do not see any need to change the current rules and regulations read dogs at beaches and parks, all I see is that there is a move to limit Dog and their owners access to these areas when it is not necessary

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3458

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I should be able to walk my dogs at the nearest park off leash so that they can exercise

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3460

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3462

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I usually walk my dog twice a day at Madills Farm. This is a reserve at the centre of our community. Its where we gather as a community and socialize. Can you please confirm the data behind your proposal. For example... Dog ownership rates within a 5km radius of the reserve. Historically, Madills was a swamp. Please provide your analysis on the quality of the soil/ground and what it can sustain from a use perspective? There are a number of small holes which the football club seem to assume are made by the dogs. However as a parent of children who have played countless games of football at Madills, I know that the grounds are damaged from sprigs on football boots. There are also people who practice pitching with golf clubs, anyone who plays golf knows how easy it is to dig divots.... hence holes. Dog ownership has increased significantly over the past few years, they are important for getting people out into their communities and keeping people's mental health in check.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3467

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This park us used by 100s of ratepaying dog owners each day and is 1 of the few places in the area that dogs can be let off leash to play and exercise. The overwhelming majority of dog owners are responsible and respectful and pick up after their dogs and steer clear of the sports fields when they're in use. I see no reason to punish these dog owners when any problems that may be occurring are minor and likely caused by owners who won't pay attention to a change in the rules anyway.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3468

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

These parks and beaches are public places to be enjoyed by the public All the public

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

Madills farm

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

Entire location

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

Other - please specify:There shouldn’t be any change

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

I’m

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

These laws are made by people who have are ill informed and who do not have the communities best interests as a priority. They are in the wrong job

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3472

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Because it gets so much use from all the locals, it’s everyone’s park - kids sports and dogs included. All that would happen is separation in the community with people trying to police it. Let everyone play!

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3474

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

When the sports fields are not in use, this is such a lovely place for families to be enjoying the space and dogs are increasingly an integral part of family life.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3476

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I am a local mother of 3 soccer players at Eastern Suburbs. We play at Madills multiple times a week. I am also a dog owner. I love that my entire family can benefit from the park. It is our second home. The girls get to play and exercise and so does our dog. I am very happy with the way it is currently.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3479

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I do not agree with these changes. By restricting dogs to the outside of the playing fields only makes it unsafe to exercise both my dog any myself. Most dog users of the park are incredibly thoughtful and tidy up after their dogs (wether it be their poo or sticks being thrown). The changes are unnecessary and will cause more distress than good.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
The rules as they stand are satisfactory

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3482

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This area is critical to dog owners and their dogs. It is heavily used by the community and gives dogs the opportunity to socialize and for owners to come together for the same purpose. Restrictions are unfair for this community piece of land that is enjoyed by many and should be able to continue to. It is supported by rate payers through the area and precious to all who use it.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3483

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3487

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The sports fields compromise a very large portion of the farm park and are rarely all used. One or two of the fields may be used for a half day once a week. This would mean dogs would be banned from almost all the grassed area except the perimeter. So a total ban is unnecessary and wasteful of the farms potential benefit to the rest of the community. This is not the intention of this facility and would prevent an enormous amount of quality safe off-leash dog walking/play/training.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

The existing rules provide a great balance of amenity to all users, being dog owners, and non dog owners. NO CHANGE IS NECESSARY.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3490

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Glover Park and Madills Farm were originally gifted for the "use of all". How can that be changed? This seems very wrong and most people are not aware of the situation.

However, the proposed new regulations regarding Glover Park do not support the statements about the welfare of dogs, social connections (I gather this is people) balanced use of public space at all, they penalise residents by removing safe open, flat spaces to exercise or walk dogs. Many residents are retired and getting out with dogs provides exercise, socialisation, fresh air for healthy mental and physical health.

The areas concerned are not used for a large part of the day during the week. Certainly not being used for sport. Most people understand that dogs and people stay off sports fields when in use.

The proposed areas offered for off leash at Glover Park are not easily accessible and there are dangerous slopes in parts, the most unsuitable parts of the park, definitely not balanced use.

I believe the proposed regulations are grossly unfair and should be "dogs off leash and under control when sports fields are not in use". Please consider the actual residents - many of whom are older people. Those people playing sport are using our suburb's facilities but are often from outside the area.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3493

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

When not in use, it should be fine for dogs to use the sports fields.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3498

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

Other (please explain below)

Tell us why

i have already submitted my opinion but forgot to say i have been coming to Madills farm with my respective dogs for 40 years and never encountered any problems. also I mentioned many older people live in this area but forgot to say many have dogs.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3499

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Does the council hate dogs. If you actually targeted the dog owners who have a complaint against them, and are irresponsible dog owners then maybe we wouldn't have such a problem. Most dog owners would be responsible but as I mentioned earlier, trying to make a complaint about a dog who has bitten another dog, you do nothing!!!

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.

Location 1

What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?

No comment

What local board area is this location in?

Ōrākei

In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?

Tell us why

Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash

Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?

A section of it. Please specify:Not sure

What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?

Please explain your issue in more detail:

To respond to opportunities for greater dog access without impacting other users (e.g. an area to take a dog under control off a leash). Please specify:NO comment I didnt mean to come on this page but have to answer to get to next page

Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence

No

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

I get that some people dont like dogs but dogs are absolutely a part of our everyday lives. Dont't curb our dog walkers and dont make it impossible for dogs to enjoy the beach and off lead time as they absolutely love it!

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3504

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Priority is to keep the status quo at both Madills Farm and Glover Park.

Our parks are a shared community facility and not only for soccer and cricket players.

Our dogs need off leash exercise for socialising and exercising.

Dog owners of all ages need the social interaction as well as their dogs.

Flat spaces in our parks cater for the elderly and disabled including those who own dogs.

Both parks are built on swamp land and millions have been spent on the flooding issues to enable sports fields, this is not the fault of dogs.

Both parks have lights moved around the fields as well as sprinkler systems, both of which have resulted in uneven surfaces, this is not the fault of dogs.

Teams playing against each other break up the field surfaces hence why they are maintained by Council grounds staff, this is not the fault of dog.

Rubbish is an issue after sporting events and other users clean it up, road congestion and parking issues has also been a concern for residents.

Dog owners who use the park take their responsibility of our parks very seriously and the vast majority of us make sure our dogs are trustworthy canine citizens.

We not only pay rates we pay a yearly dog registration fee.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Council parks should be for all users and it is up to the council to implement a solution that works for ALL users. The park isn't privately owned and we all pay rates and dog registration fees which mean we contribute to the upkeep of the park and have the right to fair use.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3514

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Maddills and Glover Park are fantastic community assets for everyone to share. We are responsible dog owners who use these facilities with our dogs and with respect for other dog walkers/walker's and for people playing sport. We have never seen any problem with the sharing of these spaces and have met wonderful people and dogs on these parks.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Please leave access as it is.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3515

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This a valuable park for dogs and their owners, widely used and appreciated. There aren't a lot of off leash dog parks in this area. If not in use a dog should be able to run across the sports field to chase a ball, etc. This is what dogs live for. Any decent dog owner will always pick up dog excrement especially off a sports field. These changes really reduce the space that dogs will have to enjoy and make it nearly pointless to go to Madills Farm at all!

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Please don't restrict dogs and their owners anymore! Dog owners are rate payers and have rights too! Dogs absolutely love the beach, please don't deny them an absolute pleasure of being able to run freely on a beach and in the water. Give dog owners some credit that they will appropriately manage their dog so no incidents occur. Dog owners tend to love their dogs more than anything and want more than anything to keep them safe so won't do anything stupid to put their dog or other dogs, people at risk.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3524

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

You are proposing to exclude dogs from the VAST MAJORITY of the surface of these parks.

This is unacceptable.

Our parks are a shared community facility. I believe Madills Farm Recreation reserve was willed to the Council for all to enjoy, not just for soccer and cricket players on the vast majority of its surface.

The health benefits to humans from keeping a dog as companion and friend are as high as the health benefits of playing sport and one activity should not be preferred over the other, especially as they tend to benefit different sections of the community.

This change looks like sports team members are being given preferential treatment compared to other members of the community, despite using the area for only a few hours per week.

Dogs are intelligent animals and need off leash exercise for socialising and exercising, just as humans need to be able to run free for a full healthy life.

Dog owners benefit from the social interaction as well as their dogs.

I know people who drive a long way to exercise their dogs at Madills for friendship and because it is a very safe park for the dogs with much of it away from the road.

Much of Madills and Glover is flat. Flat spaces in our parks cater for the elderly and disabled including those who own dogs. If access to the sports areas is removed this means access to the flat areas is removed.

At Glover park this would leave only steep areas on the sides of the volcanic cone for dogs to run - not suitable.

A Madills Park If dogs are restricted to the fringes of the park there will be dog congestion leading to more social difficulties for the dogs. This is also a more dangerous area, close to the roads with more chance of dog vs car accidents.

This restriction will also have flow on consequences to the beaches as more owners will take their dogs to run on the beaches instead of the parks.

Uneven surfaces and holes on the sports fields are being blamed on the dogs but there are many other contributing factors e.g. sprinkler systems and lights being moved around the field, energetic teams of sports people in studded boots running on the surfaces.

Most dog owners are good citizens who appreciate the facilities and obey the rules, picking up after their dogs and helping to keep the facilities clean and tidy. They pay rates, just as sports team members pay rates and also pay dog license fees.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
No

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3527

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I have a Labrador, she loves to chase balls. I am a responsible dog owner. Dogs need freedom too.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Well behaved dogs and owners should not be penalised.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3529

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

The changes are about off leash access to the playing fields. This can only be due to representations by the Eastern Suburbs Football Club (ESFC).

First, Madills Farm was gifted by the Madills to the people of Auckland for their enjoyment as a whole. It is currently used by young and old for off-leash exercising of their dogs from dawn to dusk 7 days a week - ~100+ hours per week. The playing fields by contrast are used by ESFC for a few hours per week in the football season. People are quite happy for ECFC to have exclusive use of the football ground when they are using them but it is outrageouse to suggest that exclusivity should expand from say 5 or so hours per week to 168!

Second, it is arrogant for ESFC to even suggest such restrictions when their occupancy of Madills Farm is almost free. If they were paying a commercial sum it might be appropriate for their preferences to be given more weight but not for a highly concessionary peppercorn rent!

Thirdly, the only reason I can think of that ESFC give to justify their 24/7 exclusivity is that that they assert owners are not picking up droppings. This is nonsense - I use the area with my small dog frequently and I observe owners are meticulous about their "duty" in this regard. I would agree that it is possible, though rare, that droppings are missed. But (a) the areas are frequently closely mown and (b) a quick visual inspection beforre use would eliminate this problem and (c) in any event, I would rather step on a small dog dropping than on a broken bottle left by ESFC Clubhouse patrons!

Which brings me to my final point. ESFC is neglingent in its management of clubhouse patrons. The behaviour is sometimes roudy, underage alcohol consuption is common, litter and breakages happen and drivers often leave travelling the wrong way up the one way street at the N end of the ground. This is dangerous.

To claim sole occupancy of the grounds 24/7 and ban all off-leash pets is outrageous.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3535

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Good balance of care for the area of the parks used for sport and the convenience of dog owners

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Stick with proposed changes despite the barking of the dog owner lobby groups

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3536

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Firstly - I am saddened that Councillors are wasting time and resources.

I live within 200 metres of Madills and utilise Madills every day walking my dog, watching my kids play sport and generally enjoying the park.

Dog owners generally are very respectful of all users and the current “rules” .

There are no legitimate issues.

I expect there are some loud individuals whom oppose the current rules however talking with the locals their is a lot of angst and upset locals.

So this one directly impacts my family. That aside I find this “dog” review obnoxious, offensive due to the total waste of resources.

Councillors whom support this project should be named and shamed.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
Get a real job.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3537

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

This is a park the highly benefits from its dog accessibility, there is a great local community that would be destroyed by this change and shouldn’t be allowed after all the parks name is “Madills Farm Recreation Reserve”

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3540

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

it is not good for the dogs mental health

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
need more space for dogs to play while off leash

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3544

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3547

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: I don't know

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3555

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I support the proposed change

Tell us why

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3556

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Because I moved to this area because it has a dog friendly park. This is absolutely ridiculous - instead, let's propose banning kids from being off a leash because their owners are irresponsible parents. Sounds ridiculous? Because it is. People are responsible for keeping their dogs, and kids, under control at all times and not having them free roam and get into trouble. We already have restrictions on off leash areas while the park is in use for sports for dogs. Maybe the appropriate thing to do is keep kids on a leash while sports are not going on so that everyone that wants access to the park has access to it? Why are we prioritising rate paying people that have kids over people that specifically own property in the neighbourhood that have dogs and want to use the park?

Are there any dog access rules at other local parks and beaches in Auckland that you think council should change?

Your feedback on this section will inform any future review of dog access rules. A future review has not been scheduled at this time and no changes will be made on any location you identify in this section as part of this proposal.

Only provide feedback on one location at a time.

Space is provided for three locations. If you would like to provide feedback on more than three locations, please copy the following pages or include your comments in the other feedback section.What is the full name of the location where you want to change the dog access rules?Kohimarama beach**What local board area is this location in?**I don't know**In general, how would you like dog access at this location to change?Tell us why**Change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash**Do you want the change to apply to the entire location or a section of it?**Entire location**What is the main reason you want to change the current dog access rule?Please explain your issue in more detail:**Other - please specify:Why can kids be free roaming and dogs needs to be on a leash if I have spent countless hours teaching my dog, just like a kid, to act responsibly around people?**Please explain why you want to change the dog access rule at this location, and provide any additional information or evidence**If owners take responsibility for their dogs, good and bad, and there are repercussions for dogs that are not appropriate, then this isn't an issue. Just punish and reward people rather than creating blanket rules.

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3571

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

There are few large areas where dogs can run off leash in the Orakei board area. Dogs are already banned from the sports fields when in use, which is limiting in weekends and in the evening when games and training occur. One of the reasons for the proposed changes provided by council on the opening page of this form states the following: "provide a balanced use of public space to respond to changes in how we use public spaces that considers the needs of dog owners and their dogs, other people and animals, the environment and property." The key words in this reason are "balanced use." Banning dogs from the fields 24/7 is in direct opposition to this reason. Madills Farm in particular was gifted to the community and was never intended to be a dedicated sports ground. The AFC and any other sports users must share that space with the rest of the community and that includes the many people who walk their dogs and need a large safe space for the dogs to run.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?
No

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3573

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

Parks are for general population use and not limited to specific sports clubs (eg soccer). Sports clubs can use the grounds just like the general population can use the grounds. People cannot be denied the joy of waliking with their dogs and allowing them to enjoy a run off their lead.

We must all work together as a community and if dogs are not allowed off lead at Madills or Glover Park then the wellbeing of law abiding dog owners is being compromised by small minded selfish thinking and this is totally unacceptable.

Leave the two parks as they are and let's all work together. Eastern Suburbs Soccer Club facilitates good wholesome sport. They're rallying to banning dogs from the park they share with the community (I.e. don't own) and reflects poorly on their leadership group and club in general.

There are 168 hours per week and soccer probably populates say 20 hours a week on the park. This is 11% of the available hours in a week.

It defies logic that a minority user can influence the majority like this.

It is selfish.

Ordinary people who walk their dogs regularly connect with other people in our community when they are out. Dogs bring people together. So many lonely people in our community over all age groups and we are potentially shutting down social contact points in a well used traditional public space.

This cannot be tolerated.

[redacted]

Proposed updates to the council's local park dog access rules

#3575

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, name, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Ōrākei

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

The public feedback form was separated into four sections:

1. Walking multiple dogs
2. General policy and bylaw matters (for example clarifying existing rules and reformatting to make the documents more user-friendly)
3. Changes to dog access rules at Regional Parks
4. Changes to dog access rules at local parks and beaches.

This submission only contains submission content for sections 4 (local park access).

4. Dog access rules at local parks and beaches

Submitters were able to select from a list of parks with proposed local dog access rules changes to provide feedback.

Feedback is only included for the parks chosen to respond to.

Q4 OR-A

Madills Farm

What do you think of this proposed change?

I do not support the proposed change

Tell us why

I walk my cavoodle at Madills Farm almost daily, it's such a lovely space for her to have a good run and a play off lead and as I live in St Heliers, it's one of the few places i can take her off lead at all times of the day (especially in summer!), I always pick up after her and we leave nothing behind, she's on the lead when there are sports being played and she loves to join the family when we picnic and have a play together..

Madills is such a lovely place to see everyone playing together, the kids get such a kick out of being able to throw a ball for my dog to chase after.

Given that it states in the description of the proposal: "Dogs provide companionship, emotional support and help foster social connections.

We make rules to keep dogs a positive part of the life of Aucklanders by maintaining opportunities for owners to take their dogs into public places" - why would the intent be to remove all the public areas without creating new spaces for families with dogs to get the exercise and interaction that they need and that everyone gets such benefit from?

Please keep the rules of Madills and Glover Park as they currently are, there are so few bad dog owners in this area that it's a shame to remove the lovely spaces that so many people get to enjoy with their dogs. It would become a big wasted space if it's only allowed to be used when sports are being played as no one would use it other than to walk their dogs when the fields do not have games going on. It would lose the lovely vibe it gets from everyone having a great time with their pups.

Do you have any further comments about dog access rules at local parks or beaches?

Please don't remove off lead parks on communities unless there is going to be another off lead area added - it seems you're basically discouraging families to have dogs?