
Local dog access rules 2025

Kaipātiki local parks

**Comments from the local board area separated from
those outside the local board area**

Date published: Monday, 7 April 2025

Local Board	Page #
Foreshore between Sulphur Beach Reserve and Gold Hole Reserve	
Kaipātiki	4
Outside Kaipātiki	7
Kauri Glen Reserve (tracks between the Kauri Glen Road, Raymond Terrace and Tui Glen Road entrances)	
Kaipātiki	9
Outside Kaipātiki	14
Kauri Park (Including unformed road)	
Kaipātiki	19
Outside Kaipātiki	23
Kauri Point Domain (from the end of Balmain Road to the junction with the track to Soldiers Bay)	
Kaipātiki	29
Outside Kaipātiki	40
Kukari Park	
Kaipātiki	45
Outside Kaipātiki	45
Leigh Scenic Reserve	
Kaipātiki	47
Outside Kaipātiki	47
Muriel Fisher Reserve	
Kaipātiki	52
Outside Kaipātiki	52

Soldiers Bay	
Kaipātiki	56
Outside Kaipātiki	63
Soldiers Bay Reserve	
Kaipātiki	67
Outside Kaipātiki	73
Søren G Christensen Reserve	
Kaipātiki	77
Outside Kaipātiki	77
Te Ara Awataha Greenway	
Kaipātiki	81
Outside Kaipātiki	81
54 Tonar Street	
Kaipātiki	84
Outside Kaipātiki	84

Sub #	Q4 KPT-A Sulphur Beach and Gold Hole Reserve	Q4 KPT-A Comment
KAIPĀTIKI LOCAL BOARD		
160	I support the proposed change	Seems good.
258	I do not support the proposed change	Every man and their dog can only use the main beach at kauri point to give their dogs off leash swim time meaning no one is able to give each other space. Not to mention the problems that come with 3 dogs leashed waiting their turn for a swim. Poor community's dogs. There are hardly any off leash swimming spots available all year around for your community.
511	I do not support the proposed change	Too many unruly dogs/owners
1380	I support the proposed change	As long as the dog on leash they can be allowed at all times all year during the day
1395	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs should be able to be walked in local areas that are suitable for this kind of thing. One vicious dog does not mean every dog needs to be punished. You should rather look at the uneducated aggressive owner of the vicious dog - its so simple yet you always blame the dog!
1654	Other (please explain below)	Dogs should have access to all areas when under control. Families and children should be able to go to the beach with there dogs!!
2086	I do not support the proposed change	Never had issues before, punishing everyone for a small handful of irresponsible owners isn't fair. The less our dogs are out in society the more harmful to their behavior moving forward
2420	I do not support the proposed change	on leash at all times.
2490	I support the proposed change	Any time dogs can be off leash while under control is valuable. Wish we had more spaces like this in the Kaipatiki area.
2511	I support the proposed change	Sounds great for dogs and their owners
2713	I do not support the proposed change	We should be allowed to have access to these places as well
2909	I support the proposed change	Dogs should be able to swim for their health.
4006	I support the proposed change	The key here is under control - often they are not
4787	I support the proposed change	We support the new proposal for an off-leash area. There are no ecological concerns from us at this location.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-A Sulphur Beach and Gold Hole Reserve	Q4 KPT-A Comment
5276	I do not support the proposed change	The kaipatiki board has proposed this becomes a dog beach. I would question whether any members from the kaipatiki board have visited this beach within the last 12 months (or more). This beach is not remotely suitable to be promoted as a dog beach. It is covered in oysters which poses enormous risk to dogs getting severely cut paws (this can even be catastrophic if for example an Achilles tendon is severed). Dogs paw pads being sliced by oysters can be a serious injury and lead to expensive vet bills (I speak from experience). The beach is also an absolute wasteland full of rubbish, broken beer bottles etc. I would not want to allow any dog or even people to visit this beach due to the risks of cuts and infections from all the trash and waste at this beach. Another reason I would not recommend this beach as remotely suitable as a proposed dog beach is its location and vicinity to the motorway. The noise is exceptionally loud and for some dogs the risk of being spooked would be high. For example the loud crashing sounds when a large truck drives over a bump, the sound of sirens when emergency vehicles are travelling on the motorway or harbour bridge, the sound of any crashes that occur. These sorts of noises would pose a very real risk of a dog becoming spooked and if they somehow made their way onto the motorway this could lead to absolute carnage of a dog running in front of vehicles moving at high speed. Which then leads to risks for the general public as well. The proposal of this beach being offered as a dog friendly beach while removing access to other beaches which currently allow dog access, shows the lack of any real in depth thought to these proposed changes. Today I visited Sulphur beach and I have taken countless photos of the rubbish, broken glass and oysters at that beach which make it unsafe for humans and dogs alike. It is a forgotten and neglected space with no redeeming qualities that would make it seem like a logical space to offer up as a new dog friendly beach.
5306	Other (please explain below)	It is covered in litter and rubbish. Who wants to go there with or without a dog. It is not safe
5326	I do not support the proposed change	Do not offer Sulphur Beach as a consolation to changes for other beaches. It is NOT a beach that is conducive to dog walking - glass, tires, debris, immense traffic noise.
5331	I support the proposed change	It is a tiny little beach under the harbour bridge that is not used by many. I do have H&S concerns though as this is often too polluted to swim at due to the Ponsonby sewage outflow almost directly across the harbour
5334	Other (please explain below)	Whilst I generally support more access, this beach is disgusting and not safe for humans or animals
5363	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs should not be allowed into public parks as they ***** all over the place and pose risks of injury/attack. If they are to be allowed, this should be on leash at ALL times... and no more than 1 per person.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-A Sulphur Beach and Gold Hole Reserve	Q4 KPT-A Comment
5449	Other (please explain below)	Generally speaking, all the changes proposed in every board area are far too restrictive. People with dogs are already prevented from going many of the places that other Aucklanders freely use. I have a very small back yard, not suitable for my young dog all the time. He is well trained off leash and there is no reason he should not be able to go these places. Added to this, my dog walker is extremely capable of managing a small pack. I feel for those people like me, reliant on dog walkers to help care for their dogs when they are at work, this places restrictions that means dogs will miss out. This will affect dogs mental and physical health, no doubt leading to anxious barking and anti social behaviour. Rather than the council deciding to restrict movements of dogs in a blanket fashion because of poor management by some owners and walkers, can you not introduce stricter rules / ways to report the poor ones so the rest of us can enjoy Auckland in peace?
5457	I do not support the proposed change	This beach is filthy and full of rubbish and not suitable
5559	I support the proposed change	I believe there should be more areas for dogs to be walked under control off leash.
5607	I do not support the proposed change	Not necessary
6216	Other (please explain below)	This area is not fit for purpose. It's a rubbish tip!
6453	I do not support the proposed change	While it may seem like a scenic spot, the bay is littered with oysters that pose a serious danger to your dog's paws. Before allowing Sulphur Bay to be listed as a dog-friendly area, I suggest that [REDACTED] (or any council member) visits the beach personally to see just how hazardous it is. Oysters may look harmless at first glance, but their jagged, razor-sharp shells can easily cut through a dog's paw pads. Even a minor cut can lead to serious complications. Saltwater exposure can cause the wounds to sting, making walking painful and stressful for dogs. If left untreated, cuts can become infected—especially in the bay's bacteria-filled environment. In severe cases, infections may require antibiotics or even veterinary surgery. Sulphur Bay isn't just home to a few scattered shells. The area is covered in clusters of oysters, creating a minefield for unsuspecting dogs and their owners. Even if your dog is careful, it only takes one wrong step for an injury to occur. Before considering Sulphur Bay as a potential off-leash area, I recommend John and any other decision-makers visit the beach themselves. Seeing the oyster clusters in person will highlight the potential danger and, hopefully, lead to a more informed decision to keep this location off any dog-friendly lists. When we advocate for dog-friendly spaces, safety must remain a top priority. Sulphur Bay may seem ideal on the surface, but its hidden dangers make it completely unsuitable for dogs.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-A Sulphur Beach and Gold Hole Reserve	Q4 KPT-A Comment
6793	Other (please explain below)	While changing to off-leash 24/7 at Sulphur Beach Reserve is supported to the extent it's better than nothing, it's a poor consolation prize for the reduction of other off-leash area. It's not a desirable location for walking dogs. The area is a thin strip next to a busy motorway with significant pollution. Noise, fumes and rubbish, along with oyster shells, make it not particularly safe or pleasant for dogs or their people. Additionally, Gold Hole Reserve is not directly connected to the beach, being some distance looping around the road to access.
OUTSIDE KAIPĀTIKI LOCAL BOARD		
304	I support the proposed change	Common Sense
523	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs should be allowed on all public areas under control at all times unless there is a non kauri die back conservation need
706	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs should be prohibited.
1358	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs should be allowed on leash in all park areas. Provision for off leash play should be made in all parks.
1637	I support the proposed change	Totally support the proposal, this is probably one of the very few places in Auckland, where this sensibly can happen
1854	Other (please explain below)	Same for all areas - we should have a section for owners and dogs to be off leash during summer.
2139	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs are family members it is already difficult to find activities you can do with your dog. Banning them from beaches and parks will be a step back as a society. In fact more and more people is opting for not having kids and having dogs instead. This will new proposed policy will definitely have a huge negative impact on the wellbeing of NZ dog owners. We should promote healthier, active lifestyle. What other alternatives we have as dog owners is the equivalent of banning kids in parks. that does not make sense.
2184	I do not support the proposed change	Keep current
2185	Other (please explain below)	Please provide as many opportunities as possible for on-lead walking.
2343	I do not support the proposed change	Responsible dog owners are already highly restricted on area and times if access. Increasing those limitations is unfair and discriminating. The majority should not be penalized for the minority who are not responsible dog owners.
3263	I do not support the proposed change	Please don't loosen restrictions, tighten them.
4489	I do not support the proposed change	I want less restrictions not more
4540	I do not support the proposed change	I still think dogs should be off leash at the bird sanctuary area because dogs are temperamental & all owners aren't perfect
4821	I do not support the proposed change	If dogs are under control there is no problem.
4879	I support the proposed change	Personal safety

Sub #	Q4 KPT-A Sulphur Beach and Gold Hole Reserve	Q4 KPT-A Comment
5023	I support the proposed change	It's important to create off-leash areas to people and their dogs as they won't feel like their spaces are being taken away by all these other changes and limitations.
5103	I do not support the proposed change	There is no reason for the change, it is an attack on dog owners
5260	I support the proposed change	Yay let them dogs be free!
5419	I support the proposed change	Fantastic
5522	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs under control have great owners, owners that have trust with their dog will only take them off leash. Stop taking places away from us, we already have a lack of options
5642	I support the proposed change	Socialization is a crucial aspect of responsible dog ownership, and off-leash areas play an essential role in this.
5661	I do not support the proposed change	Responsible dog owners should be able to walk their dogs here. Stop limiting the good owners and restricting them. It is not fair on them or the dogs.
5918	I do not support the proposed change	Current Rules clear.
6279	I do not support the proposed change	Sulphur Beach is absolutely inappropriate as a substitute for removing access to local beaches that currently allow dogs. It is right beside the busiest Motorway in Auckland, incredibly loud, and littered with rubbish, broken glass and Oyster shells among other litter. This makes it incredibly unsafe and unappealing to dog owners and dogs, as well as increasing the likelihood of injury to dogs and motorists if a dog gets spooked by the Motorway noise and bolts. If a dog manages to get onto the Motorway, it would inevitably lead to the very public death of the dog as well as a potential fatal or serious injury accident to motorists as well as traumatizing witnesses.
6441	I support the proposed change	more off-leash area
6447	I do not support the proposed change	Expand areas and times that dog owners can access with their companions
6478	I support the proposed change	There are very few places already where we can take our dogs; parks and waterways provide enrichment and socialization opportunities. Council should aim to increase the areas where dogs are allowed, instead of reducing them.
6544	I support the proposed change	One of the few changes in favour of dog owners
6940	I support the proposed change	This is not an area that children use much, so is good as an off-leash area

Sub #	Q4 KPT-B Kauri Glen Reserve	Q4 KPT-B Comment
KAIPĀTIKI LOCAL BOARD		
4	I support the proposed change	I am a runner: dogs off leash are a hazard when they appear from nowhere bounding from off the path. When off leash dog owners don't always know where needs to be cleared up after their dog fouls the path.
160	I do not support the proposed change	Reducing access for well behaved dogs is not a good idea. Penalise those people breaking the rules, don't add other unenforceable rules.
163	I do not support the proposed change	As long as dogs are under control it is fine to leave them as is and not change the rules. It is irresponsible dog owners who don't control badly behaved dogs and leave dog poo that should be fined. Don't let them spoil it for everyone.
172	I do not support the proposed change	This limits enjoyment by rate payers and unfairly limits dog owners ability to enjoy facilities
260	I support the proposed change	I only chose this reserve as an example. I think on-leash should be the rule in all city locations except for fenced or very well demarcated areas. Cities are for people. Dogs are guests and need to behave like guests.
298	I do not support the proposed change	Again. The people who have the dogs which cause issues do not follow rules. Stop penalising those who follow the rules with more restrictions!!!
335	I do not support the proposed change	Not many places that you can take your dog off leash so we need to keep this in place
359	I do not support the proposed change	There are no designated dog parks in Northcote, and with the proposed changes there would be no off-leash areas to walk in our area. Bigger dogs definitely need off-leash exercise. In any case, people shouldn't have to drive somewhere to walk their dogs, that's hardly sustainable, and remember that many people don't have access to a car or can't drive. Areas that have allowed off leash walking are becoming increasingly restricted and frankly, people who don't want to interact with dogs have very many more options of where they can walk versus where people can currently walk their dogs. Auckland Council should look at how well Wellington Council does with providing plenty of off-leash areas and the clear signage that is provided.
377	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs need safe places to exercise and socialise off lead
462	I support the proposed change	The amount of negligent dog owners is ridiculous and it's becoming an anxiety inducing task to walk the dog public. My dog has been attacked multiple times in public "on lead" places where dogs are off lead anyways so the lack of enforcement isn't going to make these new changes work but it's worth giving it a try. To make places to walk the dog safely on lead would be much appreciated for the safety of our dog and child.
516	I do not support the proposed change	The track is quite long and a great place for dogs to have freedom. Please put time restraints on access as opposed to a blanket rule
894	I do not support the proposed change	Unnecessary - one does not need to have a dog on a leash in order to have it under control. A responsible owner can prevent a dog which is **under control off-leash** from roaming off the track.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-B Kauri Glen Reserve	Q4 KPT-B Comment
1353	I do not support the proposed change	There are a million beaches around Auckland that people have access to, including nearby ones. There are few where dogs are allowed off leash and is a 'dog beach' its not exactly a nice swimming beach, and as long as there is adequate signage that should be sufficient.
1380	I don't know	Depends how trained the dogs are. This one is hard to say. Some dogs are good off leash some are not
1387	I support the proposed change	Good to protect kauri
1395	I do not support the proposed change	A dog loves to chase a ball or play at the beach. Again, its the owner not the dog! You get people who litter, leave glass, start fires but yet you don't ban people from the beach! Logic, simple!!
1587	I do not support the proposed change	I believe the current rules are sufficient and shouldn't be made stricter. Many of us already struggle to find spaces where dogs can enjoy off-leash fun. Tightening regulations would only make it more challenging and disappointing. As mentioned, dogs are like our kids. If stricter rules are necessary, then why not regulate children too? For example, keeping babies away from other creatures, restricting them from playgrounds, or even banning screaming and crying in public. It's about fairness and balance for everyone.
1654	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs should have access to all areas when under control. Families and children should be able to go to the beach with there dogs!!
1901	I do not support the proposed change	Please explain why you are making this change, what is the justification? There was also no map provided in appendix B for Kaipatiki area which makes it hard for people to picture the changes you are suggesting in our neighbourhoods. It looks as though every park in our area is becoming more restrictive, and our off leash areas are disappearing, This is an area with lots of families with dogs., There needs to be more balance in the changes,. you can make some places more on lead, but then need to provide loosening of restrictions elsewhere for more balance.
2068	I support the proposed change	Sensitive kauri in this area so makes sense to have dogs onleash
2074	I do not support the proposed change	Under control dogs should be able to explore off leash as it's better for their mental health and physical wellbeing.
2086	I do not support the proposed change	Never had issues before, punishing everyone for a small handful of irresponsible owners isn't fair. The less our dogs are out in society the more harmful to their behavior moving forward
2105	I do not support the proposed change	Ridiculous. Dogs can roam off lead with no risk. If we can clean our shoes before going in, we can clean our dogs paws.
2161	I do not support the proposed change	This is a popular local area for walking dogs away from large crowds of people where dogs can run off leash. With dog ownership becoming more popular reducing areas where dog owners can efficiency exercise there dogs does not make any sense.
2444	I do not support the proposed change	Some dogs behave better off leash. These are perfect areas for dogs to exercise without disturbing people.
2490	Other (please explain below)	The condition of the tracks must be priority, as long as dogs off leash, under control have enough locations to be enjoyed.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-B Kauri Glen Reserve	Q4 KPT-B Comment
2511	I do not support the proposed change	I don't believe kauri die back has been spread by dogs walking off track. If this rule comes into force, will it be reviewed once kauri die back is under control?
2713	I do not support the proposed change	We should be allowed to have access to these places as well
2994	I support the proposed change	Given how busy this area now is with the 'treetops' walk I have been walking my dog in a leash here for some time. The proposed change makes sense
3159	I do not support the proposed change	I don't see any kauri anywhere near these paths. I have a 14 year old dog & 2 year old dog, one walks behind me the other ahead (both within view and recall but their different ages/abilities prevents me walking them onlead together which is why we enjoy the bush walks) you are proposing to take that away when there are not even any kauri near these tracks. It sounds like the people setting these rules have never walked dogs in these places let alone actually have their own dogs. Instead of always finding ways to make it harder to be a dog owner how about allowing the enjoyment and companionship animals bring, they get people out in the fresh air walking & enjoying nature. It's not uncommon for someone to have an elderly and younger dog at the same time as we are already planning ahead for when our heart is broken with our older dog passing.... I suggest anyone that makes these rules should actually be a dog owner so they understand and also be familiar with the walks.
3303	I do not support the proposed change	Why would you restrict dogs when cars are roaming and killing throughout the night? This is inconsistent for pet owners as cats continue to roam, and the kauri dieback specialist told me me transfer from pets is unlikely. Otherwise ban cats in the reserve too, except onleash
3876	I support the proposed change	Very busy narrow pathway and bridge on the walkway specified through these 3 entrances/exits. Respect for all users. Other pathways within the Kauri Glen Reserve should remain off leash under control.
3913	I support the proposed change	We strongly support requiring dogs to be on-leash at all times throughout both Kauri Glen and Cecil Eady Reserves. As daily walkers, we've seen dogs roaming well off-track and out of their owners' sight, posing a serious threat of spreading kauri dieback to vulnerable trees. Because people treat these two areas as one continuous space, an on-leash rule needs to apply consistently across both reserves. This change will also reduce nuisance caused by free-roaming dogs, ensuring a safer experience for everyone using the bush tracks.
4006	I do not support the proposed change	I dont believe any dogs should be allowed in Kauri Glenn during the peak hours of the day - evening and first thing in the morning would be ok - it is an area of natural beauty that is heavily utilised since the opening of the new bridge. Some of the paths are narrow and not suitable for dogs along with children, runners and walkers.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-B Kauri Glen Reserve	Q4 KPT-B Comment
4055	I support the proposed change	I live next to this park and and see many dogs off lead running off the track. Considering we have invested so much money in these tracks with wash stations it's completely logical to mandate that dogs need to be on a leash to help prevent the desese but to also make it more pleasant to walk safely on the raised boardwalk. Thsnks
4149	I support the proposed change	Everything practicable should be done to protect kauri.
4167	I don't know	If the dog is truly under control off leash there should be no difference in these two rules. It seems the issue is more than people have their "not under control" off leash dogs on the track
4592	I do not support the proposed change	The proposed changes are adding unnecessary restrictions
4746	Other (please explain below)	Complete ban. People cannot be trusted.
4768	I support the proposed change	This track is now much more popular and much more elderly and child friend with many more dogs being walked than before the bridge was built. A lot of people with dogs that are off leash seem deluded about how much control they actually have.
4787	Other (please explain below)	In the Statement of Proposal, the newly upgraded tracks of Kauri Glen Reserve (between Kauri Glen Road, Raymond Terrace and Tui Glen Road) is proposed to become an on-leash at all times, while the rest of the reserve remains an off-leash area. We would urge the board to consider extending the proposed on leash requirements to include the entire reserve. The track that runs from the upgraded track down through the reserve and into the Cecil Eady Bush, has numerous kauri trees as well as a vulnerable wetland habitat that contains the nationally threatened species Swamp Maire. This track is also not at dry foot standard, making it even more essential that dogs do not run off path. We have observed the effects of dogs off-leash and off track running through the swamp. Dogs will appear minutes before their owners and jump off the path and into the swamp, destabilising the banks and increasing wetland disturbance. We request that the entire Kauri Glen Reserve & Cecil Eady is designated as on-leash at all times ONLY.
5313	I do not support the proposed change	This is ridiculous. I walk my dog on this path most days and see many pets. They never go off the track. I do not think amendments need to be made here. This is a safe and controlled environment
5332	I do not support the proposed change	There is ample walk way to beach that is away from wildlife. Dogs to be able to be off leash, under control and kept to pathways.
5334	I support the proposed change	Kauri should be protected
5357	I support the proposed change	Narrow track, stops dogs jumping off.
5363	I support the proposed change	Dogs should not be allowed into parks as they ***** all over the place and pose risks of injury/attack. If they are to be allowed, this should be on leash at ALL times... and no more than 1 per person.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-B Kauri Glen Reserve	Q4 KPT-B Comment
5388	I do not support the proposed change	These are key areas for responsible owners to give their dog social access off leash.
5393	I do not support the proposed change	How much is this really affected? There is no real evidence this is an issue to the proportion as you state it is.
5449	I support the proposed change	Agree with kauri management plans
5504	I do not support the proposed change	Always support control off leash.
5559	I do not support the proposed change	There are not enough off leash areas available to be able to allow dogs to be able to run freely and play with other well socialised dogs.
5568	I do not support the proposed change	If a dog is on a leash or under control no further restrictions are necessary. Don't punish good dog owners. We have as much rights to use our public spaces as everyone else.
5600	I support the proposed change	Narrow paths and lots of bush, dogs should be on a leash to ensure safety of the wildlife and people walk/running on the tracks
5700	I do not support the proposed change	Because auckland is already a very unfriendly city for dogs. Lets not make it worse
5897	I do not support the proposed change	Where is the science to show this affects kauri dieback?
6032	I do not support the proposed change	Well controlled dogs on leash should be allowed here - tell me why they arent
6160	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs need off leash time in open outside areas for their mental health.
6247	I do not support the proposed change	There aren't many off leash options in the area so it would be a shame to lose this walking area
6376	I support the proposed change	Paths are narrow and off leash dogs makes me uncomfortable. But i don't have enough space to move away from them.
6413	I do not support the proposed change	Ask owners to keep dogs on path
6467	I support the proposed change	Generally in favour of protecting kauri however I support the change as i think dogs should generally be on a leash in shared walkways that are narrow due to dogs being allowed to wonder out of sight of owners and not being well socialised .
6584	I do not support the proposed change	Being able to let dogs walk off a leash is essential to their well being. They need to sniff and socialise. They cannot get the range of exercise they need if they are only walked off leash. While I have checked several examples of dog walking areas we use regularly, I think the overall objective for Auckland needs to be to be a dog friendly city. This is the trend worldwide as dogs become more accepted in pubs, bars and cafes. If a very small number of menacing dogs are ruining access for others, the answer is to take a harder line with menacing dogs, not harm all Auckland's dogs by restricting their access to the exercise they need.
6709	I support the proposed change	If it's better for the environment then yes

Sub #	Q4 KPT-B Kauri Glen Reserve	Q4 KPT-B Comment
6793	I support the proposed change	The proposed changes for Kauri Glen are unclear, but I presume they're related to the Skypath project. I often leash my dog through the bridge section due to the high foot traffic, so I can see why restrictions might be appropriate there. However, I would prefer the restrictions apply only to the bridge and its approaches.
6796	I do not support the proposed change	I highly doubt not all humans scrub and wash/spray their footwear at the cleaning stations when they enter and exit these particular bushes. People can also enter these bushes from other entrances/gaps/tracks in the bushes where there are no cleaning stations. I have witnessed both these scenarios. With this reason in mind, why specifically punish and solely put the focus on dogs who enter the bushes?! Where people don't respect the washing rules either to help reduce kauri dieback.
OUTSIDE KAIPĀTIKI LOCAL BOARD		
144	I do not support the proposed change	Set up a cleaning station if you must but do not prevent it from off leash. Dogs are more unlikely to spread the disease than humans are
177	I do not support the proposed change	Off leash areas are required to enabled dog owners to walk while their dogs explore (within recall) and this also enabled better dog socialisation. Requiring dog owners to walk separately than their dogs impacts economic productivity (when a second walk is required) or wellbeing of residents (when a second walk for owners cannot be accommodated). Time based restrictions enable all users of an area to be accommodated.
179	I support the proposed change	Dogs should be on a leash in this area. Off leash dogs essentially hate just running everywhere.
239	I do not support the proposed change	High energy dogs need off leash parks to play fetch to exercise and release tension in their stressful modern lives. A well exercised dog is calm and obedient. You can't achieve the level of exercise required on leash for a high energy dog.
304	I support the proposed change	Common Sense
523	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs should be allowed on all publics areas under control at all times unless there is a non kauri die back conservation need
525	I don't know	Is there research and data to prove that dogs are harming the trees more than humans? Should there be a stricter management of shoe cleaning for humans instead?
560	I do not support the proposed change	The pigs are the vectors for this. If you have proof it is dogs then ok
606	I do not support the proposed change	There needs to be more off leash areas in the city.
643	I do not support the proposed change	This is a lovely area to let dogs off leash and it would be sad to see that change. It is so accessible for many city dwellers seeking a bigger space for their dogs to run.
663	I do not support the proposed change	Issue could be addressed by education first ie signs to keep dogs on tracks. Off leash dogs are already required to be under control.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-B Kauri Glen Reserve	Q4 KPT-B Comment
664	I support the proposed change	Too many dogs run about and run up to you when visiting parks, they should be on a leash at all times unless visiting a specific dog park. In addition to making those who don't like dogs feel safer, it enables owners to know where their dog has pooped and to clean up after them.
777	I support the proposed change	I support preventing further kauri die back in these parks.
835	I do not support the proposed change	This is too restrictive. Humans also go off track and often don't clean their shoes. You could make it compulsory for dogs feet to be cleaned before and after walking
1248	I support the proposed change	On leash is fair. Better for children, people scared of dogs, safer for walking.
1358	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs should be allowed on leash in all park areas. Provision for off leash play should be made in all parks.
1379	I do not support the proposed change	More dogs in Auckland and your solution is to restrict where and when they can go places, removing areas of much needed off leash areas and giving nothing in return. This makes absolutely no sense.
1477	I do not support the proposed change	Having the ability to walk your dog off leash is one of the benefits of living in Auckland.
1854	Other (please explain below)	Same for all areas - we should have a section for owners and dogs to be off leash during summer.
1914	I do not support the proposed change	Clarify in the park rules that dogs feet must also be washed up entry and exit of the park. Same as people
1985	I support the proposed change	Allows dog owners to enjoy the park but also accounts for other users who wish to enjoy it without dogs present
2010	I do not support the proposed change	This is a great reserve to run dogs off lead.
2085	I support the proposed change	A lot of people at Kauri glen walkway. At Kauri point the land is fragile and can easily erode and add silt to the harbour if dogs run all over the hillside, at Big Oneroa beach a lot of children use the beach and roaming dogs are a hazard.
2123	Other (please explain below)	Responsible dog owners should have access to good, protected off lead areas to encourage socialisation, and exercise. Sniffing is an important process for dogs. Our household has a senior large breed who enjoys the wonderful walks in the local North Shore Area. During the summer months, even though we live close we avoid the beaches to allow others access while we prefer nature trails. Rules should be merit based with facts, i.e how many instances for the Kauri Glen track have animal control been notified during a 12/24 month period? What harm to humans and other animals. The great outdoors should be able to be enjoyed by well behaved humans and pets
2139	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs are family members it is already difficult to find activities you can do with your dog. Banning them from beaches and parks will be a step back as a society. In fact more and more people is opting for not having kids and having dogs instead. This new proposed policy will definitely have a huge negative impact on the wellbeing of NZ dog owners. We should promote healthier, active lifestyle. What other alternatives we have as dog owners is the equivalent of banning kids in parks. that does not make sense.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-B Kauri Glen Reserve	Q4 KPT-B Comment
2183	I do not support the proposed change	We share this land - with all living things. We cannot prohibit access because it might cause issue with a few biased individuals. This doesn't solve an issue it creates disconnect and segregation between demographics. Focus on educating dog owners as well as non- dog owners and simplifying regulations. If people get used to dogs everywhere, the less of an issue it will become. Dogs bring joy to peoples' lives. Stop trying to take away their rights to play. That's all they ask...we have people that treat them horrifically, people who hit them, beat them and try to kill them and yet they stay loyal to their owners and only ever ask for love and a good walk in return. Just let them be part of the community.
2184	I do not support the proposed change	Grr
2185	Other (please explain below)	Please provide as many opportunities as possible for on-lead walking.
2245	I do not support the proposed change	Beautiful area and beloved by dog owners this doesn't help anyone e
2343	I do not support the proposed change	Responsible dog owners are already highly restricted on area and times if access. Increasing those limitations is unfair and discriminating. The majority should not be penalized for the minority who are not responsible dog owners.
2419	I do not support the proposed change	no i do not support the proposed change. dogs need local places to relax and enjoy the outdoors without restrictions.
2488	I do not support the proposed change	It's already to minum the parks and areas that you currently can walk with your dog, and to keep a healthy and balanced dog at least the dog need to be walked once a day.
2625	I do not support the proposed change	There are so few off leash parks in Auckland as it is.
2692	I do not support the proposed change	No limitations for responsible owners
3297	I do not support the proposed change	We brought in the Mission Bay Area 9 years ago and have enjoyed the great off leash areas at Kohimarama beach and Madils park since there are many well behaved and under control Dogs in this area. There are a few people On both sides that spoil it. The rules have been made stricter against dogs on all beaches and now taking away Madils Reserve there will be no off leash dog areas during summer period more than 6months in the area. We as dog owners need places to exercise our dogs ie fully enclosed parks like meola reef. Before the current places are taken away. We appreciate your assistance with giving our dogs the ability to run and exercise, this will ensure dogs will not be a nuisance to the neighbourhood.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-B Kauri Glen Reserve	Q4 KPT-B Comment
3708	I support the proposed change	As an owner of a smaller breed (mini poodle) we have been intimidated and threatened by large breeds and/or owners who have unleashed dogs. For safety, myself and other small breed owners believe this is the best action.
3824	I do not support the proposed change	It is a great place to exercise your dog off lead.
4013	I do not support the proposed change	There is no reason to change the rules. Off leach should continue
4146	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs being off leash works great at the moment, no need to change it.
4387	I do not support the proposed change	Again a blanket rule, the rules at the moment work perfectly well.
4462	I support the proposed change	To protect kauri
4489	I do not support the proposed change	I assume you've made a typo above and that you're changing from under-control off a leash to under control on a leash. I want less restrictions not more so do not support this.
4764	I do not support the proposed change	there are few options for safe off leash dog exercise areas
4821	I do not support the proposed change	If dogs are under control there is no problem.
4876	I do not support the proposed change	This is a great off leash dog walking area. The dogs get to have a really good run in a cool sheltered environment
4879	I support the proposed change	Personal safety
4888	I support the proposed change	Needs better control
4896	I do not support the proposed change	The Kauri aren't dying, and the habitat is not affected by dogs.
4944	I do not support the proposed change	Why do you want to restrict our dogs more. Please restrict kids or homeless people instead
4947	I do not support the proposed change	This is reducing the amount of space available for off leash/on leash walking. I do not support this.
4950	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs should be allowed to be walked on all beaches, at all times provided they are on leash and controlled.
5016	I do not support the proposed change	I also support continuing to allow dogs in public spaces like dog parks and beaches- there are limited places available for dogs to be at and to take these away would be a travesty. These are safe spaces for me with my dog.
5023	I support the proposed change	I think it's important to protect Kauri trees, so I agree with the changes.
5103	I do not support the proposed change	There is no reason for the change, it is an attack on dog owners
5137	I support the proposed change	I would be happy to keep my dogs on a leash if it helps protect kauri trees
5260	I do not support the proposed change	Auckland is already so restrictive to dogs, give us and them a break. Stop with all the restrictions, its madness. Please. Look amd learn from the south island.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-B Kauri Glen Reserve	Q4 KPT-B Comment
5419	I do not support the proposed change	Stop taking away off-leash areas
5465	I do not support the proposed change	I do not believe there is evidence that dogs spread Kauri dieback disease, and if there is, it could be overcome by having spray at track entrances as for humans
5522	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs under control have great owners, owners that have trust with their dog will only take them off leash. Stop taking places away from us, we already have a lack of options
5642	I support the proposed change	I fully support the protection of kauri forest and believe the proposed change is a reasonable step toward achieving this goal.
5661	I do not support the proposed change	Responsible dog owners should be able to walk their dogs here. Stop limiting the good owners and restricting them. It is not fair on them or the dogs.
5703	I do not support the proposed change	There is a need for bush access in all areas of North Shore for our dogs to access
5787	I support the proposed change	protection of native trees and wildlife
5918	I do not support the proposed change	Current Rules clear.
6345	I do not support the proposed change	This location provides a much needed and used local off leash area with shade.
6441	I do not support the proposed change	Reducing off leash areas is not in line with the objectives - certainly not for the welfare of the dogs
6445	I support the proposed change	There are a lot of people that use these narrow paths. not all are comfortable with dogs.
6447	I do not support the proposed change	Expand areas and times that dog owners can access with their companions
6478	I do not support the proposed change	There are very few places already where we can take our dogs; parks and waterways provide enrichment and socialization opportunities. Council should aim to increase the areas where dogs are allowed, instead of reducing them.
6544	I do not support the proposed change	I support the change in favour of losing full access but do not believe dogs are a bigger issue than say roaming cats.
6682	I do not support the proposed change	The proposed change makes the area less accessible for dogs and dog owners. There are only so many off-leash areas in Auckland that dog owners can take their dogs to exercise, and further limiting these areas will only put more strain on the few that remain.
6724	I do not support the proposed change	Restricting off leash areas are just going to cause more problems for our dogs and owners
6783	I support the proposed change	This is a lovely, newly refurbished track that is easy to enjoy with your dog on leash.
6863	I support the proposed change	Protection of native trees, and wildlife
6940	I support the proposed change	More importantly than Kauri Die-back, this rule helps to protect children and other vulnerable people on these tracks.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-C Kauri Park	Q4 KPT-C Comment
KAIPĀTIKI LOCAL BOARD		
160	I do not support the proposed change	Reducing access for well behaved dogs is not a good idea. Penalise those people breaking the rules, don't add other unenforceable rules.
163		don't want changes - just people who let their own dogs run around without supervision or don't control badly behaved dogs or who leave dog poo to be fined. Don't let them spoil it for everyone else with dogs/
220	I do not support the proposed change	The only people that go there are the dog walkers. This seems like an attempt to prevent people from having pets. Doesn't seem like anyone who decided this lives in the area. Oh you're saving the natural wildlife? How about you take down the unfinished skyscraper and turn that into a park for wildlife? No? Because it's in the city? You're council could put more money towards tiritiri ma tangi instead. Wouldn't that help wildlife? Who is getting the bonuses? If this was decided with any intelligent research you'd find the frequency of cats responsible for murder of wildlife far greater than the average dog Can't you help the homeless rather than finding ways to make more money handing out fines for you bonuses? Is there going to be an alternative dog park available for people to walk their dogs? I don't think there is much point creating a new area to be used for pets and animals when there is one there already.
258	I do not support the proposed change	On Leash at all times should be sufficient
298	I do not support the proposed change	Leave as is. As my previous comments and as a rate payer, I want to use the facilities for the purpose of walking my dogs. I am a responsible dog owner
395	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs have limited spaces already remove the people that aren't educated to be around dogs
516	I do not support the proposed change	If this is due to a disease, fix that. Don't change the law
572	I do not support the proposed change	It's the people that cause the damage . This is a ridiculous proposal. On leash at all time should be the standard & stay on the path . Penalties for HUMANS that do not comply
1353	I do not support the proposed change	When the park is reinstated the rule should continue as it was.
1380	I do not support the proposed change	On leash will be fine so it doesn't affect kauri die back
1387	I do not support the proposed change	Great place to walk dogs
1395	I do not support the proposed change	Have you not got more urgent matters that need attending to then over control of dogs?

Sub #	Q4 KPT-C Kauri Park	Q4 KPT-C Comment
1587	I do not support the proposed change	Turning off-leash areas into prohibited zones requires an exceptionally valid reason; otherwise, it feels unjustifiable. Most areas are already on-leash or entirely off-limits to dogs. What exactly are dog owners supposed to do? Keep their dogs confined at home all the time? Dogs need to run and play freely, not just walk on a leash every single time. To those making such strict rules: would you feel comfortable being on a leash, held by someone every time you walked in a park? I would love to walk you. It's about fairness and understanding the needs of all living beings, including our furry family members.
1654	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs should have access to all areas when under control. Families and children should be able to go to the beach with there dogs!!
2013	I do not support the proposed change	I really feel like this is a waste of time - especially in a park within such a built up area and so many other factors influence Kauri dieback. Especially with the amount of cats, rodents, birds that go in and out of these reserves. This takes away a nice place for responsible dog owners to take their dogs walking that isn't a residential road.
2066	Other (please explain below)	You cant access anyway
2074	I do not support the proposed change	Allowing under control dogs off leash is better for their mental health and physical wellbeing.
2086	I do not support the proposed change	Never had issues before, punishing everyone for a small handful of irresponsible owners isn't fair. The less our dogs are out in society the more harmful to their behavior moving forward
2105	I do not support the proposed change	If it is closed, then why do you need to change it as no one can access it. This is just a move to prohibit dogs when it eventually reopens and it is unreasonable. This needs to be left until the park is re-opened and visited then.
2161	I do not support the proposed change	This is a popular local area for walking dogs away from large crowds of people where dogs can run off leash. With dog ownership becoming more popular reducing areas where dog owners can efficiency exercise there dogs does not make any sense.
2490	I do not support the proposed change	Kauri Park is a very popular location for dog walkers of all kinds. This particular location provides the space for many many groups to enjoy at the same time.
2511	I don't know	If this rule comes into force, will it be subsequently reviewed once kauri die back is under control
2583	I do not support the proposed change	This is an area used by many dog owners and new rules will restrict dogs off leash without any clear benefit to community.
2713	I do not support the proposed change	We should be allowed to have access to these places as well
2909	I do not support the proposed change	I do nit believe Kauri die back is enhanced by dogs
2994	Other (please explain below)	Please amend this rule as soon as the park area is opened back up to the public

Sub #	Q4 KPT-C Kauri Park	Q4 KPT-C Comment
3146	I support the proposed change	Ok but please reinstate when it is open.
3159	I do not support the proposed change	Same reason... as my last response
3286	I do not support the proposed change	Include more dog access but on leash. Removing access does not help owners who are looking to enjoy walks responsibly
3303	Other (please explain below)	Why would you restrict dogs when cars are roaming and killing throughout the night? This is inconsistent for pet owners as cats continue to roam, and the kauri dieback specialist told me me transfer from pets is unlikely. Otherwise ban cats in the reserve too, except onleash
3748	I do not support the proposed change	No need to change the rule because the park is closed.
4167	I don't know	If it's already closed what's the difference. Waste of money on this one
4592	I do not support the proposed change	The proposed changes are adding unnecessary restrictions
4659	I do not support the proposed change	Auckland already has a limited number of off-leash areas, and restricting these further will only exacerbate the problem. With fewer spaces for dogs to roam freely, owners will be forced into more crowded, often less suitable environments, undermining the very purpose of off-leash parks. The joy of seeing a dog run freely and interact with others in a safe environment is a shared experience that both owners and dogs cherish. Denying this opportunity through an increase in on-leash only areas erodes not just the health of our pets but the quality of life for Auckland's dog owners as well. By reducing the availability of off-leash areas, we are not just impacting the dogs, but also the community. We are taking away a place where people can come together, interact, and enjoy a sense of community while watching their dogs play. This is a service that contributes to a well-rounded, active lifestyle and fosters positive social interactions among dog owners. It's essential that the council takes this into account and ensures that off-leash areas are preserved, not restricted, for the benefit of both dogs and their owners.
4741	I do not support the proposed change	It is unbelievable that you would consider banning dogs from this area. I like many other locals regularly walk my dog here and have never observed any issues, it's very popular with dog walkers and I seldom see anyone who is not walking a dog there. I
4787	I support the proposed change	We support the complete exclusion of dogs from Kauri Park, to reflect the closed status of this reserves.
4806	I do not support the proposed change	This is not a large kauri area despite the name, it is mostly pine and other areas like kauri glen are well protected. Having a large off-leash area encourages people to come here, and not go to places that have on-leash rules, so even less risk of contamination if dogs are only visiting off-leash areas.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-C Kauri Park	Q4 KPT-C Comment
5276	I do not support the proposed change	I would question whether any members of council or the kaipatiki board have actually visited Sulphur Beach in the past 12 months (or longer). This beach is not remotely suitable as a beach for humans, let alone dogs! It is a polluted wasteland, with broken glass everywhere, sharp oysters and copious amounts of rubbish everywhere. The risk of dogs having their paws sliced open from the oysters and broken glass could lead to very expensive vet bills. The risk of infection to both humans and dogs would not be small. There are also the risks associated with it being a beach right beside the harbour bridge and motorway. For example the noise is very loud, so if a dog was prone to anxiety, they could easily be spooked by the extremely loud noises. For example when large trucks go over a bump, the general roar of the traffic, the sound of sirens when emergency vehicles are attending accidents etc. Then there is the risk of a dog bolting in fear and if they managed to get onto the motorway the ensuing carnage that would follow could be catastrophic and even fatal to drivers. I cannot remotely see the logic of this beach being offered up as some sort of compensation when they are proposing to remove dog access from other beaches on the north shore which currently do allow dog access. In a very quick walk at Sulphur beach this morning, this was just SOME of the rubbish that is littered all over the beach. I cannot see how anyone would make an educated suggestion of this beach being an appropriate place for people to visit or take their dogs to. I also find it hard to understand why dog owners should be persecuted and not allowed to visit nice beaches just like those people who don't have dogs.
5306	I do not support the proposed change	Surely on leash is sufficient
5311	I do not support the proposed change	You are limiting places that families can take their dogs, this is unfair and cruel to animals meaning they will be kept indoors more creating tension with families as dogs need to leave the house which could lead to abuse on dogs due to behavioral problems and more dogs escaping. Why do you feel to punish families with dogs
5317	I do not support the proposed change	Allow dogs controlled on a leash
5331	I do not support the proposed change	These areas are essential for dog walking and MUST remain available for this purpose
5332	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs to be able to be off leash under control and to pathways only. Wide life areas to be taped off
5334	I support the proposed change	Kauri should be protected
5379	Other (please explain below)	I support prohibiting only while Kauri Dieback disease is a problem. I would think on leash in some areas would be okay though.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-C Kauri Park	Q4 KPT-C Comment
5388	I do not support the proposed change	Why can't you move to on leash rather than prohibited.
5393	I do not support the proposed change	This is not a high risk area as you state.
5402	I do not support the proposed change	All other parks in the area have had tracks updated, boardwalks constructed and remain open to dog walking. Do the same here.
5449	I support the proposed change	Agree with kauri management plans
5455	I do not support the proposed change	Every dog owner knows it's off leach and it's not a family beach any more after storms messed it up
5559	I do not support the proposed change	I believe dogs should be allowed but on leash if kauri dieback is an issue here
5568	I do not support the proposed change	There is zero evidence that dogs spread kauri die back. People do. Not dogs.
5600	I support the proposed change	If it's closed, no one should be there
5656	Other (please explain below)	Change to dogs allowed but ON leash
5841	I do not support the proposed change	There are such few areas where dogs are allowed off leash and you need to be reasonable with having some that the community can use
6032	I do not support the proposed change	Once the Kauri dieback is fixed the old rules should stay in place
6160	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs do not promote Kauri Die Back.
6216	I support the proposed change	The park should be closed to humans and dogs.
6316	I do not support the proposed change	I don't think the rules will be changes when the park reopens. I don't support the change.
6400	Other (please explain below)	My preference would be for a change to "under control, in-leash at all times".
6413	I do not support the proposed change	Ask owners to keep dogs on path
6467	I do not support the proposed change	Rather dogs on leash. People are going to take their dogs regardless of a ban due to the lack of enforcement.
6584	I do not support the proposed change	Any decision like this needs to be based on science - what evidence is there that dogs are actually spreading kauri die back? When we walk our dogs, they stick to the track. I would suggest a solution where dogs are allowed on a leash is preferable to a ban but that any such action needs to be time limited and reviewed in 18-24 months.
6709	I support the proposed change	If it's already closed then yeah
6793	I support the proposed change	It's closed so no option. Would be nice to get tracks reopened

Sub #	Q4 KPT-C Kauri Park	Q4 KPT-C Comment
144	Other (please explain below)	If kauri die back stops, it would be great if it was opened again.
177	Other (please explain below)	Acceptance conditional on the conservation imperative. As long as it doesn't become a permanent change
231	Other (please explain below)	When this park reopens and the track is upgraded to protect kauri, dogs could be allowed under control on lead, if the track is not upgraded then dogs should be banned
239	I do not support the proposed change	Keep it closed for both humans and dogs then. Don't ban just the dogs.
304	I do not support the proposed change	Under Control or on leash should be allowed.
523	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs should be allowed on all public areas under control at all times unless there is a non kauri die back conservation need
643	I support the proposed change	If no-one can access the park, this makes sense. However, it should be reviewed once access to the park is granted.
664	I support the proposed change	Off Leash means dogs can accidentally spread Kauri dieback, they should be prohibited and at such time when tracks are fine to use again, be on leash only.
689	Other (please explain below)	I support as it is proposed for a very valid reason, but it should be reviewed if kauri dieback manages to be eradicated (admittedly a long shot!)
777	I support the proposed change	I support reducing kauri die back in this park.
849	I support the proposed change	There is kauri die back that needs to be dealt with, therefore support no dogs at all in this area.
1358	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs should be allowed on leash in all park areas. Provision for off leash play should be made in all parks.
1379	I do not support the proposed change	More dogs in Auckland and your solution is to restrict where and when they can go places, removing areas of much needed off leash areas and giving nothing in return. This makes absolutely no sense.
1477	I do not support the proposed change	If we can wash our shoes and access these walks then surely something can be arranged for animals to wash their feet. It wouldn't be hard.
1854	Other (please explain below)	Same for all areas - we should have a section for owners and dogs to be off leash during summer.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-C Kauri Park	Q4 KPT-C Comment
1875	I do not support the proposed change	Dear council Change it to on lead option I am writing to urge you not to ban dogs from our beloved regional parks, beaches, and public spaces. As a vibrant part of our community, these natural areas should be open to everyone, including responsible dog owners, who are an integral part of our society. Why Dogs in Parks Matter: 1. Health and Well-being: Dogs are not only wonderful companions, but studies have shown that walking with dogs encourages more physical activity, which can help combat the rising rates of sedentary lifestyles and chronic diseases. These outings promote better mental health, lower stress levels, and a deeper connection to nature—benefits that extend to the whole family. 2. Economic Impact: Dogs help generate revenue for our parks. Local businesses benefit from dog owners spending on pet-related products, pet services, and park fees. Tourism also sees a positive impact as people often choose destinations where they can bring their dogs, boosting the local economy and increasing the sense of community. 3. Social Responsibility: Responsible dog ownership is a core part of being a good community member. Many dog owners are highly committed to respecting public spaces—cleaning up after their pets, keeping dogs on leashes when required, and ensuring their pets do not disrupt others. Banning dogs punishes those who are mindful and courteous, while the real challenge lies in enforcing responsible behaviour. Ensure you are fining and implementing consequences for those who
1910	I do not support the proposed change	This has been an off leash dog park. It is one of the only areas in Auckland where dogs are free to be off lead at ALL TIMES! This is completely not fair to responsible dog owners.
1914	I do not support the proposed change	Clarify that dog paws must be washed same as peoples feet for entry to park
2010	I do not support the proposed change	When this park is reopened please reinstate current policy rules to include dogs.
2139	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs are family members it is already difficult to find activities you can do with your dog. Banning them from beaches and parks will be a step back as a society. In fact more and more people is opting for not having kids and having dogs instead. This will new proposed policy will definitively have a huge negative impact on the wellbeing of NZ dog owners. We should promote healthier, active lifestyle. What other alternatives we have as dog owners is the equivalent of banning kids in parks. that does not make sense.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-C Kauri Park	Q4 KPT-C Comment
2183	I do not support the proposed change	We share this land - with all living things. We cannot prohibit access because it might cause issue with a few biased individuals. This doesn't solve an issue it creates disconnect and segregation between demographics. Focus on educating dog owners as well as non- dog owners and simplifying regulations. If people get used to dogs everywhere, the less of an issue it will become. Dogs bring joy to peoples' lives. Stop trying to take away their rights to play. That's all they ask...we have people that treat them horrifically, people who hit them, beat them and try to kill them and yet they stay loyal to their owners and only ever ask for love and a good walk in return. Just let them be part of the community.
2184	I do not support the proposed change	Hmm
2185	Other (please explain below)	Please provide as many opportunities as possible for on-lead walking.
2232	I do not support the proposed change	No that's dumb, I have done this walk heaps and it should be a on leash at all times
2245	I do not support the proposed change	Beloved area by whanau and dog owners stop trying to change it
2255	I do not support the proposed change	I don't mind on-leash only to protect the Kauris
2343	I do not support the proposed change	Responsible dog owners are already highly restricted on area and times if access. Increasing those limitations is unfair and discriminating. The majority should not be penalized for the minority who are not responsible dog owners.
2488	I do not support the proposed change	It's already to minum the parks and areas that you currently can walk with your dog, and to keep a healthy and balanced dog at least the dog need to be walked once a day.
2550	I do not support the proposed change	T
2692	I do not support the proposed change	Unfair for responsible dog owners
2837	I do not support the proposed change	If the park is closed it is closed. No point in changing a law that is subject to be changed in the future if the park reopens.
3824	I do not support the proposed change	It is too much of a radical change
4141	I do not support the proposed change	I'm not convinced Kauri Dieback is spread by dogs(scientific evidence?) but if a park is closed because of Kauri Dieback then there is no need to amend the dog rules for that park and prohibit dogs as no people will be going there anyway!
4146	I do not support the proposed change	Is human shoes that spread Kauri disease, not animal paws.
4387	I do not support the proposed change	Totally unfair, dogs love the beach and the existing hours are already restrictive. Leave it as it is then we can all share our beaches. Dogs get left at home often enough, to go to the beach after working hours is good for all concerned, humans and dogs alike.
4477	I do not support the proposed change	It is already closed, so once under control this area could be opened and the rule in places now would take affect

Sub #	Q4 KPT-C Kauri Park	Q4 KPT-C Comment
4489	I do not support the proposed change	I want less restrictions not more
4513	I do not support the proposed change	On leash should be sufficient, once it re-opens. It's closed currently so no one should be on it anyway.
4764	I do not support the proposed change	there are few options for safe off leash dog exercise areas
4821	I do not support the proposed change	If dogs are under control there is no problem.
4879	I support the proposed change	Personal safety
4896	I do not support the proposed change	The Kauri aren't dying.
4947	I do not support the proposed change	This is reducing the amount of space available for off leash/on leash walking. I do not support this.
4950	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs should be allowed to be walked on all beaches, at all times provided they are on leash and controlled.
5023	I support the proposed change	I support the change on the condition that it's possible to walk the dog on-leash once the Kauri Dieback is no longer an issue.
5103	I do not support the proposed change	There is no reason for the change, it is an attack on dog owners
5260	I do not support the proposed change	Auckland is already so restrictive to dogs, give us and them a break. Stop with all the restrictions, its madness. Please. Look amd learn from the south island. Are you all cat people at council?
5419	I do not support the proposed change	If the park is closed, why change the dog rules.
5465	I do not support the proposed change	If the park is currently closed because of Kauri dieback, then no one should be using it. When it reopens, status quo can return. No need for a change to dog rules
5493	I do not support the proposed change	This is far to prohibitive, the current rules should remain in place
5522	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs under control have great owners, owners that have trust with their dog will only take them off leash. Stop taking places away from us, we already have a lack of options
5642	I support the proposed change	I fully support the protection of kauri forest and believe the proposed change is a reasonable step toward achieving this goal.
5661	I do not support the proposed change	Responsible dog owners should be able to walk their dogs here. Stop limiting the good owners and restricting them. It is not fair on them or the dogs.
5703	I do not support the proposed change	This park is currently closed and no doubt will remain so indefinitely so dogs probably arent going in there any way. By the time it is opened I would imagine that all the paths will be unuseable anyway.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-C Kauri Park	Q4 KPT-C Comment
5712	I do not support the proposed change	I am also deeply concerned by Auckland Council's plan to increase on-leash and dog-free areas. These restrictions further reduce dogs' access to exercise and socialisation—key factors in preventing behavioural issues. It seems clear that dog welfare is not the Council's priority, as these proposals not only limit safe exercise spaces but also restrict access to trained professionals who can provide it. a key factors of animal welfare are the "5 freedoms", one of these main pillars is freedom to express natural behavior. it is natural for a dog to run freely! I think there has been an overall lack of insight from professionals in the animal welfare, medical, training and walking community.
5738	I do not support the proposed change	A closed park is a closed park, shouldn't need this rule. Likely will be kept once park reopened, disadvantaging responsible dog owners later.
5918	I do not support the proposed change	No need to change
6441	I do not support the proposed change	Stop it! This is just change for zero benefit
6447	I do not support the proposed change	Expand areas and times that dog owners can access with their companions
6478	I do not support the proposed change	There are very few places already where we can take our dogs; parks and waterways provide enrichment and socialization opportunities. Council should aim to increase the areas where dogs are allowed, instead of reducing them.
6544	I do not support the proposed change	I am sure if the park was re-opened dog access would not be reviewed at that point time and therefore do not support
6682	I do not support the proposed change	The proposed change makes the area less accessible for dogs and dog owners. There are only so many off-leash areas in Auckland that dog owners can take their dogs to exercise, and further limiting these areas will only put more strain on the few that remain.
6701	I do not support the proposed change	very inconvenience

Sub #	Q4 KPT-D Kauri Point Domain	Q4 KPT-D Comment
KAIPĀTIKI LOCAL BOARD		
31	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs walking on the smaller tracks which are not the main road in should be on leashes, but use of the main road can be off leash under control. The beach and grass area behind it should remain off leash. This is a great beach where dogs can go at any point in the year
159	I do not support the proposed change	I do not see it to be necessary to protect Kauri along that route as the vast majority of people only follow the main track. If it were to be an issue due to the spreading of Kauri dieback, the council should give the public cleaning stations for them and their pets. This has long been a dog park, and should stay that way. If the council were really concerned about this, they would sink more resources into restoring the native forest first.
160	I do not support the proposed change	Reducing access for well behaved dogs is not a good idea. Penalise those people breaking the rules, don't add other unenforceable rules.
163	I do not support the proposed change	don't want changes - just people who let their own dogs run around without supervision or don't control badly behaved dogs or who leave dog poo to be fined. Don't let them spoil it for everyone else with dogs/
172	I do not support the proposed change	This is one of the very few off lead dog areas in the region. People need this area to exercise their dogs & allow them to burn off energy.
220	I do not support the proposed change	I believe dogs should be allowed to roam free at dogs parks, however some dog owners have raised poor behaved dogs and this causes problems. I do admit, it is painfully frustrating when people can not control their dog and let them roam everywhere however it is also frustrating that people steal, use tax loop holes and live off the work of others. It's impossible to control everyone and there will always be bad characters letting dogs roam anyway. Close every single park to any life form that isn't native you want to protect wildlife (humans included). Deport every human in NZ if you want to protect wildlife.
298	I do not support the proposed change	Leave as is. You're punishing responsible dog walkers and owners
308	I do not support the proposed change	There is hardly any places on the North Shore that allow dogs off leash, please don't take this short walk away from our pups. They need this space and area to sniff and be free, basically everywhere else in Auckland requires them to be on leash, this is always a lovely break for our Labrador to enjoy.
337	I do not support the proposed change	Work with PDWA to establish working solutions that will not increase administrative costs or enforcement issues.
343	I do not support the proposed change	Our dog walker takes our dog here regularly. She is a professional who has incredible experience and controls the dogs very well. This is unfair that you are taking away from dog walkers being able to walk in this area with dogs off leash when they should be the least of your worries. Spend time controlling and detaining the dogs that walk the streets of beach haven and scare kids/people not in the controlled area like Kauri Point. Dog walkers wouldn't allow dogs on their walks that don't behave.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-D Kauri Point Domain	Q4 KPT-D Comment
359	I do not support the proposed change	Show us the evidence that kauri dieback disease has been caused wholly or substantailly by dogs. Are children to be kept on leashes as well because if it is spread predominantly by feet, then they and any adults with them should be banned from walking amongst the trees too.
377	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs need safe places to exercise and socialise off lead
395	I do not support the proposed change	This is one of the only spaces to takes dogs during the day where they can run and get proper exercise. Instead you should make it a non recreational beach and people uneducated or not with a dog should not enter.
425	I do not support the proposed change	Kauri point domain is well known for its dog friendly policy among Kaipatiki community and I am shocked to be aware that we will lost the last heaven we have. Dogs should have at least a beach for them to play with water. It is ridiculous(sorry to use this word) that Kaipatiki is planed to be a community without permitted dogs' exercise areas. It will definitely damage the community's reputation as it is so cruel to our most loyalty dog friends.
469	I support the proposed change	I can see two dead Kauri in the valley from my home. I want to protect any more being lost to the disease.
511	I support the proposed change	So many badly behaved off leash dogs/owners there. Risky for me to walk there, fearful of taking chikdren
516	I do not support the proposed change	Set a time limit on when dogs can roam free on this track as opposed to a blanket on lead at all times rule
546	I do not support the proposed change	This one of the few complete dog walks in the area off leash. I have been walking this 10 years plus and have never seen any issues with dogs at all. This seems completely unnecessary and pointless. I will note be voting for any local board member that endorses this.
553	I do not support the proposed change	There are countless beaches and parks on the North Shore and many in this local area that are not places dogs can be let off leash. This is one of the very few places dogs can be given the right to be free and enjoy themselves off-leash in an environment away from busy roads and public centres. There are many alternative areas (both parks and beaches) nearby for those who wish to not be bothered by dogs to attend. Our animal welfare system in New Zealand is already extremely broken, removing areas our beloved family pets can socialise, our gain mental and physical stimulation seems like a further departure from fixing that. Many places in the rest of the world such as Europe - dogs are welcome in many public places, whilst we are not there, surely giving dogs small pockets amongst our vast communities to be off-leash is sufficient.
791	I do not support the proposed change	If dogs stick to the main track they should be allowed off leash. This is one of the only beaches that dogs are allowed at off leash at all time

Sub #	Q4 KPT-D Kauri Point Domain	Q4 KPT-D Comment
894	I do not support the proposed change	Unnecessary - one does not need to have a dog on a leash in order to have it under control. A responsible owner can prevent a dog which is **under control off-leash** from roaming off the track. In addition, this does not account for the track that leaves the formed road on the left, 80 metres after the end of Balmain Road (210 metres before the junction with the track that leads to Soldiers Bay) and cuts SSW through the Domain, but which has one possible exit further on (approx 120 metres after the junction with the Soldiers Bay track).
1119	I do not support the proposed change	Soldiers Bay and Kauri Point Domain is one of the few fully off-lead dog walks and beaches in the Beach Haven/Birkdale area. To make the track on lead at all times is exceptionally restrictive - the unformed road should be kept as on leash but more should be done to protect the tracks that go up through the bush. Again - the more you restrict the activities that dogs can do, the more problems the community will have with dogs that are not socialised properly and cannot get enough exercise and stimulation.
1353	I do not support the proposed change	There are a million beaches around Auckland that people have access to, including nearby ones. There are few where dogs are allowed off leash and is a 'dog beach' its not exactly a nice swimming beach, and as long as there is adequate signage that should be sufficient.
1380	I don't know	Same answers as previous. Depends how trained the dogs are
1387	I do not support the proposed change	Great place to have dogs off leash. Nice wide track and beach access.
1395	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs need to be able to walk off leash if they are good obedient dogs. Look at the owner if there is a problematic dog.
1399	I do not support the proposed change	This is a very well used area, why can't dogs be allowed on leash only until they get to the beach and then be let off then.
1449	I do not support the proposed change	When I looked at the main documents in your original link I could not see this change. This would mean that many dog owners in the region would probably not fill out his form. I have walked my dog in this park for 6 years now and never had any issues with the dog being off-leash. The landscape structure of the roadway to the beach means that the dog generally stays within this area. I tend to walk my dog before 10 am and after 5 pm. During these times (particularly in the morning) you tend to just have people walking their dogs. I have not heard of any instances where off-leash dogs under control have been an issue. In fact, in the morning, having dogs off-leash to play together creates a positive atmosphere where the dog owners from the local community can interact. This change is another example of bureaucracy gone mad, and the hiding of changes in the document links you have given.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-D Kauri Point Domain	Q4 KPT-D Comment
1587	I do not support the proposed change	Turning off-leash areas into prohibited zones requires an exceptionally valid reason; otherwise, it feels unjustifiable. Most areas are already on-leash or entirely off-limits to dogs. What exactly are dog owners supposed to do? Keep their dogs confined at home all the time? Dogs need to run and play freely, not just walk on a leash every single time. To those making such strict rules: would you feel comfortable being on a leash, held by someone every time you walked in a park? It's about fairness and understanding the needs of all living beings, including our furry family members.
1654	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs should have access to all areas when under control. Families and children should be able to go to the beach with there dogs!!
1679	I do not support the proposed change	over the last 30 years of having dogs in Auckland I have only ever seen 1 issue once with a dog. the owner was mortified and apologetic (it was a frazzled mum with a dog and a baby and no spare hands) Your changes by stealth will remove the local "off leash" area that has never had any issues and is not a popular public area or swimming beach. I cannot support any part of your rule changes as it doesn't account for the fact there is no local issue. We are so far behind other countries in this area where dogs are in cafes, restaurants, on buses and planes we are in the dark ages for even considering removing access to local walks. In addition, the only Kauri I have seen is on the road at the entrance and the council tried to cut it down a few years ago - locals saved it from you. but barely.... you relaid the asphalt over its roots and pushed it over the cliff.... what a pile of hooey these rule changes are
1727	I do not support the proposed change	The track is a main highway - it is a pine Forrest. People who walk here create a sense of community both for dogs & humans It is a known dog off Leash area & in 15 years I have never seen any problems - most regular dog walkers walk at the start & end of the day - very rarely are community members walking at this time
1864	I do not support the proposed change	I walk my dog there everyday. Dogs and their owners are the ones enjoying the green-space. How can people swim or throw a ball for their dog if they're confined to being on a leash. I would also like to add that you are NOT protecting ANY kauri trees and its OBVIOUS you are not a regular to Kauri Point as it is a PINE FORREST! Where are these mysterious Kauri trees?
1901	I do not support the proposed change	Where is the evidence that dogs off leash spread Kauri Die-back disease? You need to provide the scientific evidence for this.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-D Kauri Point Domain	Q4 KPT-D Comment
2013	I support the proposed change	This is fair, because it is a reasonable compromise for everyone.
2074	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs for their mental health and physical wellbeing should be able to be off leash if under control.
2086	I do not support the proposed change	Never had issues before, punishing everyone for a small handful of irresponsible owners isn't fair. The less our dogs are out in society the more harmful to their behavior moving forward
2105	I do not support the proposed change	We can clean dogs paws like we clean our shoes. No need to change
2161	I do not support the proposed change	This is a popular local area for walking dogs away from large crowds of people where dogs can run off leash. With dog ownership becoming more popular reducing areas where dog owners can efficiency exercise there dogs does not make any sense.
2444	I do not support the proposed change	These is a great area for dogs to exercise without disturbing people.
2490	I do not support the proposed change	This location is ideal as an off leash dog area. Probably the best spot in the Kaipatiki area.
2511	I do not support the proposed change	This is the track to one of the few dog beaches in this area. There are no kauri on the main path to soldiers bay, so why is it necessary to keep dogs on leash. This seems extremely unreasonable
2583	I do not support the proposed change	We can simply enforce cleaning of dog paws similar to humans. Unless we get rid of all animals in the reserve cannot see any benefit in this change?
2635	I do not support the proposed change	This is one of the few off leash areas for dogs where they can get a great off leash walk down to the beach. The good dog handlers already don't let their dogs go off track, so they will be penalised with new rules that they would follow. Owners who already let their dogs go off track, will continue to do so and will ignore the on leash rule.
2705	I do not support the proposed change	Kauri Point Domain On/Off Leash access as proposed below is confusing and unnecessary as thanks to historical Kauri logging there are no longer any mature Kauri in KPD to be protected from Kauri Die Back. Kauri Point Domain is now Kauri in name only. The junction from the KPD track to Soldiers Bay track just needs a sign(s) explaining you are entering Soldiers Bay an ON-LEASH area for the protection of the wetland wildlife. Even many locals who walk at KPD daily do not know the distinction between Kauri Point Domain and Soldiers Bay. It would be much more beneficial to install some appropriate simple signage,
2713	I do not support the proposed change	We should be allowed to have access to these places as well

Sub #	Q4 KPT-D Kauri Point Domain	Q4 KPT-D Comment
2860	I do not support the proposed change	I have been using this park/beach for a number of years and my dog loves it. I have never had a problem with other dogs being on or off leash there. Everyone who goes there knows it's a dog beach and that they need to keep their off leash dogs under control. It is a safe place for a dog off leash because there is no road or access to houses/driveways. It would be a shame if dogs were not allowed to run free here.
2884	I support the proposed change	The wording for this is unclear. The distance from Balmain Road to the junction to Soldiers Bay is quite short. Do you mean to include the wetland and beach area of Soldiers Bay? What about the rest of the formed track going to the beach at Fitzpatrick Bay? What about the informal tracks through Kauri Point Domain, up to Defence Force land? (I understand Council can't make bylaws applying to non-council land but some of the existing Kauri, few or small as they are, are on Defence Force land.)
2909	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs need to swim
2939	I do not support the proposed change	There are virtually NO Kauri in the Kauri Point Domain itself. If you want to protect Soldiers Bay, just have a sign at the entrance to the track going down to the Soldiers Bay Beach
2940	I do not support the proposed change	kauri Park Domain has no or very few kauri. To date this park has not been significant in terms of transfer of disease relating to Kauri Dieback.
2994	I do not support the proposed change	This is one of the few off-leash areas for dogs and a wonderful walk to Fitzpatrick Beach. Please don't change it
3146	I do not support the proposed change	Firstly it is not clear what is meant by the 'junction with the track to soldiers bay'. I presume you mean the first bit of the track to the Soldiers Bay beach. This is an extremely popular place to take dogs and for good reason. Everyone here has dogs, and it is a delight to see the dogs running and playing off leash. I do not understand why this would need to change, and it would greatly detriment my dog's wellbeing. Walking on leash does not provide anywhere near the same amount of enrichment or exercise for my dog.
3159	I do not support the proposed change	Same resoonse
3286	I do not support the proposed change	Include more dog access but on leash. Removing access does not help owners who are looking to enjoy walks responsibly
3303	Other (please explain below)	Why would you restrict dogs when cars are roaming and killing throughout the night? This is inconsistent for pet owners as cats continue to roam, and the kauri dieback specialist told me me transfer from pets is unlikely. Otherwise ban cats in the reserve too, except onleash
3748	I do not support the proposed change	Fantastic local dog exercise area mainly used by dog owners. Plenty of dogs can be taught to walk off leash with their owner without running all through the park.
3876	I do not support the proposed change	Clear signage is all that is required. Responsible dog owners walk their dogs off leash on the paths/walkways so do not require more restrictive rules in this area. Unfortunately those who don't care will do what they want anyway no matter what rules are in place. Please don't punish the many responsible owners who enjoy these spaces.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-D Kauri Point Domain	Q4 KPT-D Comment
3935	I do not support the proposed change	This has been suggested as a means safeguarding banded rail and rake as the cross the track from one wetland to the other. However local volunteers have created a living barrier of natives either side of the track which means the birds appear to be able to cross without any problem from dogs. As far as s known no bird has been caught in this way.
4083	I do not support the proposed change	Penalise and police the bad dog owners but do not restrict access for good dog owners
4153	I do not support the proposed change	We absolutely love this walk and beach and it's one of the few off-leash walks and beaches we can go to in our area. The majority of people we come across are dog owners and everyone and their dogs are always really respectful of the environment and other people walking, including kids. It is a very quiet area which makes it perfect for harmonious dog and non-dog owning people to enjoy. I think this change would just reduce the number of people who can actually enjoy this walk and beach area. I have never seen people or dogs straying off the path. If kauri dieback is the concern, surely at least allowing dogs off-leash at the beach and grass area wouldn't change that?
4167	I don't know	Same as my other comment about under control off leash
4177	I support the proposed change	I have given up walking here due to the number of off leash dogs and the huge amount of dog poo. I am fully supportive of making this an on leash area.
4430	I do not support the proposed change	As before professional dog walkers have control of all their dogs regardless of whether they are off lead or not
4487	I do not support the proposed change	Most dogs keep to the unpaved road
4592	I do not support the proposed change	The proposed changes are adding unnecessary restrictions
4659	I do not support the proposed change	Alot of elderly use this track because its flat, and only walk to the flat part and then back to their car, this would mean the dogs will not get any off leash time on their already short walks.
4741	I do not support the proposed change	See precious response
4746	Other (please explain below)	Another complete ban. We need dog parks. These places we visit are full of dogs and faeces.
4768	I do not support the proposed change	There is a heck of a lot less Kauri along that track. I think dogs should be on leash at busy times such as weekends when families might be walking there.
4787	I support the proposed change	We support the proposed change but we believe the area suggested to be on-leash between the carpark and site of access to Soldiers Bay wetland can be shortened. The most critical area is the first 80m from the carpark along the main track as this is a regular crossing location for both the banded rail and spotless crane – rare declining species. This does not put the banded rail or the wetland at much greater risk, so is good compensation for both environment and community. The rest of the park remains a good option for off-leash dog walkers as there are very few kauri despite the name (although some are starting to regenerate) however education is a MUST to keep dog walkers from allowing their dogs to enter and swim in the streams.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-D Kauri Point Domain	Q4 KPT-D Comment
4806	I do not support the proposed change	This are doesn't have Kauri despite the name. Keeping if off-leash will support keeping dogs out of areas that have Kauri.
4865	I do not support the proposed change	While I appreciate this rule is aimed at reducing the risk of Kauri Dieback spread, this will be an incredibly hard rule to enforce, given where the area is. Many dog owners will simply let their dogs off leash as soon as they get to the entrance of Kauri Point Domain. There is no logical delineation between the area that is proposed to be on-leash and the rest of the Domain.
5138	I do not support the proposed change	Leave as is
5276	I do not support the proposed change	I would question whether any members of council or the kaipatiki board have actually visited Sulphur Beach in the past 12 months (or longer). This beach is not remotely suitable as a beach for humans, let alone dogs! It is a polluted wasteland, with broken glass everywhere, sharp oysters and copious amounts of rubbish everywhere. The risk of dogs having their paws sliced open from the oysters and broken glass could lead to very expensive vet bills. The risk of infection to both humans and dogs would not be small. There are also the risks associated with it being a beach right beside the harbour bridge and motorway. For example the noise is very loud, so if a dog was prone to anxiety, they could easily be spooked by the extremely loud noises. For example when large trucks go over a bump, the general roar of the traffic, the sound of sirens when emergency vehicles are attending accidents etc. Then there is the risk of a dog bolting in fear and if they managed to get onto the motorway the ensuing carnage that would follow could be catastrophic and even fatal to drivers. I cannot remotely see the logic of this beach being offered up as some sort of compensation when they are proposing to remove dog access from other beaches on the north shore which currently do allow dog access. In a very quick walk at Sulphur beach this morning, this was just SOME of the rubbish that is littered all over the beach. I cannot see how anyone would make an educated suggestion of this beach being an appropriate place for people to visit or take their dogs to. I also find it hard to understand why dog owners should be persecuted and not allowed to visit nice beaches just like those people who don't have dogs.
5315	I do not support the proposed change	Not sure how limiting this track will change anything.
5316	I do not support the proposed change	Most dogs stick to the main track going in, to the beach - restrict access to the bush areas otherwise leave it as is.
5328	I do not support the proposed change	This is one of the few year round all day access to a beach for the dogs off leash, especially when following the road - maybe different rules could be applied to the bush area vs the road.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-D Kauri Point Domain	Q4 KPT-D Comment
5331	I do not support the proposed change	Off leash areas are essential for dog walking and socialisation, therefore, this park MUST remain available for this purpose
5332	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs to be able to be off leash under control and to pathways only. Wide life areas to be taped off
5334	I do not support the proposed change	This is a really popular dog walking area and I've not seen any issues with kauri here. The path is used to walk the dogs to the beach for a swim
5338	Other (please explain below)	Aggressive dogs are a problem at that beach (Kendall's bay). Also the tracks are steep and narrow and there's no room at all for a dog on some of them. The steep steps path is especially dangerous for people if you pass a dog and get jostled by it, it's crazy steep. People let the dogs run loose on the beach but it's a relatively small area especially morning/ evening when the sunny area is small, so dogs end up conflicting with people in a small area. Because it feels secluded many owners seem to just do what they want and don't control their dogs and there are often kids there trying to play on the swing with dogs all over the place in that small area. It's a classic conflict of use. I don't think dogs should be allowed on most of the beach not on the steep stepped track, or the one up the headland near the navy base. only on the main wide track. And yes on a leash at all times thank you for that, long overdue.
5357	I do not support the proposed change	No boot washer station. Need AMO to go there at peak times and fine people who don't abide by the current laws. One fine I'm sure they will then follow councilor rules. Dogs need off leash time to teach recall etc. Better signage.
5363	I support the proposed change	Dogs should not be allowed into parks as they ***** all over the place and pose risks of injury/attack. If they are to be allowed, this should be on leash at ALL times... and no more than 1 per person.
5388	I do not support the proposed change	Key area for responsible dog owners to allow socialisation and is not well frequented by people using the beach recreationally
5391	I do not support the proposed change	This track and beach are the only beach that dog owners can access with their dogs in the area. The track to the beach is rarely used by anyone other than dog owners because of the distance that needs to be walked between the car park and beach and there are many people with dogs that use it. Most of the trees that are in the area are pine trees, and dogs are kept well under control by their owners whether on lead or off. There are also many dog walkers who use the track and beach and it would be impossible to give the dogs exercise being continually kept on lead. For the small number of kauri that could even be affected by dogs who are kept to the path until reaching the beach, it would be a great shame to take away this freedom for dog owners and dogs who use the track and beach daily. Where else would they go?
5393	I do not support the proposed change	This is not as big an issue as you say, there is no real evidence to support these claims.
5402	I do not support the proposed change	There are no Kauri trees that I know of in this domain, so no need for the restrictions.
5449	I support the proposed change	Agree with kauri management plans

Sub #	Q4 KPT-D Kauri Point Domain	Q4 KPT-D Comment
5455	I do not support the proposed change	It's a dog park . Everyone dog owners know a great dog social park
5457	I do not support the proposed change	Remain as is
5467	I do not support the proposed change	We have been walking our dogs at these parks and beaches for years and have not once had a bad experience. All dogs and owners we have come across have been respectful and responsible. We also have so many human beaches in this country and so few where dogs can have full access at anytime. Why restrict something that is already limited.
5484	I do not support the proposed change	this will penalise the average dog owner, who just wants some off leash time with their dog. this area is used alot by pack walkers, reducing the number of dogs in paid walking packs will alleviate all the issues. the general public rarely have dogs that stray off track, this is a paid walker issue, not a general issue
5504	I do not support the proposed change	Strongly disagree. Ridiculous proposal.
5559	I do not support the proposed change	This is a well known and one of the only year round dog beaches in our area. Due to the location of the beach it is not frequented as much by young families, due to the walk that is involved to get there. Dogs need to be able to run and play and to maintain social balances with other dogs, which they do not get when on lead. There are many dogs that are leash reactive, but enjoy playing chase, swimming and running with other dogs when off leash. Please do not take away one of the best dog walks in our area that has the mix of a lovely scenic walk with our dog to get to a lovely swimming location for the dog at the end.
5616	I support the proposed change	However I think there needs to be adequate signage for this as many dog owners/walkers are unaware of where Soldiers Bay is. Signage also needs to be erected at the entrance to the Soldiers Bay accessway in Island Bay Road.
5651	I support the proposed change	A lot of pack walkers come here. When their pack is off-leash it can be dangerous for other dogs outside of the pack as the pack tends to act aggressively
5700	I do not support the proposed change	Lovely beach for dogs, dog owners need some places they can take their dogs
5708	I do not support the proposed change	This is a well known and one of the only year round dog beaches in our area. Due to the location of the beach it is not frequented as much by young families, due to the walk that is involved to get there. Dogs need to be able to run and play and to maintain social balances with other dogs, which they do not get when on lead. There are many dogs that are leash reactive, but enjoy playing chase, swimming and running with other dogs when off leash. Please do not take away one of the best dog walks in our area that has the mix of a lovely scenic walk with our dog to get to a lovely swimming location for the dog at the end.
5841	I do not support the proposed change	It doesn't make sense to have this part as off leash as dogs don't go off into the bush at this part. There are so few areas that are off leash and this whole track is of the few areas dogs can be off leash and give each other space. It's also frequented by pack walkers who have good control of their packs
6160	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs do not promote Kauri Dieback.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-D Kauri Point Domain	Q4 KPT-D Comment
6216	I do not support the proposed change	If Kauri dieback is the issue, the park should be closed or kauri dieback stations erected at each entry/exit. We need more off leash dog areas to exercise dogs to minimise behaviour issues.
6400	I do not support the proposed change	I do in face support this change, but believe the beach area at the end of the track should remain an off-leash area.
6413	I do not support the proposed change	Ask owners to keep dogs on path
6453	I do not support the proposed change	As a dedicated member of our local community, I am writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed policy to restrict Soldiers Bay's beach to on-leash use only. While I fully understand and respect the council's dedication to protecting the valuable birdlife in our wetlands, I believe that an outright ban on off-leash areas may not be the best solution for our community—or for our natural environment. The Value of Off-Leash Areas Off-leash beach areas provide critical benefits for dog owners and their pets. These spaces allow dogs to exercise freely, socialize, and enjoy the natural environment, contributing positively to their physical and mental well-being. Limiting these opportunities can have adverse effects on responsible dog ownership and may lead to the displacement of community members who rely on Soldiers Bay as a recreational haven. Protecting Our Wetlands and Birdlife I recognize that the wetlands and mangrove areas at Soldiers Bay are essential habitats for local birdlife and other wildlife. The council's concerns about preserving these natural resources are valid and deserve careful attention. However, by making the entire beach on-leash only, we risk undermining a longstanding community asset that many residents and dog owners hold dear. A Targeted Alternative: Fencing the Sensitive Areas Instead of imposing a blanket on-leash policy across the entire beach, I propose a more nuanced approach: Fencing around the wetlands and mangrove areas: This would create a physical barrier between the off-leash beach area and the ecologically sensitive zones.
6584	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs need freedom to walk with their owners but at their own pace, able to sniff and behave in natural ways. This is vital for their well being. Auckland needs all the dog-friendly areas it can get. Any change to restrict dogs to on leash should be time limited and reviewed in 18-24 months and must be based in science - is there evidence that dogs are spreading kauri die back?
6709	I do not support the proposed change	This is such a fantastic area to walk dogs off leash and SO many people use it, it would be such a shame to see it become on lead. Everyone I've ever seen there has stuck to the walking tracks with their dogs.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-D Kauri Point Domain	Q4 KPT-D Comment
6793	I do not support the proposed change	I see little reason to require dogs to be on-leash on the track from Balmain Rd to Soldiers Bay Track, as it's very unlikely dogs will follow that route.
6796	I do not support the proposed change	On-leash would make no difference. What's to say the person who is walking the dog, walks in amongst kauri dieback? It would be unknowingly in the immediate surrounding environment where people would be walking, or on off lead. Plus, there is no current footwear cleaning station at this location so what's stopping the kauri dieback coming in from another location? Wouldn't this be a good first step to help stop the spreading in the first instance?! Again, the off-lead dogs are taking the blame.
OUTSIDE KAIPĀTIKI LOCAL BOARD		
239	I do not support the proposed change	I'd rather you close the track for both humans and dogs. Don't ban just the dogs
304	I do not support the proposed change	Status Quo should be kept. Very quiet area with very few people walking this area.
523	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs should be allowed on all public areas under control at all times unless there is a non kauri die back conservation need
643	I do not support the proposed change	I think this is a lovely area to take the dogs for an off-leash run. I don't understand why that needs to change.
663	I do not support the proposed change	As above educate dog owners to keep dogs on tracks.
664	I support the proposed change	People feel safer with dogs on a leash and owners are more responsible
706	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs should be prohibited at all times.
784	I do not support the proposed change	There is no justification to require dogs to be on leash at all time. Instead, prevent all walkers (and their dogs) from walking off tracks.
819	I support the proposed change	Important to have animal control looking at this area as every time I've been there there has been very aggressive off leash dogs. People take their dogs there regardless if they are social and well behaved
849	Other (please explain below)	I'm confused with the wording. Is it proposed to change it to all dogs on lead at all time IN THE FOREST/TRACK area. There are some access points to water on this/these tracks, is it also being proposed that the beach is on lead at all times also?
1358	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs should be allowed on leash in all park areas. Provision for off leash play should be made in all parks.
1379	I support the proposed change	To prevent spread of kauri dieback I support change from off leash to on leash.
1457	I do not support the proposed change	It's unfair, it's a great off leash reserve.
1460	I do not support the proposed change	well-behaved dogs should be allowed to have off leash freedom, exploring the real wildworld and PLEASE bring the rubbish bins back at the end of soldiers bay!
1477	I do not support the proposed change	It wouldn't be hard to add something similar to the shoe cleaners for dogs.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-D Kauri Point Domain	Q4 KPT-D Comment
1854	Other (please explain below)	Same for all areas - we should have a section for owners and dogs to be off leash during summer.
1910	I do not support the proposed change	this is a another campaign from special interest groups to restrict our access from residents with dogs.
1914	Other (please explain below)	Clarify the rule that dog paws must be washed same as peoples feet
1985	I support the proposed change	Allows dog owners to enjoy the park but a uncontrolledlso accounts for other users who wish to enjoy it without off leash dogs present
2010	I do not support the proposed change	This is a great reserve to run dogs off lead.
2085	I support the proposed change	Fragile soils are eroded by dogs running around those hills causing silting and runoff. Difficult to control dogs in these areas.
2139	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs are family members it is already difficult to find activities you can do with your dog. Banning them from beaches and parks will be a step back as a society. In fact more and more people is opting for not having kids and having dogs instead. This will new proposed policy will definitively have a huge negative impact on the wellbeing of NZ dog owners. We should promote healthier, active lifestyle. What other alternatives we have as dog owners is the equivalent of banning kids in parks. that does not make sense.
2183	I do not support the proposed change	We share this land - with all living things. We cannot prohibit access because it might cause issue with a few biased individuals. This doesn't solve an issue it creates disconnect and segregation between demographics. Focus on educating dog owners as well as non- dog owners and simplifying regulations. If people get used to dogs everywhere, the less of an issue it will become. Dogs bring joy to peoples' lives. Stop trying to take away their rights to play. That's all they ask...we have people that treat them horrifically, people who hit them, beat them and try to kill them and yet they stay loyal to their owners and only ever ask for love and a good walk in return. Just let them be part of the community.
2184	I do not support the proposed change	Keep current
2185	Other (please explain below)	Please provide as many opportunities as possible for on-lead walking.
2232	I do not support the proposed change	Only peolpe that will follow already have control of there dogs , get rid of all the nasty owners out there instead of ruining this for everyone.
2245	I do not support the proposed change	Beloved area by whanau and dog owners stop trying to change it
2255	I support the proposed change	For the walk in and the tracks yes but off-leash on the beach
2343	I do not support the proposed change	Responsible dog owners are already highly restricted on area and times if access. Increasing those limitations is unfair and discriminating. The majority should not be penalized for the minority who are not responsible dog owners.
2488	I do not support the proposed change	It's already to minum the parks and areas that you currently can walk with your dog, and to keep a healthy and balanced dog at least the dog need to be walked once a day.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-D Kauri Point Domain	Q4 KPT-D Comment
2692	I do not support the proposed change	Don't support any limitations for responsible owners
2767	I do not support the proposed change	There are very limited options for dogs off leash. On leash dogs will damage kauri forest as much as off leash dogs.
3818	I do not support the proposed change	Kauri Point Domain is one of the only off-leash parks in the area with enough room to give space to other park users. Losing this would put more pressure i.e. more dogs at one time, on other Central Auckland off-leash parks such as Meola Reef, Owairaka, St Leonard's.
3824	I do not support the proposed change	Again too much of a radical change
4141	I do not support the proposed change	Hardly anyone but dog walkers go there. I don't see any problem with dogs being there off leash. It's not the sort of terrain to have a dog on leash
4146	I do not support the proposed change	We need more off leash, not less.
4387	I do not support the proposed change	I think I already have!
4489	I do not support the proposed change	I want less restrictions not more
4764	I do not support the proposed change	there are few options for safe off leash dog exercise areas
4821	I do not support the proposed change	If dogs are under control there is no problem.
4879	I support the proposed change	Personal safety
4896	I do not support the proposed change	The Kauri aren't dying
4947	I do not support the proposed change	This is reducing the amount of space available for off leash/on leash walking. I do not support this.
4950	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs should be allowed to be walked on all beaches, at all times provided they are on leash and controlled.
5023	I support the proposed change	I support the change if there is a change of Kauri Dieback. You could also put cleaning stations since it's a popular track with dog-walkers.
5103	I do not support the proposed change	There is no reason for the change, it is an attack on dog owners
5260	I do not support the proposed change	Auckland is already so restrictive to dogs, give us and them a break. Stop with all the restrictions, its madness. Please. Look amd learn from the south island.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-D Kauri Point Domain	Q4 KPT-D Comment
5308	I do not support the proposed change	You are penalising the local community that uses these areas. Madill's farm has been off-leash as long as I have lived there. I fail to see why you want to change a community asset; It was a dog park long before the sports fields were put there. where are the Dods supposed to go walking them on lead close to the road is not a credible alternative, as chasing a ball means they could end up on the road. So we will end up with a lot of bored not exercised dogs, Kauri Point is great for the dogs as they get a good run before the beach and then a swim. Everyone I have seen there has dogs or is weeding the track. Since you took away the rubbish by the beach I have notices a lot more litter such as beer bottles etc. These happen at night and are n nothing to do with dog walking. Monte Cecila should be off lead in both craters as it gets extremely full
5419	I support the proposed change	Agree, we can't let this disease spread
5465	I do not support the proposed change	As stated previously, I do not believe there is evidence that dogs carry Kauri dieback, and if they do it can be controlled the same as humans - spray, pads, etc
5493	I do not support the proposed change	The current rules are adequate and do not need to be amended. The proposed rules are too restrictive.
5502	I do not support the proposed change	This is a great walk for dogs and one of the only places you can walk a dog offlead. Is secure for walks with clear trials. Would be disappointing to lose the ability to exercise a dog properly by being offlead in this park.
5522	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs under control have great owners, owners that have trust with their dog will only take them off leash. Stop taking places away from us, we already have a lack of options
5642	I support the proposed change	I fully support the protection of kauri forest and believe the proposed change is a reasonable step toward achieving this goal.
5661	I do not support the proposed change	Responsible dog owners should be able to walk their dogs here. Stop limiting the good owners and restricting them. It is not fair on them or the dogs.
5703	I do not support the proposed change	This is one of the few beach areas left that dogs can access all year round. Most people using this area would use the road way to access. The beach is very tidal so not popular with non dog people. By the time the council makes all the proposed changes there will be no where that dogs can run free, particularly in summer. With beach access to Kennedy park also no longer accessible, this is one of the few places you can safely take your dog in summer.
5918	I do not support the proposed change	No need to change
6441	I do not support the proposed change	I use Kauri Point frequently and have NEVER encountered any problems This change is ***** minded and reduces the ability to have dogs as a positive part of life of Aucklanders.
6447	I do not support the proposed change	Expand areas and times that dog owners can access with their companions
6478	I do not support the proposed change	There are very few places already where we can take our dogs; parks and waterways provide enrichment and socialization opportunities. Council should aim to increase the areas where dogs are allowed, instead of reducing them.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-D Kauri Point Domain	Q4 KPT-D Comment
6682	I do not support the proposed change	The proposed change makes the area less accessible for dogs and dog owners. There are only so many off-leash areas in Auckland that dog owners can take their dogs to exercise, and further limiting these areas will only put more strain on the few that remain.
6724	I do not support the proposed change	Could it be on a leash on the walk ways at least? And at the beach they can be off leash? It is the best place for them to swim and have fun with each other & For us to swim with them too. Why is this being proposed anyway? If its for the kauris can that just be a banned area to go? So we have the walk way and the beach free for the dogs and humans.
7269	Other (please explain below)	• Balmain road to Soldiers Bay (I have been helping with planting there and it seems to create a barrier)

Sub #	Q4 KPT-E Kukari Park	Q4 KPT-E Comment
KAIPĀTIKI LOCAL BOARD		
1654	I support the current rule	Dogs should have access to all areas when under control. Families and children should be able to go to the beach with there dogs!!
2086	I do not support the current rule	Never had issues before, punishing everyone for a small handful of irresponsible owners isn't fair. The less our dogs are out in society the more harmful to their behavior moving forward
2511	I support the current rule	Seems clear and mostly fair considering it's a pocket park / playground
2713	I do not support the current rule	We should be allowed to have access to these places as well
5334	I don't know	I can't find any reference to this place on Google maps
OUTSIDE KAIPĀTIKI LOCAL BOARD		
375	I do not support the current rule	Rule should be relaxed
523	I do not support the current rule	Dogs should be allowed on all publics areas under control at all times unless there is a non kauri die back conservation need
706	I do not support the current rule	Dogs should be prohibited at all times.
1358	I do not support the current rule	Dogs should be allowed on leash in all park areas. Provision for off leash play should be made in all parks.
1854	Other (please explain below)	Same for all areas - we should have a section for owners and dogs to be off leash during summer.
2139	I do not support the current rule	Dogs are family members it is already difficult to find activities you can do with your dog. Banning them from beaches and parks will be a step back as a society. In fact more and more people is opting for not having kids and having dogs instead. This will new proposed policy will definitively have a huge negative impact on the wellbeing of NZ dog owners. We should promote healthier, active lifestyle. What other alternatives we have as dog owners is the equivalent of banning kids in parks. that does not make sense.
2183	I do not support the current rule	We share this land - with all living things. We cannot prohibit access because it might cause issue with a few biased individuals. This doesn't solve an issue it creates disconnect and segregation between demographics. Focus on educating dog owners as well as non- dog owners and simplifying regulations. If people get used to dogs everywhere, the less of an issue it will become. Dogs bring joy to peoples' lives. Stop trying to take away their rights to play. That's all they ask...we have people that treat them horrifically, people who hit them, beat them and try to kill them and yet they stay loyal to their owners and only ever ask for love and a good walk in return. Just let them be part of the community.
2184	I support the current rule	Yay
2185	Other (please explain below)	Please provide as many opportunities as possible for on-lead walking.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-E Kukari Park	Q4 KPT-E Comment
2343	I do not support the current rule	Responsible dog owners are already highly restricted on area and times if access. Increasing those limitations is unfair and discriminating. The majority should not be penalized for the minority who are not responsible dog owners.
2488	I do not support the current rule	It's already to minum the parks and areas that you currently can walk with your dog, and to keep a healthy and balanced dog at least the dog need to be walked once a day.
2692	I do not support the current rule	Should allow off leash dog exercise areas
4489	I do not support the current rule	I want less restrictions not more
4879	I do not support the current rule	Personal safety
5522	I do not support the current rule	Dogs under control have great owners, owners that have trust with their dog will only take them off leash. Stop taking places away from us, we already have a lack of options
5642	I support the current rule	Based on the layout and size of the park, this seems like a reasonable rule.
5661	I do not support the current rule	Responsible dog owners should be able to walk their dogs here. Stop limiting the good owners and restricting them. It is not fair on them or the dogs.
5712	I do not support the current rule	I am also deeply concerned by Auckland Council's plan to increase on-leash and dog-free areas. These restrictions further reduce dogs' access to exercise and socialisation—key factors in preventing behavioural issues. It seems clear that dog welfare is not the Council's priority, as these proposals not only limit safe exercise spaces but also restrict access to trained professionals who can provide it. a key factors of animal welfare are the "5 freedoms", one of these main pillars is freedom to express natural behavior. it is natural for a dog to run freely! I think there has been an overall lack of insight from professionals in the animal welfare, medical, training and walking community.
5867	I do not support the current rule	Responsible dog owners should have the right to exercise their dog/s as per they current bylaws.
5918	Other (please explain below)	What significant risk is there to having dogs off leash under control.
6441	I support the current rule	status quo is fine
6447	I support the current rule	It does no harm
6478	I do not support the current rule	There are very few places already where we can take our dogs; parks and waterways provide enrichment and socialization opportunities. Council should aim to increase the areas where dogs are allowed, instead of reducing them.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-F Leigh Scenic Reserve	Q4 KPT-F Comment
KAIPĀTIKI LOCAL BOARD		
172	I do not support the proposed change	It will be impossible to enforce why bother changing
1395	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs need to be able to walk off leash if they are good obedient dogs. Look at the owner if there is a problematic dog.
1654	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs should have access to all areas when under control. (with or without and lead) Families and children should be able to go to the beach with there dogs!!
2013	I support the proposed change	Again a fair compromise
2082	I do not support the proposed change	Too many restrictions already. Need more off lead areas than less
2086	I do not support the proposed change	Never had issues before, punishing everyone for a small handful of irresponsible owners isn't fair. The less our dogs are out in society the more harmful to their behavior moving forward
2511	I do not support the proposed change	I do not agree that dogs are spreading kauri die back and this seems really unreasonable to only be able to walk dogs through here on leash
2713	I do not support the proposed change	We should be allowed to have access to these places as well
2909	I do not support the proposed change	Same as before do dogs increase Kauri die back?
4167	I don't know	As per other comment
4592	I do not support the proposed change	The proposed changes are adding unnecessary restrictions
4787	I support the proposed change	We support the on-leash at all times proposal. This reserve contains exceptionally important kauri. Dogs off the track are a major risk.
5334	I support the proposed change	Kauri should be protected
5449	I support the proposed change	Support kauri management plans
6032	I do not support the proposed change	Have you quantified the actual risk from dogs for the trees or is this just a theory
OUTSIDE KAIPĀTIKI LOCAL BOARD		
69	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs need the opportunity to have a run while under control of their owners
177	Other (please explain below)	Conditional support. Only for so long as the conservation need applies. Should be made expressly conditional on that with a scheduled review anticipated
523	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs should be allowed on all publics areas under control at all times unless there is a non kauri die back conservation need
560	Other (please explain below)	As above
663	I do not support the proposed change	As above. Educate dog owners.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-F Leigh Scenic Reserve	Q4 KPT-F Comment
664	I support the proposed change	People feel safer with dogs on a leash and owners are often more responsible
926	I support the proposed change	Boy if evidence proves it will Minimise kauri die-back
995	I do not support the proposed change	Other animals will do this so there seems to be no reason to call out dogs.
1010	I do not support the proposed change	Seems a bit unfair to not allow off-leash at times.
1247	I do not support the proposed change	I believe that with dogs on a leash and controlled that dog owners should have same right sto enjoy the out doors Humans create more damage
1358	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs should be allowed on leash in all park areas. Provision for off leash play should be made in all parks.
1379	I support the proposed change	To prevent kauri dieback I support change from off leash to on leash
1854	Other (please explain below)	Same for all areas - we should have a section for owners and dogs to be off leash during summer.
1914	Other (please explain below)	Clarify the rule that dog paws must be washed or even dog booties washed and worn same as people
2139	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs are family members it is already difficult to find activities you can do with your dog. Banning them from beaches and parks will be a step back as a society. In fact more and more people is opting for not having kids and having dogs instead. This will new proposed policy will definitively have a huge negative impact on the wellbeing of NZ dog owners. We should promote healthier, active lifestyle. What other alternatives we have as dog owners is the equivalent of banning kids in parks. that does not make sense.
2183	I do not support the proposed change	We share this land - with all living things. We cannot prohibit access because it might cause issue with a few biased individuals. This doesn't solve an issue it creates disconnect and segregation between demographics. Focus on educating dog owners as well as non- dog owners and simplifying regulations. If people get used to dogs everywhere, the less of an issue it will become. Dogs bring joy to peoples' lives. Stop trying to take away their rights to play. That's all they ask...we have people that treat them horrifically, people who hit them, beat them and try to kill them and yet they stay loyal to their owners and only ever ask for love and a good walk in return. Just let them be part of the community.
2184	I do not support the proposed change	Keep current
2185	Other (please explain below)	Please provide as many opportunities as possible for on-lead walking.
2343	I do not support the proposed change	Responsible dog owners are already highly restricted on area and times if access. Increasing those limitations is unfair and discriminating. The majority should not be penalized for the minority who are not responsible dog owners.
2488	I do not support the proposed change	It's already to minum the parks and areas that you currently can walk with your dog, and to keep a healthy and balanced dog at least the dog need to be walked once a day.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-F Leigh Scenic Reserve	Q4 KPT-F Comment
2692	I do not support the proposed change	Penalises responsible owners
2736	I support the proposed change	Kauri Die back absolutely needs to have consistent protocols in place that will be effective, dogs required to be on leash is just one of several required steps towards protecting our native Kauri.
2871	I do not support the proposed change	Too restrictive for responsible dog owners
3824	I do not support the proposed change	There should still be an area for off leash exercise
4053	I support the proposed change	Dogs must be on leads at all times. We have native species, homes where dogs poo on gardens and reserves when not controlled and penguins nesting at Leigh.
4084	I do not support the proposed change	There is no evidence to suggest dogs spread Kauri Dieback. This is unreasonable and unfair and impacts responsible dog owners who use this area and have their dogs off leash. It is not rational or reasonable to restrict dogs to being on leash with the incorrect assumption this will stop or reduce Kauri Dieback. I have come to this area for nearly 50 years with various dogs that I have walked unleashed and as a ratepayer in this area and Auckland City I strongly oppose any further restrictions or changes to by-laws that will require dogs to be on-leash.
4140	I support the proposed change	They should in fact be prohibited in these areas as there has been extensive destruction of dotterels, penguins etc
4146	I do not support the proposed change	Like I said, is rubber soles that spread the disease, not animal paws.
4489	I do not support the proposed change	I generally want less restrictions not more but maybe if the evidence around the results of the efforts to date on Kauri dieback would help me to know if this proposed change is actually worth it.
4721	I support the proposed change	because of blue penguins
4727	I do not support the proposed change	Please keep places that are favored towards dogs and their owners! So important for us to have open green places that allow free play and healthy socializing off leash. This encourages good socializing skills from puppy to adult. This is an important necessity to foster a supportive and safe community.
4764	I do not support the proposed change	there are few options for safe off leash dog exercise areas
4879	I support the proposed change	Personal safety
4947	I do not support the proposed change	This is reducing the amount of space available for off leash/on leash walking. I do not support this.
4950	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs should be allowed to be walked on all beaches, at all times provided they are on leash and controlled.
5023	I support the proposed change	Only if Kauri Dieback is an actual issue. If not, I would put cleaning stations.
5103	I do not support the proposed change	There is no reason for the change, it is an attack on dog owners

Sub #	Q4 KPT-F Leigh Scenic Reserve	Q4 KPT-F Comment
5321	I support the proposed change	Kauri trees and the flora and fauna they support need all the protections possible. Dogs off leash present a risk to this sensitive environment and also negatively impact on the experience of being in this environment
5419	I support the proposed change	I support this change and all other Kauri dieback reasons for change as long as it gets changed back once the disease is eradicated.
5465	I do not support the proposed change	Said before twice re dogs carrying Kauri dieback
5522	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs under control have great owners, owners that have trust with their dog will only take them off leash. Stop taking places away from us, we already have a lack of options
5642	I support the proposed change	I fully support the protection of kauri forest and believe the proposed change is justified, as it aligns with these important conservation goals.
5661	I do not support the proposed change	Responsible dog owners should be able to walk their dogs here. Stop limiting the good owners and restricting them. It is not fair on them or the dogs.
5867	I do not support the proposed change	Responsible dog owners should have the right to exercise their dog/s as per they current bylaws.
5918	I do not support the proposed change	No need.
6107	I support the proposed change	I think on-leash is an improvement however prohibiting dogs would be more reliable as so many dog owners do not follow the rules.
6125	I don't know	I think you could solve the problem with a boardwalk with railings.
6326	I support the proposed change	Kauri is a natural resource.
6388	I do not support the proposed change	This restricts community use of the space and the active community who walk this area with their dogs and actively monitor the predator traps. This is overreach.
6440	I do not support the proposed change	This is an important community space for dogs to exercise under control with their owners. Being on leash is not full exercise for a dog and is restrictive, on both dog and owner. The proposed change will negatively impact the owners use of this green space.
6441	I do not support the proposed change	i use Leigh Scenic reserve frequently and have NEVER encountered any problems. WhT is this change meant to achieve?
6444	I do not support the proposed change	Misplaced Focus on Irresponsible Ownership The proposed changes appear to target issues related to irresponsible dog ownership. Impact on Community Connection Recreational spaces play a vital role in fostering social connections. This is particularly important for those without children or who live alone, as it may be one of their primary social outlets.
6447	I do not support the proposed change	Expand areas and times that dog owners can access with their companions
6478	I do not support the proposed change	There are very few places already where we can take our dogs; parks and waterways provide enrichment and socialization opportunities. Council should aim to increase the areas where dogs are allowed, instead of reducing them.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-F Leigh Scenic Reserve	Q4 KPT-F Comment
6599	I do not support the proposed change	This us a favourite walk of all dog owners It is seldom used by others Dogs can have their feet wiped on entry and exit to preserve kauri There are not any on the actual walk
6940	I support the proposed change	More importantly, this protects children and other vulnerable people

Sub #	Q4 KPT-G Muriel Fisher Reserve	Q4 KPT-G Comment
KAIPĀTIKI LOCAL BOARD		
172	I do not support the proposed change	There are well formed tracks & it is an important recreation area for all locals. Dog owners pay rates too.
572	I do not support the proposed change	The prohibited does not make sense here- in comparison with any of the other proposals. On leash , under control is what it should be
1399	I do not support the proposed change	Sounds like Kauri dieback is going to be closing a lot of areas!
1654	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs should have access to all areas when under control. Families and children should be able to go to the beach with there dogs!!
2013	I do not support the proposed change	This should be dogs controlled on a leash.
2086	I do not support the proposed change	Never had issues before, punishing everyone for a small handful of irresponsible owners isn't fair. The less our dogs are out in society the more harmful to their behavior moving forward
2511	I don't know	Only if it's reviewed once kauri die back is under control
2713	I do not support the proposed change	We should be allowed to have access to these places as well
2909	I do not support the proposed change	As above
4787	I support the proposed change	We support the complete exclusion of dogs from this park, to reflect the closed status of this reserve.
5317	I do not support the proposed change	Allow controlled on a leash
5334	I support the proposed change	Kauri should be protected
5449	I support the proposed change	Support kauri management plans
5568	I do not support the proposed change	If a dog is on a leash or under control no further restrictions are necessary. Don't punish good dog owners. We have as much rights to use our public spaces as everyone else.
6316	I do not support the proposed change	I don't think the rules will change back when the park reopens. I don't support the change.
6709	I support the proposed change	If it's already closed then people shouldn't be there anyway?
OUTSIDE KAIPĀTIKI LOCAL BOARD		
177	Other (please explain below)	Only for so long as the conservation requirement necessitates. Thereafter the restriction should be reviewed. Restriction should be conditional on periodic review.
523	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs should be allowed on all publics areas under control at all times unless there is a non kauri die back conservation need
640	I support the proposed change	Support this as dogs run through the bush and spread kauri dieback so this is a good change.
689	I support the proposed change	With the same proviso I gave earlier

Sub #	Q4 KPT-G Muriel Fisher Reserve	Q4 KPT-G Comment
1358	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs should be allowed on leash in all park areas. Provision for off leash play should be made in all parks.
1379	I do not support the proposed change	When it reopens we have lost another area to exercise dogs. Change it to on leash rather than close to dogs.
1390	I do not support the proposed change	The limitations on Dogs are already too restrictive in the whole of Auckland.
1854	Other (please explain below)	Same for all areas - we should have a section for owners and dogs to be off leash during summer.
1875	I do not support the proposed change	Dear council Change it to on leash I am writing to urge you not to ban dogs from our beloved regional parks, beaches, and public spaces. As a vibrant part of our community, these natural areas should be open to everyone, including responsible dog owners, who are an integral part of our society. Why Dogs in Parks Matter: 1. Health and Well-being: Dogs are not only wonderful companions, but studies have shown that walking with dogs encourages more physical activity, which can help combat the rising rates of sedentary lifestyles and chronic diseases. These outings promote better mental health, lower stress levels, and a deeper connection to nature—benefits that extend to the whole family. 2. Economic Impact: Dogs help generate revenue for our parks. Local businesses benefit from dog owners spending on pet-related products, pet services, and park fees. Tourism also sees a positive impact as people often choose destinations where they can bring their dogs, boosting the local economy and increasing the sense of community. 3. Social Responsibility: Responsible dog ownership is a core part of being a good community member. Many dog owners are highly committed to respecting public spaces—cleaning up after their pets, keeping dogs on leashes when required, and ensuring their pets do not disrupt others. Banning dogs punishes those who are mindful and courteous, while the real challenge lies in enforcing responsible
1914	I do not support the proposed change	If the park is closed then dogs aren't allowed there anyway. When it reopens consider a rule around dog booties or washing paws same as people washing their feet.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-G Muriel Fisher Reserve	Q4 KPT-G Comment
2139	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs are family members it is already difficult to find activities you can do with your dog. Banning them from beaches and parks will be a step back as a society. In fact more and more people is opting for not having kids and having dogs instead. This will new proposed policy will definitively have a huge negative impact on the wellbeing of NZ dog owners. We should promote healthier, active lifestyle. What other alternatives we have as dog owners is the equivalent of banning kids in parks. that does not make sense.
2183	I do not support the proposed change	We share this land - with all living things. We cannot prohibit access because it might cause issue with a few biased individuals. This doesn't solve an issue it creates disconnect and segregation between demographics. Focus on educating dog owners as well as non- dog owners and simplifying regulations. If people get used to dogs everywhere, the less of an issue it will become. Dogs bring joy to peoples' lives. Stop trying to take away their rights to play. That's all they ask...we have people that treat them horrifically, people who hit them, beat them and try to kill them and yet they stay loyal to their owners and only ever ask for love and a good walk in return. Just let them be part of the community.
2184	I do not support the proposed change	Prohibit yourself
2185	Other (please explain below)	Please provide as many opportunities as possible for on-lead walking.
2343	I do not support the proposed change	Responsible dog owners are already highly restricted on area and times if access. Increasing those limitations is unfair and discriminating. The majority should not be penalized for the minority who are not responsible dog owners.
2488	I do not support the proposed change	It's already to minum the parks and areas that you currently can walk with your dog, and to keep a healthy and balanced dog at least the dog need to be walked once a day.
2692	I do not support the proposed change	Unfair for responsible dog owners
2837	I do not support the proposed change	If the park is closed dogs (and people) are already not allowed to access it.
4025	Other (please explain below)	Access should BE ALLOWED 'ON lead' & 'off lead' during day & for most areas for trained Dogs & Owners who are licenced & registered
4489	I do not support the proposed change	I want less restrictions not more
4513	I do not support the proposed change	On lead should be sufficient once re-opened
4517	I do not support the proposed change	Ridiculous
4764	I do not support the proposed change	if people can go in, dogs will not make this worse
4879	I support the proposed change	Personal safety
4947	I do not support the proposed change	Change to on leash. Surely there is then no issue with the die back spreading if the dogs can't get to the trees?

Sub #	Q4 KPT-G Muriel Fisher Reserve	Q4 KPT-G Comment
5103	I do not support the proposed change	There is no reason for the change, it is an attack on dog owners
5260	I do not support the proposed change	Auckland is already so restrictive to dogs, give us and them a break. Stop with all the restrictions, its madness. Please. Look amd learn from the south island.
5330	Other (please explain below)	Can we change it back once we have saved the tress?
5452	I do not support the proposed change	The current rules work fine and there is no reason to change something that isn't broken
5522	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs under control have great owners, owners that have trust with their dog will only take them off leash. Stop taking places away from us, we already have a lack of options
5642	I support the proposed change	I fully support the protection of the kauri forest and believe the proposed change is justified, as it aligns with these important conservation goals.
5661	I do not support the proposed change	Responsible dog owners should be able to walk their dogs here. Stop limiting the good owners and restricting them. It is not fair on them or the dogs.
5712	I do not support the proposed change	I am also deeply concerned by Auckland Council's plan to increase on-leash and dog-free areas. These restrictions further reduce dogs' access to exercise and socialisation—key factors in preventing behavioural issues. It seems clear that dog welfare is not the Council's priority, as these proposals not only limit safe exercise spaces but also restrict access to trained professionals who can provide it. a key factors of animal welfare are the "5 freedoms", one of these main pillars is freedom to express natural behavior. it is natural for a dog to run freely! I think there has been an overall lack of insight from professionals in the animal welfare, medical, training and walking community.
5867	I do not support the proposed change	Responsible dog owners should have the right to exercise their dog/s as per they current bylaws.
5918	I do not support the proposed change	The park being closed will suffice. When it reopens the status quo show remain.
6441	I do not support the proposed change	Really? You have to be kidding - reducing off leash areas is not for the benefit of dog owners or their dogs - so why?
6447	I do not support the proposed change	Expand areas and times that dog owners can access with their companions
6478	I do not support the proposed change	There are very few places already where we can take our dogs; parks and waterways provide enrichment and socialization opportunities. Council should aim to increase the areas where dogs are allowed, instead of reducing them.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-H Soldiers Bay	Q4 KPT-H Comment
KAIPĀTIKI LOCAL BOARD		
21	I don't know	This reduces the number of places dogs can be off leash for exercise (which dogs like mine really need - walking doesn't suffice) and with the restrictions of how many dogs can be in one place at a time, this will create tension and bottlenecks.
71	I do not support the proposed change	There is no need to keep them on the lead at all times if under control and it's good to have places that you can take them to
124	I don't know	What a seriously ill thought out plan on the boards part. John Gillon - Kaipatiki Local Board tell me what you think is going to happen when one of the only all year round off leash beaches is made only off leash for one small portion of the park? (I'm talking about beach portion obviously not the bush). Then every man and there dog can only use the main beach ay kauri point to give their dogs off leash swim time meaning no one is able to give each other space. Not to mention the problems that come with 3 dogs leashed waiting their turn for a swim. Poor community's dogs. There is already hardly any off leash swimming spots available all year around for your community. Also I'd like to know exactly what wild life you are protecting? are you talking about in the wet lands? dogs don't even go there. why take that beach away for off leash play? there's not wild life on the beach?
159	I do not support the proposed change	To protect what wildlife? The wildlife that lives amongst the rubbish and plastic of the Waitemata? This is a popular dog beach that serves a valuable function in the community. Removing it to protect the non-existent wildlife seems to be a step backwards and a bizarre move to make. If the council were truly concerned with the wildlife and natural environment, they would be installing new bins at regional sites, parks and beaches. Not removing them. This appears to be an irrational step to "safe guard" nature when there are more pressing matters to tackle.
160	I do not support the proposed change	Reducing access for well behaved dogs is not a good idea. Penalise those people breaking the rules, don't add other unenforceable rules.
163	I do not support the proposed change	don't want changes - just people who let their own dogs run around without supervision or don't control badly behaved dogs or who leave dog poo to be fined. Don't let them spoil it for everyone else with dogs/
172	I do not support the proposed change	This is ridiculous, have you actually spent any time at soldiers bay? I would suggest the poor quality of the sewer systems was more of a threat to local wildlife. This is an important area for dog owners & one of the few off lead areas in the region.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-H Soldiers Bay	Q4 KPT-H Comment
220	I do not support the proposed change	Seems like someone who doesn't live here made this decision. If you want to protect wildlife, deport every human from NZ. Or take down the unfinished skyscraper in Auckland city and turn that space into a wild life reserve Put more money towards tiritiri ma tangi. Seems like this is the first step in keeping people away from the park, then closing the park, then turning all that land into apartments. That what I'd do because there doesn't seem like much reason to convert this into a wildlife reserve when it is connected to a massive bush for wildlife already?
359	I do not support the proposed change	Again, where is the evidence that local wildlife is being wiped out/substantially affected by dogs? If there's an issue, it has not been widely publicised. We walk in this area regularly and have never seen evidence to support a change to the rules.
511	I support the proposed change	Protect the wildlife
516	I do not support the proposed change	Set time limit as opposed to blanket rule
553	I do not support the proposed change	There are very few areas on this coastline and other coastlines of the North Shore that dogs can be allowed to swim at anytime of the day off-leash, as swimming on leash poses many risks. I believe taking this one area way that they currently have is detrimental to their wellbeing. I would be curious to know what wildlife is being protected and the impact dogs have on them vs. the impact humans and passing boats have on them.
572	I do not support the proposed change	This is one of the only bays around for off leash Beach running. How about penalising humans for fishing or crushing the shells, making fire on the beaches there and leaving waste around ?
1353	I do not support the proposed change	There are a million beaches around Auckland that people have access to, including nearby ones. There are few where dogs are allowed off leash and is a 'dog beach' its not exactly a nice swimming beach, and as long as there is adequate signage that should be sufficient.
1395	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs need to be able to walk off leash if they are good obedient dogs. Look at the owner if there is a problematic dog.
1399	Other (please explain below)	Does this mean they can't swim at the end of the walk, I find that a bit unfair. I support the on leash as our walker follows but they need to cool off.
1551	I do not support the proposed change	This has been, for many years, the place that dog owners could take their dogs and enjoy an off leash walk, throw a ball onto the sea for them to chase and enjoy their time there - there are so many other places that people who don't want to be around dogs can go. We have paid rates in this area since 1967 and have enjoyed walking our dogs all these years in this area.
1561	I do not support the proposed change	Because my dog is trained and never out of sight.
1654	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs should have access to all areas when under control. (with or without a lead) Families and children should be able to go to the beach with there dogs!!
2013	I support the proposed change	Fair compromise

Sub #	Q4 KPT-H Soldiers Bay	Q4 KPT-H Comment
2082	I do not support the proposed change	Unnecessary, we need more off lead areas than less
2086	I do not support the proposed change	Never had issues before, punishing everyone for a small handful of irresponsible owners isn't fair. The less our dogs are out in society the more harmful to their behavior moving forward
2105	I do not support the proposed change	I visit this space often and this is ridiculous. No responsible dog owner allows their dog to roam far from their sight, has good recall over their dog, and ensures they are behaving appropriately.
2161	I do not support the proposed change	This is a popular local area for walking dogs away from large crowds of people where dogs can run off leash. With dog ownership becoming more popular reducing areas where dog owners can efficiently exercise their dogs does not make any sense.
2511	I do not support the proposed change	I have never seen wildlife in danger from off leash dogs at soldiers bay. This is one of the few beaches dogs can play and swim during the day all year round. This seems really unreasonable and unnecessary
2583	I do not support the proposed change	This is a great shared area for picnics with dogs off leash under control. Never had any incident for 10+ years and am there weekly.
2635	I do not support the proposed change	This is one of the few off leash all year round beaches for dogs. Most good owners don't let their dogs harass wildlife. Anyone that does, will ignore rules and continue to let their dogs off leash. So once again, the responsible owners will be penalised.
2713	I do not support the proposed change	We should be allowed to have access to these places as well
2884	I support the proposed change	Excellent idea. I've seen hawks in the past in this area but not for ages. There must be lots of other vulnerable birdlife.
2909	I do not support the proposed change	Owners should control their dogs on and off leash
2940	I do not support the proposed change	The public area that appeals to dogs and walkers is on the beach side. The privately owned land in the bay with owners having riparian rights is a point of interest in that this land does have significant kauris as does the adjoining Muriel Fisher and Kauri Park. The access to this corridor should be fenced off to mitigate the risk of transmission of kauri dieback by off track people and animals.
2994	I don't know	Of this is just for Soldiers Bay from the small track off the main track to Fitzpatrick Bay then this makes sense
3146	I do not support the proposed change	I presume this covers what google maps calls 'Fitzpatrick Bay Beach'? I am extremely worried and upset by this proposed change. My dog and I go to this beach constantly. It is the one place where I feel comfortable having him offleash knowing I will not annoy anyone - there are no young children or picnickers etc here - it is a dog beach. It is a huge source of my dog's enrichment and happiness and this change would greatly impact his life. He is a swimmer and swims for hours in the water - taking this away seems cruel.
3159	I do not support the proposed change	Same response
3303	Other (please explain below)	This is a dedicated dog beach, if you remove it then offer a different location or facility to replace it. I support protection of wildlife.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-H Soldiers Bay	Q4 KPT-H Comment
3748	I do not support the proposed change	Never had an issue with my dogs or other peoples dogs down there disturbing wildlife.
3876	I do not support the proposed change	There are not enough off leash dog areas and this is a really good one. Dogs and owners that utilise this area are well behaved and under control. The bush area is large and poorly maintained, so its natural habitat remains generally undisturbed for wildlife.
3935	I do not support the proposed change	I would like to see this bay designated as a bird sanctuary with no dogs allowed. At the moment it is designated as an off-lead area and at the same time being an area of special significance due to it being a wetland and the home of banded rail and rake. These appear to be relatively safe as long as they keep in the raupo. However they also feed on the mud flats at the mouth of the bay and get there by using the cover of the mangroves . To actually feed they have to take their life into their legs and walk out on to the mud flats. In addition twice a day, 20 years ago these mud flats used to be the feeding ground for 30-40 wading birds. Dogs used to love trying to catch them which prevented them feeding in peace. There are now just one or two left which still manage to feed there. Soldiers Bay dog walking opportunities are small in comparison to Kauri Point Domain. It would be a wonderful taonga if we left it to the birds.
4083	I do not support the proposed change	Penalise the bad dog owners but don't restrict access for good dog owners
4315	I support the proposed change	But I was there at the weekend and there was a lot of dog mess on the ground.
4659	I do not support the proposed change	Auckland already has a limited number of off-leash areas, and restricting these further will only exacerbate the problem. With fewer spaces for dogs to roam freely, owners will be forced into more crowded, often less suitable environments, undermining the very purpose of off-leash parks. The joy of seeing a dog run freely and interact with others in a safe environment is a shared experience that both owners and dogs cherish. Denying this opportunity through an increase in on-leash only areas erodes not just the health of our pets but the quality of life for Auckland's dog owners as well. By reducing the availability of off-leash areas, we are not just impacting the dogs, but also the community. We are taking away a place where people can come together, interact, and enjoy a sense of community while watching their dogs play. This is a service that contributes to a well-rounded, active lifestyle and fosters positive social interactions among dog owners. It's essential that the council takes this into account and ensures that off-leash areas are preserved, not restricted, for the benefit of both dogs and their owners.
4746	Other (please explain below)	Complete ban. This Bay is overrun with dogs and dog owners. No-one will keep them on leashes.
4768	I don't know	I feel bit ambivalent - think dogs can be off leash some of the time on the track so maybe on the beach too. Not sure of the wildlife impact on this one.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-H Soldiers Bay	Q4 KPT-H Comment
4787	I support the proposed change	The proposed on-leash area needs to extend from the access pathway in Kauri Point Domain until the track of Soldiers Bay Wetland (aka accessway to Island Bay), including the muddy estuarine area containing mangroves. At low tide this is a key feeding and cover habitat for the banded rail, spotless crane and other wildlife. It is not a place for exercising dogs in the water or mud. There is another safe beach for this purpose at Fitzpatrick Bay. The future boardwalk will help encourage dog-owners to limit disturbance alongside the strict on-leash rules. Please update the reason on the plan to also include protection of wetland habitat and wildlife that are vulnerable to off-leash dogs.
4806	I do not support the proposed change	This area is mainly pine and marsh. Giving dogs an area to be off-leash keeps them away from areas where they have to be on-leash as it is better for the dogs to have a good run around off-leash
4865	I support the proposed change	The wildlife in this area should be protected, and there are a large number of off-leash dogs that walk in this area.
4993	I do not support the proposed change	This is one of the few areas locally dogs can be exercised off leash and access a beach. I believe the restrictions needed are covered by the proposal to limit the number of dogs any one handler can have.
5138	I do not support the proposed change	One of the few places dogs can be off leash. Leave as is

Sub #	Q4 KPT-H Soldiers Bay	Q4 KPT-H Comment
5276	I do not support the proposed change	I would question whether any members of council or the kaipatiki board have actually visited Sulphur Beach in the past 12 months (or longer). This beach is not remotely suitable as a beach for humans, let alone dogs! It is a polluted wasteland, with broken glass everywhere, sharp oysters and copious amounts of rubbish everywhere. The risk of dogs having their paws sliced open from the oysters and broken glass could lead to very expensive vet bills. The risk of infection to both humans and dogs would not be small. There are also the risks associated with it being a beach right beside the harbour bridge and motorway. For example the noise is very loud, so if a dog was prone to anxiety, they could easily be spooked by the extremely loud noises. For example when large trucks go over a bump, the general roar of the traffic, the sound of sirens when emergency vehicles are attending accidents etc. Then there is the risk of a dog bolting in fear and if they managed to get onto the motorway the ensuing carnage that would follow could be catastrophic and even fatal to drivers. I cannot remotely see the logic of this beach being offered up as some sort of compensation when they are proposing to remove dog access from other beaches on the north shore which currently do allow dog access. In a very quick walk at Sulphur beach this morning, this was just SOME of the rubbish that is littered all over the beach. I cannot see how anyone would make an educated suggestion of this beach being an appropriate place for people to visit or take their dogs to. I also find it hard to understand why dog owners should be persecuted and not allowed to visit nice beaches just like those people who don't have dogs.
5328	I do not support the proposed change	I think it's unfortunate that due to becoming a regular stop for unlicensed dog walkers locals are going to lose access to a great anytime walk for our dogs. If there are nesting birds - could access be restricted during breeding season?
5331	I do not support the proposed change	Off leash areas are essential for dog walking and socialisation, therefore, this park MUST remain available for this purpose
5332	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs to be able to be off leash under control and to pathways only. Wide life areas to be taped off
5334	I don't know	I don't know how to get to this bay so can't comment
5357	I do not support the proposed change	This is not going to fix the people who already don't abide by council dog laws. Better signage. Rope off the area where birds nest saying no dogs u you will be fined in this area. Put up signs warning people of the birds nesting. Patrol it with AMO in peak times make people liable with fines.
5388	I do not support the proposed change	Key area for dog socialisation and not we'll frequented by others using the beach recreationally
5393	I do not support the proposed change	Most dog owners walking their dogs in this area monitor their dogs carefully so wildlife is not targeted

Sub #	Q4 KPT-H Soldiers Bay	Q4 KPT-H Comment
5402	I do not support the proposed change	If people have their dogs under control then there should be no need for a leash. Parts of the track under the cliff edge will difficult to navigate with a leashed dog since the slips have brought down trees which haven't been cleared.
5457	I do not support the proposed change	On leash at a beach is silly . It's a dog beach
5467	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs already have limited freedom when it comes to off leash exercise space. By limiting the off leash spaces you are more likely to have more trouble between dogs.
5504	I do not support the proposed change	Strongly against the change!!!!
5559	I do not support the proposed change	As stated previously, this is a well used dog socialisation and swimming area and one of the few in the area which has a wide open space to be able to actively let one's dog run and play, with the reward of a swim at the end. The track to get to the beach at the end is well maintained and wide enough and there are not enough lovely nature walks in this area where dogs can play off leash with other well socialised dogs.
5568	I do not support the proposed change	We need exercise areas and to be allowed to socialise our dogs
5600	I do not support the proposed change	If they are under control, they should not be going for wildlife at all. Don't punish the people that train their dogs well. The few people that don't train their dogs properly will still let their dogs do what they want with or without a leash - this is a seperate issue
5651	I support the proposed change	A lot of pack walkers come here. When their pack is off-leash it can be dangerous for other dogs outside of the pack as the pack tends to act aggressively
5708	I do not support the proposed change	This is a well known and one of the only year round dog beaches in our area. Due to the location of the beach it is not frequented as much by young families, due to the walk that is involved to get there. Dogs need to be able to run and play and to maintain social balances with other dogs, which they do not get when on lead. There are many dogs that are leash reactive, but enjoy playing chase, swimming and running with other dogs when off leash. Please do not take away one of the best dog walks in our area that has the mix of a lovely scenic walk with our dog to get to a lovely swimming location for the dog at the end.
5841	Other (please explain below)	This is utterly ridiculous as this is one of the very few areas that many pack walkers use to let the dogs off lead where they can frolick on the sand and water often away from non dog walkers. This beach is great for dog walkers to give each other space and let dogs have a good run about. Never once have i seen dogs go into the marshy tree areas where there could be birds etc - they are focused on the beach and water. Losing this area will really impact dogs being able to socialise and get exercise.
6160	I do not support the proposed change	There is very little wildlife at Soilders Bay.
6216	I don't know	Unsure what wildlife is being protected in this area. It's mainly swamp.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-H Soldiers Bay	Q4 KPT-H Comment
6793	Other (please explain below)	Regarding Soldiers Bay, one part of the proposal allows dogs off-leash from Fitzpatrick Bay headland, including Soldiers Bay Beach, while another section contradicts this, saying dogs won't be allowed off-leash. I propose that any leash restrictions be limited to the actual beach section (across the makeshift bridge) and not applied to the foreshore between the end of the Soldiers Bay track and Fitzpatrick Bay headland, which should remain off-leash.
6796	I do not support the proposed change	Same reason as my previous answer. Lacks total sense and reason. And being a very discreet and off the beaten track beach, the rules would not be followed.
OUTSIDE KAIPĀTIKI LOCAL BOARD		
177	Other (please explain below)	Supported for so long as the conservation need requires but no longer. Restriction should be conditional on that and require periodic pre scheduled reviews
239	I do not support the proposed change	What "wildlife" that can't also be destroyed by humans? Perhaps cordon off the protected area? Why are dogs banned and not crazy human children?
304	I do not support the proposed change	Status Quo should be preserved. Very quiet area with very few people walking in this area.
417	I do not support the proposed change	I advocate for being allowed dogs under control and on leash in more of aucklands regional parks and within more areas of aucklands regional parks. Walking and hiking in Aucklands regional parks is a perfect activity for having canine companionship. There is plenty of space for everyone and when dogs are under control on leash there is little room for that to be problematic to anyone. Currently the options for getting fresh air and exercise for dog owners in Auckland are already incredibly limited. I do not support limiting this further. Again, the emphasis should be on policing and preventing nad dog ownership, not making it impossible le for good dogs and owners to enjoy wholesome, healthy activities in our own neighborhoods.
523	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs should be allowed on all publics areas under control at all times unless there is a non kauri die back conservation need
557	I do not support the proposed change	"Protect wildlife" doesnt specify the reasoning or rationalle for such a big change. Reasons should be specific, and controla adopted should be tailored to manage the risk while maintaining right of use for dog walkera in the area. Note that many owners in this area ONLY have easy access to this local park, and the restrictions may leave them with nowhere to go.
640	I support the proposed change	Dogs are running through the estuary and disturbing threatened bird species e.g. spotless crake and through the bush spreading kauri dieback. The main issue will then making sure this area is patrolled and there is good signage. There is no point (and it reinforces to people that rules don't apply) if there are no consequences for not following the rules.
664	I support the proposed change	Dogs should be on leash in public places.
689	I do not support the proposed change	There needs to be some balance in the restrictions, and a lot of beach access is already being proposed to be limited

Sub #	Q4 KPT-H Soldiers Bay	Q4 KPT-H Comment
849	Other (please explain below)	If this change is to support wildlife, then agree with it. My concern is, I believe it is currently one of the few off lead dog beach areas... how is this going to be 'policed'? There are other beaches/places across Tāmaki Makaurau where wildlife and dogs co-exist with well placed signage/ string fencing as a reminder to the humans etc. Could this be a better option than implementing something that can't be policed, therefore ignored and the issue still remains??
1358	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs should be allowed on leash in all park areas. Provision for off leash play should be made in all parks.
1854	Other (please explain below)	Same for all areas - we should have a section for owners and dogs to be off leash during summer.
1910	I do not support the proposed change	stop restricting access
2139	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs are family members it is already difficult to find activities you can do with your dog. Banning them from beaches and parks will be a step back as a society. In fact more and more people is opting for not having kids and having dogs instead. This will new proposed policy will definitively have a huge negative impact on the wellbeing of NZ dog owners. We should promote healthier, active lifestyle. What other alternatives we have as dog owners is the equivalent of banning kids in parks. that does not make sense.
2183	I do not support the proposed change	We share this land - with all living things. We cannot prohibit access because it might cause issue with a few biased individuals. This doesn't solve an issue it creates disconnect and segregation between demographics. Focus on educating dog owners as well as non- dog owners and simplifying regulations. If people get used to dogs everywhere, the less of an issue it will become. Dogs bring joy to peoples' lives. Stop trying to take away their rights to play. That's all they ask...we have people that treat them horrifically, people who hit them, beat them and try to kill them and yet they stay loyal to their owners and only ever ask for love and a good walk in return. Just let them be part of the community.
2184	I do not support the proposed change	Keep current
2185	Other (please explain below)	Please provide as many opportunities as possible for on-lead walking.
2343	I do not support the proposed change	Responsible dog owners are already highly restricted on area and times if access. Increasing those limitations is unfair and discriminating. The majority should not be penalized for the minority who are not responsible dog owners.
2488	I do not support the proposed change	It's already to minum the parks and areas that you currently can walk with your dog, and to keep a healthy and balanced dog at least the dog need to be walked once a day.
2692	I do not support the proposed change	Penalises responsible owners
2837	I do not support the proposed change	Unlikely to make a difference and the area is nice as a place to let your dog off-lead.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-H Soldiers Bay	Q4 KPT-H Comment
3754	I do not support the proposed change	This is a hugely utilised off lead dog walking area, and there are not enough areas like this for exercising dogs in Auckland city. I have had nothing but good experience with other like minded and responsible dog owners. These areas are crucial for well exercised, well behaved and socialised dogs.
4489	I do not support the proposed change	I want less restrictions not more
4517	I do not support the proposed change	Why?
4546	I do not support the proposed change	Long time dog off leash area - here's hoping the dotterels fly to another area to breed.
4764	I do not support the proposed change	there are few options for safe off leash dog exercise areas
4879	I support the proposed change	Personal safety
4947	I do not support the proposed change	This is reducing the amount of space available for off leash/on leash walking. I do not support this.
5103	I do not support the proposed change	There is no reason for the change, it is an attack on dog owners
5260	I do not support the proposed change	Auckland is already so restrictive to dogs, give us and them a break. Stop with all the restrictions, its madness. Please. Look amd learn from the south island.
5522	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs under control have great owners, owners that have trust with their dog will only take them off leash. Stop taking places away from us, we already have a lack of options
5661	I do not support the proposed change	Responsible dog owners should be able to walk their dogs here. Stop limiting the good owners and restricting them. It is not fair on them or the dogs.
5703	I do not support the proposed change	Just another restriction to where we can take our dogs for a walk and a swim in areas that are not generally used by non dog people.
5867	I do not support the proposed change	Responsible dog owners should have the right to exercise their dog/s as per they current bylaws.
5918	I do not support the proposed change	No need
6441	I do not support the proposed change	Again - unnecessary and not to any benefit of anyone - certainly will do nothing to address the so called 'dog problems' that Council identify
6447	I do not support the proposed change	Expand areas and times that dog owners can access with their companions
6478	I do not support the proposed change	There are very few places already where we can take our dogs; parks and waterways provide enrichment and socialization opportunities. Council should aim to increase the areas where dogs are allowed, instead of reducing them.
6544	I don't know	Clear sign posting would be needed, I support as a safe space for people wanting on lead to go instead of Fitzpatrick but I do not support depending on propose changes to Fitzpatrick
6724	I do not support the proposed change	Another special beach that allows so many amazing family times with your dog, swimming with the dogs. so much fun. Why is it being proposed to prohibit dogs from being off leash?

Sub #	Q4 KPT-H Soldiers Bay	Q4 KPT-H Comment
6940	I support the proposed change	More importantly, this protects children and other vulnerable people

Sub #	Q4 KPT-I Soldiers Bay Reserve	Q4 KPT-I Comment
KAIPĀTIKI LOCAL BOARD		
21	I support the proposed change	Kauri dieback is important
71	I do not support the proposed change	As before dogs need to be off leash to enjoy the walk with their owners but still under control
159	I do not support the proposed change	I really don't think there are many Kauri around the trail. Dogs aren't running for the hills in these parks. The ones under control won't be going near the kauri to spread the dieback. Why is the council trying to limit access to these parks? Does the council have evidence that dogs aren't causing these issues in this specific area? I highly doubt it.
160	I do not support the proposed change	Reducing access for well behaved dogs is not a good idea. Penalise those people breaking the rules, don't add other unenforceable rules.
163	I do not support the proposed change	don't want changes - just people who let their own dogs run around without supervision or don't control badly behaved dogs or who leave dog poo to be fined. Don't let them spoil it for everyone else with dogs/
172	I do not support the proposed change	As per previous Maybe try looking after the walking tracks instead!
220	I do not support the proposed change	There are already wildlife reserves that desperately need support.
337	I do not support the proposed change	There need to be areas where dogs can be off leash and access beaches. And bush areas. Work with PDWA to establish working solutions effective solutions.
359	I do not support the proposed change	Show us the proof that dogs alone are responsible for this. The main track is lined mostly with pines, not Kauri, so it's hard to support a blanket on-leash rule.
511	I support the proposed change	Please do the same for smiths bush. Bad area for dogs off leash in bush
516	I do not support the proposed change	Fix the disease as opposed to creating a law.
553	I do not support the proposed change	Same goes as my previous answers. This pocket from Balmain road, down to the beach allows dogs to be fully stimulated both mentally and physically when allowed off leash which results in calmer, better behaved dogs when back on leash in other public spaces. The North Shore is riddled with pockets of parks and beaches, most of which dogs are not welcome off leash, and with a dog population of 135,546 dogs in Auckland, it feels important we have 'a few' spaces they are able to be exercised and allowed to be off-leash. By taking away these spaces, you are taking away that. Currently with how they are marked, people who may not like dogs are given a clear option to go elsewhere to one of the very many other parks or beaches where dogs will not be off leash.
572	I do not support the proposed change	Leave as off leash.
1119	I do not support the proposed change	As per previous answer

Sub #	Q4 KPT-I Soldiers Bay Reserve	Q4 KPT-I Comment
1353	I do not support the proposed change	There are a million beaches around Auckland that people have access to, including nearby ones. There are few where dogs are allowed off leash and is a 'dog beach' its not exactly a nice swimming beach, and as long as there is adequate signage that should be sufficient.
1395	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs need to be able to walk off leash if they are good obedient dogs. Look at the owner if there is a problematic dog.
1399	I do not support the proposed change	Again another Kauri dieback rule.
1561	I do not support the proposed change	My dog stays on the track
1602	I do not support the proposed change	its a wonderful place to walk a dog as its off leash, so many dogs need space to run around freely and this is one of the few places int he area where they can do this.
1654	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs should have access to all areas when under control.
1679	I do not support the proposed change	over the last 30 years of having dogs in Auckland I have only ever seen 1 issue once with a dog. the owner was mortified and apologetic (it was a frazzled mum with a dog and a baby and no spare hands) Your changes by stealth will remove the local "off leash" area that has never had any issues and is not a popular public area or swimming beach. I cannot support any part of your rule changes as it doesn't account for the fact there is no local issue. We are so far behind other countries in this area where dogs are in cafes, restaurants, on buses and planes we are in the dark ages for even considering removing access to local walks. the area you are discussing is a road / track and very few dogs go off the track into the "pine forest" see previous not on the council protecting the actual kauri trees in this area or lack of
2013	I support the proposed change	Fair compromise
2082	I do not support the proposed change	Unnecessary too many restrictive areas already
2086	I do not support the proposed change	Never had issues before, punishing everyone for a small handful of irresponsible owners isn't fair. The less our dogs are out in society the more harmful to their behavior moving forward
2105	I do not support the proposed change	We can clean paws as well as our shoes.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-I Soldiers Bay Reserve	Q4 KPT-I Comment
2161	I do not support the proposed change	This is a popular local area for walking dogs away from large crowds of people where dogs can run off leash. With dog ownership becoming more popular reducing areas where dog owners can efficiency exercise there dogs does not make any sense.
2511	I do not support the proposed change	I do not believe dogs are spreading kauri die back. This seems unfair and very restrictive
2583	I do not support the proposed change	Are you eliminating all the possums and cats as well?
2635	I do not support the proposed change	As per previous. This is one of the few off leash all year round beach with reserve for dogs. Most good owners don't let their dogs harass wildlife. Anyone that does, will ignore rules and continue to let their dogs off leash. So once again, the responsible owners will be penalised
2713	I do not support the proposed change	We should be allowed to have access to these places as well
2884	I support the proposed change	Does the refer to Kauri Point Domain? I believe this is more accurate than simply 'Soldiers Bay'.
2909	I do not support the proposed change	As above
2940	I do not support the proposed change	See response to proposal for Soldiers Bay .
2994	I don't know	If this is just from the small track to Soldiers Bay that runs off the main track to Fitzpatrick Bay then that is okay
3146	I do not support the proposed change	I do not support this change. These walks are a huge source of happiness and enrichment for my dog. If they are vulnerable to dieback shouldn't they be shut completely?
3159	I do not support the proposed change	Same response
3303	Other (please explain below)	Why would you restrict dogs when cars are roaming and killing throughout the night? This is inconsistent for pet owners as cats continue to roam, and the kauri dieback specialist told me me transfer from pets is unlikely. Otherwise ban cats in the reserve too, except onleash
3876	I do not support the proposed change	Clear signage is all that is required. Responsible dog owners walk their dogs off leash on the path and do not require more restrictive rules in this area. The main access to Soldiers Bay Beach is well defined and dogs remain on track. Please don't change this fabulous off-leash dog area. Note: The one area on a separate pathway off to the right where the mangroves area is could easily be signposted separately and restricted to on-leash to protect that habitat.
3935	I do not support the proposed change	See the above
4083	I do not support the proposed change	Penalise the bad dog owners but don't restrict access for good dog owners

Sub #	Q4 KPT-I Soldiers Bay Reserve	Q4 KPT-I Comment
4315	I support the proposed change	But I was there at the weekend and there was a lot of dog mess on the ground.
4659	I do not support the proposed change	Why make the beach onleash? make the track and wetlands on leash but not the ocean
4746	Other (please explain below)	Complete ban.
4768	I do not support the proposed change	I think some off leash times should be allowed.
4787	I support the proposed change	We support the on-leash at all times proposal to protect kauri forest.
4806	I do not support the proposed change	Mainly pine, this is a wonderful off-leash area that keeps dogs away from protected kauri areas.
4865	I do not support the proposed change	This will be a difficult rule to enforce, given the area - adjoining Kauri Point Domain, which is off leash. Many owners will not make an effort to have their dogs on-leash in this area, making the rule change ineffective.
4993	I support the proposed change	If evidence shows that dogs are a risk then I would support this change
5138	I do not support the proposed change	Leave as is

Sub #	Q4 KPT-I Soldiers Bay Reserve	Q4 KPT-I Comment
5276	I do not support the proposed change	I would question whether any members of council or the kaipatiki board have actually visited Sulphur Beach in the past 12 months (or longer). This beach is not remotely suitable as a beach for humans, let alone dogs! It is a polluted wasteland, with broken glass everywhere, sharp oysters and copious amounts of rubbish everywhere. The risk of dogs having their paws sliced open from the oysters and broken glass could lead to very expensive vet bills. The risk of infection to both humans and dogs would not be small. There are also the risks associated with it being a beach right beside the harbour bridge and motorway. For example the noise is very loud, so if a dog was prone to anxiety, they could easily be spooked by the extremely loud noises. For example when large trucks go over a bump, the general roar of the traffic, the sound of sirens when emergency vehicles are attending accidents etc. Then there is the risk of a dog bolting in fear and if they managed to get onto the motorway the ensuing carnage that would follow could be catastrophic and even fatal to drivers. I cannot remotely see the logic of this beach being offered up as some sort of compensation when they are proposing to remove dog access from other beaches on the north shore which currently do allow dog access. In a very quick walk at Sulphur beach this morning, this was just SOME of the rubbish that is littered all over the beach. I cannot see how anyone would make an educated suggestion of this beach being an appropriate place for people to visit or take their dogs to. I also find it hard to understand why dog owners should be persecuted and not allowed to visit nice beaches just like those people who don't have dogs.
5331	I do not support the proposed change	Off leash areas are essential for dog walking and socialisation, therefore, this park MUST remain available for this purpose
5332	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs to be able to be off leash under control and to pathways only. Wide life areas to be taped off
5334	I do not support the proposed change	This beach is one of the few that dogs can use. This change means that we can no longer take our dogs to the beach herev
5357	I do not support the proposed change	Better signage and monitoring those who abuse current rules. Off leash under control!
5388	I do not support the proposed change	As per last response re not well patronised by non dog owners
5393	I do not support the proposed change	Again there is little evidence to prove this is an issue.
5402	I don't know	I'm not 100% certain which area this refers to. If it is the area between the track to Fitzpatrick Bay and the Defence Force land, then no. There are no Kauri trees in this area. It's all pine trees and Wattle.
5443	I do not support the proposed change	This is a well used walk for dog owners of all types and is not regularly used by other members of the public. This change would benefit the minority.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-I Soldiers Bay Reserve	Q4 KPT-I Comment
5449	I support the proposed change	Support kauri management plans
5504	I do not support the proposed change	F**k off
5559	I do not support the proposed change	Not enough dog walking off leash areas are available in this area. This is a lovely area to be able to walk your dog under control off leash, please do not take away any more from us responsible dog owners.
5708	I do not support the proposed change	This is a well known and one of the only year round dog beaches in our area. Due to the location of the beach it is not frequented as much by young families, due to the walk that is involved to get there. Dogs need to be able to run and play and to maintain social balances with other dogs, which they do not get when on lead. There are many dogs that are leash reactive, but enjoy playing chase, swimming and running with other dogs when off leash. Please do not take away one of the best dog walks in our area that has the mix of a lovely scenic walk with our dog to get to a lovely swimming location for the dog at the end.
5841	I do not support the proposed change	As with the beach I don't believe you need restrictions as the dogs don't go through that area except using the rough path to go in and out. This will cause dogs to be in each other's space and I don't know what you're making a problem where there isn't.
5897	I do not support the proposed change	Most dogs stay on track anyway
6160	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs do not promote Kauri Dieback

Sub #	Q4 KPT-I Soldiers Bay Reserve	Q4 KPT-I Comment
6443	I do not support the proposed change	As a dedicated member of our local community, I am writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed policy to restrict Soldiers Bay's beach to on-leash use only. While I fully understand and respect the council's dedication to protecting the valuable birdlife in our wetlands, I believe that an outright ban on off-leash areas may not be the best solution for our community—or for our natural environment. The Value of Off-Leash Areas Off-leash beach areas provide critical benefits for dog owners and their pets. These spaces allow dogs to exercise freely, socialize, and enjoy the natural environment, contributing positively to their physical and mental well-being. Limiting these opportunities can have adverse effects on responsible dog ownership and may lead to the displacement of community members who rely on Soldiers Bay as a recreational haven. Protecting Our Wetlands and Birdlife I recognize that the wetlands and mangrove areas at Soldiers Bay are essential habitats for local birdlife and other wildlife. The council's concerns about preserving these natural resources are valid and deserve careful attention. However, by making the entire beach on-leash only, we risk undermining a longstanding community asset that many residents and dog owners hold dear. A Targeted Alternative: Fencing the Sensitive Areas Instead of imposing a blanket on-leash policy across the entire beach, I propose a more nuanced approach: Fencing around the wetlands and mangrove areas: This would create a physical barrier between the off-leash beach area and the ecologically sensitive zones.
6796	I do not support the proposed change	Same as previous answers. Lack of reason and proper thought with off-lead dogs seemingly taking the blame. It's ridiculous and completely unfair.
OUTSIDE KAIPĀTIKI LOCAL BOARD		
177	Other (please explain below)	Supported for so long as the conservation need requires but no longer. Restriction should be conditional on that and require periodic pre scheduled reviews
231	Other (please explain below)	I don't think that this area even has kauri trees anywhere near the tracks or reserve, it mostly has big old pine trees, this seems like an unnecessary change
239	I do not support the proposed change	I'd rather you close the whole park for both dogs and humans. Don't just ban the dog.
304	I do not support the proposed change	Status Quo should be preserved with very few people even using this area.
375	I do not support the proposed change	This is one beach that dogs can access to swim all year round that is safe and isolated but close to the city. Ridiculous change proposed.
523	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs should be allowed on all public areas under control at all times unless there is a non kauri die back conservation need

Sub #	Q4 KPT-I Soldiers Bay Reserve	Q4 KPT-I Comment
664	I support the proposed change	being on a leash helps lessen the spread of Kauri dieback
689	I support the proposed change	This is an example of balance: on-leash to the beach and a bit of freedom once there.
849	Other (please explain below)	I'm confused at the wording. Is this just the TRACK area that is propped to be on lead?? If there is water access around this reserve and tracks, are dogs on lead in the water area also?
1358	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs should be allowed on leash in all park areas. Provision for off leash play should be made in all parks.
1854	Other (please explain below)	Same for all areas - we should have a section for owners and dogs to be off leash during summer.
1910	I do not support the proposed change	this is too restrictive.
1914	Other (please explain below)	Consider a rule for dog booties to be worn or clarifying dogs must wash their paws same as humans
2139	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs are family members it is already difficult to find activities you can do with your dog. Banning them from beaches and parks will be a step back as a society. In fact more and more people is opting for not having kids and having dogs instead. This will new proposed policy will definitively have a huge negative impact on the wellbeing of NZ dog owners. We should promote healthier, active lifestyle. What other alternatives we have as dog owners is the equivalent of banning kids in parks. that does not make sense.
2183	I do not support the proposed change	We share this land - with all living things. We cannot prohibit access because it might cause issue with a few biased individuals. This doesn't solve an issue it creates disconnect and segregation between demographics. Focus on educating dog owners as well as non- dog owners and simplifying regulations. If people get used to dogs everywhere, the less of an issue it will become. Dogs bring joy to peoples' lives. Stop trying to take away their rights to play. That's all they ask...we have people that treat them horrifically, people who hit them, beat them and try to kill them and yet they stay loyal to their owners and only ever ask for love and a good walk in return. Just let them be part of the community.
2184	I do not support the proposed change	Keep current
2185	Other (please explain below)	Please provide as many opportunities as possible for on-lead walking.
2343	I do not support the proposed change	Responsible dog owners are already highly restricted on area and times if access. Increasing those limitations is unfair and discriminating. The majority should not be penalized for the minority who are not responsible dog owners.
2488	I do not support the proposed change	It's already to minum the parks and areas that you currently can walk with your dog, and to keep a healthy and balanced dog at least the dog need to be walked once a day.
2692	I do not support the proposed change	See previous

Sub #	Q4 KPT-I Soldiers Bay Reserve	Q4 KPT-I Comment
2837	I do not support the proposed change	I can't even find where this area is or how it differs from Soldiers Bay
3754	I do not support the proposed change	This is a hugely utilised off lead dog walking area, and there are not enough areas like this for exercising dogs in Auckland city. I have had nothing but good experience with other like minded and responsible dog owners. These areas are crucial for well exercised, well behaved and socialised dogs.
4489	I do not support the proposed change	I want less restrictions not more
4764	I do not support the proposed change	there are other options that can help here
4879	I support the proposed change	Personal safety
4947	I support the proposed change	Finally, a change that makes sense.
5103	I do not support the proposed change	There is no reason for the change, it is an attack on dog owners
5260	I do not support the proposed change	Auckland is already so restrictive to dogs, give us and them a break. Stop with all the restrictions, its madness. Please. Look amd learn from the south island.
5522	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs under control have great owners, owners that have trust with their dog will only take them off leash. Stop taking places away from us, we already have a lack of options
5642	I support the proposed change	I fully support the protection of the kauri forest and believe the amended policy is justified, as it aligns with these important conservation goals.
5661	I do not support the proposed change	Responsible dog owners should be able to walk their dogs here. Stop limiting the good owners and restricting them. It is not fair on them or the dogs.
5867	I do not support the proposed change	Responsible dog owners should have the right to exercise their dog/s as per they current bylaws.
5918	I do not support the proposed change	No need
6441	I do not support the proposed change	There is zero evidence that dogs do anything to spread kauri die back. This reason is just rubbish
6447	I do not support the proposed change	Expand areas and times that dog owners can access with their companions
6478	I do not support the proposed change	There are very few places already where we can take our dogs; parks and waterways provide enrichment and socialization opportunities. Council should aim to increase the areas where dogs are allowed, instead of reducing them.
6940	I support the proposed change	More importantly, this protects children and other vulnerable people

Sub #	Q4 KPT-I Soldiers Bay Reserve	Q4 KPT-I Comment
7269	I do not support the proposed change	Soldiers Bay - o Unsure why it was designated it was an off leash area in the wetland where there are vulnerable wildlife - o Birds feed band nest here (banded rail, spotless grey – nest from Nov – March) - o Use to have 30 – 40 waded birds in the wetland – the decline in wildlife population is partially due to dogs and stilt - o Asking the board to consider Soldiers Bay completely dog free – a sanctuary for the birds or at least on leash at all times - o This areas has bush with precious birds such as moreporks. It would be a pity to take them away.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-J Soren G Christensen Reserve	Q4 KPT-J Comment
KAIPĀTIKI LOCAL BOARD		
572	I do not support the proposed change	Kauti die back will only be resolved when educating the humans- often without dogs- who do not want to stay on the path. On leash under control should be the rule
1654	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs should have access to all areas when under control. Families and children should be able to go to the beach with there dogs!!
2013	I do not support the proposed change	Should be controlled on a leash
2511	I don't know	Only if it's reviewed once kauri die back is under control
2583	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs have not proven to contribute to Katrina dieback. Ban both humans and dogs if it's an issue?
2713	I do not support the proposed change	We should be allowed to have access to these places as well
2909	I do not support the proposed change	AS ANSWERED BEFORE RE DIE BACK
4787	I support the proposed change	We support the proposed change to prohibit dogs, which reflects the closed status of this reserve.
5317	I do not support the proposed change	Allow on leash
5334	I support the proposed change	Kauri should be protected and i don't know where this is
5449	I support the proposed change	Support kauri management plans
5684	I do not support the proposed change	Should be dogs on leash
6709	I support the proposed change	It's closed anyway
OUTSIDE KAIPĀTIKI LOCAL BOARD		
177	Other (please explain below)	Supported for so long as the conservation need requires but no longer. Restriction should be conditional on that and require periodic pre scheduled reviews
523	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs should be allowed on all publics areas under control at all times unless there is a non kauri die back conservation need
689	I support the proposed change	Again with the proviso mentioned before
1358	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs should be allowed on leash in all park areas. Provision for off leash play should be made in all parks.
1379	I do not support the proposed change	When this area reopens we have lost another dog exercise area. Change to on leash rather than close to dogs
1854	Other (please explain below)	Same for all areas - we should have a section for owners and dogs to be off leash during summer.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-J Soren G Christensen Reserve	Q4 KPT-J Comment
1875	I do not support the proposed change	Dear council I am writing to urge you not to ban dogs from our beloved regional parks, beaches, and public spaces. As a vibrant part of our community, these natural areas should be open to everyone, including responsible dog owners, who are an integral part of our society. Why Dogs in Parks Matter: 1. Health and Well-being: Dogs are not only wonderful companions, but studies have shown that walking with dogs encourages more physical activity, which can help combat the rising rates of sedentary lifestyles and chronic diseases. These outings promote better mental health, lower stress levels, and a deeper connection to nature—benefits that extend to the whole family. 2. Economic Impact: Dogs help generate revenue for our parks. Local businesses benefit from dog owners spending on pet-related products, pet services, and park fees. Tourism also sees a positive impact as people often choose destinations where they can bring their dogs, boosting the local economy and increasing the sense of community. 3. Social Responsibility: Responsible dog ownership is a core part of being a good community member. Many dog owners are highly committed to respecting public spaces—cleaning up after their pets, keeping dogs on leashes when required, and ensuring their pets do not disrupt others. Banning dogs punishes those who are mindful and courteous, while the real challenge lies in enforcing responsible behaviour. Ensure you are fining and implementing consequences for those who
1914	I do not support the proposed change	If it's closed dogs aren't allowed there anyway. Consider a rule for dog booties to be worn or clarifying dogs must wash their paws same as humans when it reopens.
2139	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs are family members it is already difficult to find activities you can do with your dog. Banning them from beaches and parks will be a step back as a society. In fact more and more people is opting for not having kids and having dogs instead. This will new proposed policy will definitively have a huge negative impact on the wellbeing of NZ dog owners. We should promote healthier, active lifestyle. What other alternatives we have as dog owners is the equivalent of banning kids in parks. that does not make sense.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-J Soren G Christensen Reserve	Q4 KPT-J Comment
2183	I do not support the proposed change	We share this land - with all living things. We cannot prohibit access because it might cause issue with a few biased individuals. This doesn't solve an issue it creates disconnect and segregation between demographics. Focus on educating dog owners as well as non- dog owners and simplifying regulations. If people get used to dogs everywhere, the less of an issue it will become. Dogs bring joy to peoples' lives. Stop trying to take away their rights to play. That's all they ask...we have people that treat them horrifically, people who hit them, beat them and try to kill them and yet they stay loyal to their owners and only ever ask for love and a good walk in return. Just let them be part of the community.
2184	I do not support the proposed change	Gosh
2185	Other (please explain below)	Please provide as many opportunities as possible for on-lead walking.
2343	I do not support the proposed change	Responsible dog owners are already highly restricted on area and times if access. Increasing those limitations is unfair and discriminating. The majority should not be penalized for the minority who are not responsible dog owners.
2488	I do not support the proposed change	It's already to minum the parks and areas that you currently can walk with your dog, and to keep a healthy and balanced dog at least the dog need to be walked once a day.
2692	I do not support the proposed change	See previous
2837	I do not support the proposed change	As stated earlier if the park is closed dogs are already forbidden.
4330	I do not support the proposed change	Why not like the others where it is controlled on leash instead of prohibited.
4489	I do not support the proposed change	I want less restrictions not more
4513	I do not support the proposed change	On lead sufficient once reopened
4517	I do not support the proposed change	Ridiculous. Why?
4879	I support the proposed change	Personal safety
4947	I do not support the proposed change	Change to on leash.
5103	I do not support the proposed change	There is no reason for the change, it is an attack on dog owners
5260	I do not support the proposed change	Auckland is already so restrictive to dogs, give us and them a break. Stop with all the restrictions, its madness. Please. Look amd learn from the south island.
5330	Other (please explain below)	Once we have saved the trees can it be changed back?

Sub #	Q4 KPT-J Soren G Christensen Reserve	Q4 KPT-J Comment
5522	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs under control have great owners, owners that have trust with their dog will only take them off leash. Stop taking places away from us, we already have a lack of options
5642	I support the proposed change	I support the protection of the Kauri forest and believe the amended policy, unfortunately, seems necessary.
5661	I do not support the proposed change	Responsible dog owners should be able to walk their dogs here. Stop limiting the good owners and restricting them. It is not fair on them or the dogs.
5712	I do not support the proposed change	I am also deeply concerned by Auckland Council's plan to increase on-leash and dog-free areas. These restrictions further reduce dogs' access to exercise and socialisation—key factors in preventing behavioural issues. It seems clear that dog welfare is not the Council's priority, as these proposals not only limit safe exercise spaces but also restrict access to trained professionals who can provide it. a key factors of animal welfare are the "5 freedoms", one of these main pillars is freedom to express natural behavior. it is natural for a dog to run freely! I think there has been an overall lack of insight from professionals in the animal welfare, medical, training and walking community.
5822	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs and their ownrrs need places to explore together
5867	I do not support the proposed change	Responsible dog owners should have the right to exercise their dog/s as per they current bylaws.
5918	I do not support the proposed change	No need
6441	I do not support the proposed change	There is zero evidence that dogs spread kauri die back disease. The Government has funded the playing of whale sounds to prevent kauri die back - why not do that?
6447	I do not support the proposed change	Expand areas and times that dog owners can access with their companions
6478	I do not support the proposed change	There are very few places already where we can take our dogs; parks and waterways provide enrichment and socialization opportunities. Council should aim to increase the areas where dogs are allowed, instead of reducing them. Council is missing on the economic opportunity dogs can be.
6544	I do not support the proposed change	I doubt this will be reviewed if the park reopened it feels a convenient way to remove access while Nobody is paying attention

Sub #	Q4 KPT-K Te Ara Awataha	Q4 KPT-K Comment
KAIPĀTIKI LOCAL BOARD		
359	Other (please explain below)	What significant risk to other users? If there is substantial concern that anyone walking along the Greenway may be attacked by a dog, then get some monitoring/compliance staff out on the pathway to assess the actual risk and take care of it.
511	I support the current rule	Live next to it. Definitely should stay on leash only
1979	I support the current rule	I think this still allows us to take our dogs to the beach and still protect the wild life, with the exception of throwing a ball into the water for a cool down for our furry friends.
2511	I support the current rule	Seems fair enough as it is mostly a thorough fare for people
2713	I support the current rule	We should be allowed to have access to these places as well
4167	I don't know	Maybe the council should better define what under control is rather than adopt more on leash rules
4787	I support the current rule	We support the on-leash at all times proposal due to the proximity to Awataha stream.
6032	I do not support current rule	What are these significant risks? Those will still exist, even if you change the rules for the good dog owners.
6793	Other (please explain below)	Would like Greenslade to be off leash when not in suse or on time restrictions
OUTSIDE KAIPĀTIKI LOCAL BOARD		
523	I do not support current rule	Dogs should be allowed on all publics areas under control at all times unless there is a non kauri die back conservation need
706	I do not support current rule	Dogs should be prohibited at all times.
1358	I do not support current rule	Dogs should be allowed on leash in all park areas. Provision for off leash play should be made in all parks.
1854	Other (please explain below)	Same for all areas - we should have a section for owners and dogs to be off leash during summer.
2139	I do not support current rule	Dogs are family members it is already difficult to find activities you can do with your dog. Banning them from beaches and parks will be a step back as a society. In fact more and more people is opting for not having kids and having dogs instead. This will new proposed policy will definitively have a huge negative impact on the wellbeing of NZ dog owners. We should promote healthier, active lifestyle. What other alternatives we have as dog owners is the equivalent of banning kids in parks. that does not make sense.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-K Te Ara Awataha	Q4 KPT-K Comment
2183	I do not support current rule	We share this land - with all living things. We cannot prohibit access because it might cause issue with a few biased individuals. This doesn't solve an issue it creates disconnect and segregation between demographics. Focus on educating dog owners as well as non- dog owners and simplifying regulations. If people get used to dogs everywhere, the less of an issue it will become. Dogs bring joy to peoples' lives. Stop trying to take away their rights to play. That's all they ask...we have people that treat them horrifically, people who hit them, beat them and try to kill them and yet they stay loyal to their owners and only ever ask for love and a good walk in return. Just let them be part of the community.
2184	I support the current rule	Yay
2185	Other (please explain below)	Please provide as many opportunities as possible for on-lead walking.
2343	I support the current rule	Responsible dog owners are already highly restricted on area and times if access. Increasing those limitations is unfair and discriminating. The majority should not be penalized for the minority who are not responsible dog owners.
2488	I do not support current rule	It's already to minum the parks and areas that you currently can walk with your dog, and to keep a healthy and balanced dog at least the dog need to be walked once a day.
2692	I do not support current rule	Off leash areas should be provided
3547	I do not support current rule	Allow dog off leash
4489	I do not support current rule	I want less restrictions not more
4879	I do not support current rule	Personal safety
5260	I do not support current rule	Auckland is already so restrictive to dogs, give us and them a break. Stop with all the restrictions, its madness. Please. Look amd learn from the south island.
5522	I do not support current rule	Dogs under control have great owners, owners that have trust with their dog will only take them off leash. Stop taking places away from us, we already have a lack of options
5642	I support the current rule	Given the size and layout of the area, this seems like a reasonable rule.
5661	I support the current rule	Responsible dog owners should be able to walk their dogs here. Stop limiting the good owners and restricting them. It is not fair on them or the dogs.
5867	I support the current rule	Responsible dog owners should have the right to exercise their dog/s as per they current bylaws.
5918	Other (please explain below)	sh under controlwhat signifcant risk to other users if dogs off leash under control
6441	I support the current rule	Status quo is fine
6447	I support the current rule	It does no harm

Sub #	Q4 KPT-K Te Ara Awataha	Q4 KPT-K Comment
6478	I support the current rule	There are very few places already where we can take our dogs; parks and waterways provide enrichment and socialization opportunities. Council should aim to increase the areas where dogs are allowed, instead of reducing them. Council is missing on the economic opportunity dogs can be.

Sub #	Q4 KPT-L Tonar Street	Q4 KPT-L Comment
KAIPĀTIKI LOCAL BOARD		
511	I do not support the proposed change	Too many dangerous untrained dogs in the area. Childrens playground.
2420	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs must be on a leash to keep others safe. Too many incidents of off leash dogs jumping and barking at people.
2511	I support the proposed change	Seems logical and fair
2713	I do not support the proposed change	We should be allowed to have access to these places as well
5276	I support the proposed change	I would question whether any members of council or the kaipatiki board have actually visited Sulphur Beach in the past 12 months (or longer). This beach is not remotely suitable as a beach for humans, let alone dogs! It is a polluted wasteland, with broken glass everywhere, sharp oysters and copious amounts of rubbish everywhere. The risk of dogs having their paws sliced open from the oysters and broken glass could lead to very expensive vet bills. The risk of infection to both humans and dogs would not be small. There are also the risks associated with it being a beach right beside the harbour bridge and motorway. For example the noise is very loud, so if a dog was prone to anxiety, they could easily be spooked by the extremely loud noises. For example when large trucks go over a bump, the general roar of the traffic, the sound of sirens when emergency vehicles are attending accidents etc. Then there is the risk of a dog bolting in fear and if they managed to get onto the motorway the ensuing carnage that would follow could be catastrophic and even fatal to drivers. I cannot remotely see the logic of this beach being offered up as some sort of compensation when they are proposing to remove dog access from other beaches on the north shore which currently do allow dog access. In a very quick walk at Sulphur beach this morning, this was just SOME of the rubbish that is littered all over the beach. I cannot see how anyone would make an educated suggestion of this beach being an appropriate place for people to visit or take their dogs to. I also find it hard to understand why dog owners should be persecuted and not allowed to visit nice beaches just like those people who don't have dogs.
5600	I support the proposed change	Great idea!
6032	I support the proposed change	I support the changes, but also this is a historically rough area so I don't know how confident I would be brining my dog there.
6793	Other (please explain below)	Couldn't find it when driving down Tonar Street. Beleive this is used to access motel on northcote road and has had issues with cars so not very safe for dogs
OUTSIDE KAIPĀTIKI LOCAL BOARD		

Sub #	Q4 KPT-L Tonar Street	Q4 KPT-L Comment
304	I do not support the proposed change	Status Quo should be preserved.
523	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs should be allowed on all public areas under control at all times unless there is a non kauri die back conservation need
706	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs should be prohibited at all times.
1325	I support the proposed change	Dog owners need more designated off leash areas so that they do not need to use public spaces. These should be clearly signposted and fenced.
1358	I support the proposed change	Dogs should be allowed on leash in all park areas. Provision for off leash play should be made in all parks.
1854	Other (please explain below)	Same for all areas - we should have a section for owners and dogs to be off leash during summer.
2123	Other (please explain below)	It is a very small area, in a low socio economic location with potential for harm to animals, pedestrians and vehicles.
2139	I do not support the proposed change	Dogs are family members it is already difficult to find activities you can do with your dog. Banning them from beaches and parks will be a step back as a society. In fact more and more people are opting for not having kids and having dogs instead. This new proposed policy will definitely have a huge negative impact on the wellbeing of NZ dog owners. We should promote healthier, active lifestyle. What other alternatives we have as dog owners is the equivalent of banning kids in parks. that does not make sense.
2184	I support the proposed change	Finally something that's beneficial to my four legged friends
2185	Other (please explain below)	Please provide as many opportunities as possible for on-lead walking.
2343	I do not support the proposed change	Responsible dog owners are already highly restricted on area and times of access. Increasing those limitations is unfair and discriminating. The majority should not be penalized for the minority who are not responsible dog owners.
3263	I do not support the proposed change	No dog should be off leash in public. We don't make sure people are capable of training their dogs, and clearly can't be trusted to keep them under control.
4146	I support the proposed change	Finally something good!
4489	I support the proposed change	I want less restrictions not more
4540	I do not support the proposed change	I still think dogs should be off leash at the bird sanctuary area because dogs are temperamental & all owners aren't perfect
4821	I do not support the proposed change	If dogs are under control there is no problem.
4879		Too many dogs. Just one on leash!
4947	I support the proposed change	Great!
5023	I support the proposed change	It's important to have new off-leash areas, especially if we need to close others for birdlife safety or Kauri Dieback.
5419	I support the proposed change	Love it

Sub #	Q4 KPT-L Tonar Street	Q4 KPT-L Comment
5642	I support the proposed change	Socialization is a key element of responsible dog ownership, and off-leash areas are vital for this purpose. While some areas must restrict off-leash dogs to protect wildlife, habitats, and forests, providing alternative spaces is a responsible solution alongside these necessary regulations.
5661	I do not support the proposed change	Responsible dog owners should be able to walk their dogs here. Stop limiting the good owners and restricting them. It is not fair on them or the dogs.
5867	I do not support the proposed change	Responsible dog owners should have the right to exercise their dog/s as per they current bylaws.
5918	I support the proposed change	There needs to be adequate areas for dogs to exercise.
6441	I support the proposed change	Great to see more off leash areas
6447	I support the proposed change	Yes. Finally
6478	I support the proposed change	There are very few places already where we can take our dogs; parks and waterways provide enrichment and socialization opportunities. Council should aim to increase the areas where dogs are allowed, instead of reducing them. Council is missing on the economic opportunity dogs can be.
6940	I support the proposed change	Preferably the off-leash area should be fenced, given this is a high-density area